

SPEC COL
HD
7293
.A49
C424
1952

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING. ADVANCE REPORTS
Series HC-8

**Housing characteristics for the state, standard metropolitan
areas, and urban places of 10,000 or more, April 1950.**

Nos. 2-50 (July 18, 1952 - January 26, 1953)

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 2

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ALABAMA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Alabama increased 19 percent--from 708,043 in 1940 to 843,857 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Alabama were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Alabama, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 72 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 34 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 49 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 48 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Alabama were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 32 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Alabama had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Homewood, for example, 72 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 5 percent in Phenix City. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 20 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 21 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 52 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$18 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,500. Thirty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Alabama. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Alabama will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	843,857	...	708,043	...	135,814	19.2
Occupied dwelling units...	786,839	100.0	673,815	100.0	113,024	16.8
Owner occupied.....	388,996	49.4	226,460	33.6	162,536	71.8
Renter occupied.....	397,843	50.6	447,355	66.4	-49,512	-11.1

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

Summary of Selected Housing Characteristics, for the State, Standard Metropolitan Areas, and Urban Places of 10,000 or More: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base less than 0.1. For complete-unit items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwellings built in 1940 or later*			In detached structures with running water, with hot water, and with detached bath and not duplicated elsewhere or for sale	Vacant or seasonal not duplicated elsewhere or for sale	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median value of 1.01 or more persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—			With mechanical refrigeration*	Owner occupied	Percent of owner-occupied units rented	Median value of one-unit dwelling structures* (dollars)	Median value of one-unit dwelling structures* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
			In one-unit dwellings built in 1940 or later*	In detached structures with running water, with hot water, and with detached bath and not duplicated elsewhere or for sale	Vacant or seasonal not duplicated elsewhere or for sale						With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner occupied						
Alabama.....	843,857	4.1	79.3	27.3	31.6	1.6	786,839	2,999,317	3.4	30.5	49.4	11.2	56.7	34.6	4,473	17,51	24.52		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	614,633	4.0	72.7	29.7	40.8	1.9	574,701	2,039,782	3.2	27.2	47.6	14.7	63.9	34.6	4,473	17,51	24.52		
Urban.....	388,723	4.1	62.8	28.6	52.2	2.1	373,694	1,297,062	3.1	24.2	31.7	47.5	20.1	69.3	5,540	21.41	28.22		
Rural nonfarm.....	225,910	4.0	89.7	31.6	20.9	1.6	203,007	742,720	3.3	32.9	25.2	47.7	4.5	34.0	21.9	2,862	11.47	16.61	
Rural farm.....	229,224	4.2	97.2	20.9	6.9	0.9	212,138	959,535	4.0	39.6	28.9	34.5	1.8	44.7		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Birmingham.....	159,377	4.1	62.7	24.6	48.2	1.7	153,546	548,415	3.2	23.6	34.3	50.7	25.7	71.5	5,956	18.34	25.86		
Golden.....	26,426	4.2	76.7	37.7	43.2	2.1	25,313	93,161	3.3	23.3	33.6	50.3	12.4	70.1	38.5	5,083	18.09	26.66	
Mobile.....	67,048	4.0	70.9	41.2	50.2	2.9	62,138	223,808	3.2	25.6	32.2	53.4	16.0	66.3	34.6	4,311	28.23	32.53	
Panhandle.....	46,459	4.0	58.3	28.3	51.8	2.4	37,740	131,750	3.1	24.2	39.7	24.8	60.6	46.7	7,151	25.94	30.23		
URBAN PLACES																			
Anniston.....	8,564	3.9	59.8	23.4	52.9	1.1	8,677	30,501	3.2	25.1	27.5	47.0	30.8	68.9	40.6	5,041	24.64	29.33	
Birmingham.....	2,869	3.8	57.4	60.0	60.0	2.6	2,869	9,312	3.1	29.9	58.3	40.1	13.7	84.5	38.1	5,540	21.41	28.22	
Decatur.....	8,349	3.4	65.0	21.7	31.3	2.4	8,017	28,156	3.1	29.9	58.3	40.1	13.7	84.5	38.1	5,540	21.41	28.22	
Dorham.....	95,512	4.0	51.4	18.8	55.7	1.9	92,663	317,439	3.0	21.2	36.1	46.8	30.3	73.5	48.4	6,552	22.47	29.22	
Decatur.....	6,235	4.1	64.8	19.6	54.8	1.7	6,050	19,776	2.9	18.1	22.1	50.0	12.3	75.4	36.4	5,634	23.66	30.32	
Dorham.....	6,520	4.4	60.4	33.1	51.7	2.7	6,250	21,216	3.1	18.5	31.8	50.1	10.5	61.8	43.4	5,341	23.40	29.77	
Fairfield.....	3,599	4.0	48.7	33.9	52.4	0.8	3,461	12,985	3.4	27.2	34.9	47.5	19.5	67.7	48.1	5,083	17.61	25.76	
Griffin.....	16,333	4.2	68.0	37.7	57.1	2.5	15,727	55,128	3.2	20.3	24.9	37.9	17.2	73.5	45.5	6,181	24.27	29.06	
Guadalupe.....	3,739	5.6	77.0	43.0	86.6	1.1	3,675	12,480	3.2	7.4	14.2	69.6	72.0	91.4	61.1	13,309	48.74	63.06	
Huntsville.....	5,233	4.4	66.3	24.6	55.9	2.8	4,996	16,229	2.9	18.2	30.9	31.7	19.6	67.8	30.2	6,852	20.53	28.03	
Montgomery.....	38,567	4.0	63.9	32.9	57.8	3.4	36,451	125,572	3.0	22.8	33.8	48.3	19.9	70.0	38.3	6,158	27.80	32.40	
Phenix City.....	32,315	4.1	51.2	30.0	59.0	4.0	30,473	102,226	3.0	21.5	37.3	40.1	28.9	65.9	47.2	7,559	26.94	30.89	
Phenix City.....	6,136	3.6	72.5	26.9	37.1	1.0	5,960	21,522	3.2	22.0	38.1	36.9	8.3	55.8	32.4	5,181	19.70	24.17	
Prichard.....	5,384	4.0	64.3	45.2	43.6	2.8	5,100	18,789	3.4	28.0	35.7	45.8	4.5	52.6	36.4	5,369	17.16	23.46	
Shirley.....	6,772	3.7	58.9	33.1	36.9	1.1	6,609	22,282	2.9	50.0	52.7	38.5	8.2	64.9	26.4	3,486	28.23	33.67	
Talladega.....	3,222	4.5	76.8	33.1	37.9	1.1	3,153	10,648	3.1	38.8	19.3	54.9	24.6	78.0	47.4	5,956	23.08	28.72	
Tuscaloosa.....	3,300	4.2	63.1	22.5	43.5	2.2	3,180	11,724	3.3	25.8	31.7	41.1	17.0	62.1	16.1	3,115	21.08	29.80	
Tuscaloosa.....	11,177	4.1	57.9	38.4	60.3	1.7	10,894	35,923	3.0	19.4	31.1	42.3	21.8	70.2	44.4	6,394	26.46	29.17	

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 3

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ARIZONA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Arizona increased 64 percent--from 147,079 in 1940 to 240,750 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 50 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Arizona were much greater than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about nine-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas presented the remaining one-tenth.

These and other summary data for Arizona, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 89 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 48 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 56 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership was about equally prevalent in urban areas as in rural areas, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Mesa, 62 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with only 48 percent in Tucson.

in rural areas, where 54 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 44 percent and among rural-farm units, 40 percent.

About one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Arizona had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Phoenix, for example, 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 12 percent in Amphitheater. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 23 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 65 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 36 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 72 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Arizona. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Arizona will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	240,750	...	147,079	...	93,671	63.7
Occupied dwelling units...	210,374	100.0	131,133	100.0	79,241	60.4
Owner occupied.....	118,620	56.4	62,842	47.9	55,778	88.8
Renter occupied.....	91,754	43.6	68,291	52.1	23,463	34.4

Almost one-half of the dwelling units in Arizona were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per person	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied non-white	Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of owner-occupied units	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structure (dollars)	Median monthly gross rent (dollars)				
		In one-dwelling-unit detached structures*	In structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, with toilet, and bath, and not dilapidated						Vacant non-seasonal not dilapidated, for sale or lease	With central heating				With mechanical refrigerator*			
Arizona.....	240,790	3.7	79.2	46.9	62.5	5.1	210,374	721,992	3.0	27.6	9.7	56.4	19.0	72.2	42.8	5,936	35.50	36.83
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	217,902	3.7	77.7	47.6	65.3	5.3	191,421	645,883	3.0	26.0	8.1	56.2	20.3	73.9	42.8	5,936	35.50	36.83
Urban.....	190,906	3.6	77.2	44.1	65.3	5.3	135,689	445,883	2.9	23.7	4.9	54.2	22.8	78.6	42.8	5,936	35.50	36.83
Rural nonfarm.....	22,848	3.2	93.4	39.8	35.9	3.0	18,913	76,109	3.5	44.3	25.7	58.6	6.2	54.2
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Phoenix.....	108,047	3.8	79.3	52.6	70.0	5.3	96,435	320,336	3.0	23.8	5.8	57.0	23.8	75.9	52.6	6,615	38.59	37.19
URBAN PLACES																		
Amphitheater (uninc.).....	4,621	3.5	83.2	63.2	78.8	6.5	4,038	12,594	2.8	21.6	0.4	62.0	11.5	83.0	58.5	6,941	50.10	47.48
Mesa.....	5,323	4.1	77.2	56.0	76.6	5.8	4,739	16,697	3.2	25.4	3.2	62.2	24.3	82.9	46.0	6,632	43.24	37.83
Phoenix.....	37,444	4.0	67.3	35.6	81.1	5.1	34,265	101,509	2.6	13.5	5.6	51.0	34.2	82.8	50.7	7,939	42.68	40.31
Tucson.....	15,082	4.0	60.7	25.7	77.8	4.2	13,816	42,322	2.7	13.7	7.3	48.3	29.3	84.3	45.3	5,922	46.40	40.94

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 4

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ARKANSAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Arkansas increased 10 percent--from 520,613 in 1940 to 575,163 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--2 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Arkansas were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Thirty-nine percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 26 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Arkansas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 45 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 20 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 54 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among rural-farm and urban dwelling units.

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 32 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (24 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

One-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Arkansas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Fort Smith, for example, 66 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 17 percent in Hot Springs. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 23 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 57 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$23 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,100. Twenty-nine percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Arkansas. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Arkansas will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	575,163	...	520,613	...	54,550	10.5
Occupied dwelling units...	524,391	100.0	495,825	100.0	28,566	5.8
Owner occupied.....	285,599	54.5	196,916	39.7	88,683	45.0
Renter occupied.....	238,792	45.5	298,909	60.3	-60,117	-20.1

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Arkansas were built between 1940 and 1950. The

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

For sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.)

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Total number of dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—				Median value of one-dwelling structure ^a (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)						
		Median number of rooms in detached structures	In one-unit structures in 1940 or later	In one-unit structures with hot water, with toilet and bath, and not dated	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings not dated for rent or sale		Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owned by non-white	With central heating ^a			With mechanical refrigeration ^a					
Arkansas.....	575,143	4.0	86.5	26.9	28.7	1.8	524,391	3.1	26.7	21.2	54.5	10.1	55.1	29.1	4,087	22.60	27.91	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	353,480	4.0	79.8	28.9	42.5	2.2	327,642	2.9	21.7	20.3	54.1	15.2	62.9	29.1	4,087	22.60	27.91	
Urban.....	202,018	4.1	72.6	26.7	57.2	2.6	192,013	696,688	2.9	18.1	22.5	54.1	23.1	70.1	35.9	5,428	27.49	31.74
Rural nonfarm.....	151,462	3.9	89.4	31.9	22.6	1.7	135,629	466,842	3.0	26.9	17.2	54.1	4.0	23.5	17.0	2,558	14.15	20.72
Rural farm.....	221,683	4.0	97.3	23.7	6.6	1.2	196,749	800,954	3.6	34.9	22.8	55.1	1.6	42.3	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Little Rock-North Little Rock.....	59,228	4.1	71.1	29.1	60.0	1.7	56,569	185,871	3.0	18.9	22.4	54.7	29.9	76.9	43.3	6,425	35.18	36.97
URBAN PLACES																		
Elmhurst.....	5,260	3.8	74.3	34.3	42.6	2.3	5,006	16,064	2.9	22.9	31.4	50.3	8.8	54.3	38.9	5,183	19.24	27.60
Grand.....	3,799	4.2	80.1	30.1	45.5	3.6	3,501	11,228	2.9	18.2	43.9	47.5	16.5	58.3	23.5	4,359	18.97	22.16
El Dorado.....	7,566	4.1	77.9	24.4	64.6	1.8	7,256	22,700	2.8	37.8	27.1	51.5	13.7	75.6	32.6	6,179	24.14	30.68
Fort Smith.....	16,269	4.4	60.7	28.4	69.5	2.3	4,793	14,511	2.8	17.3	8.5	47.1	26.9	77.8	34.5	5,861	36.70	37.68
Fort Smith.....	16,269	4.4	76.0	27.5	69.2	5.6	14,913	47,131	2.9	37.4	8.4	63.3	66.1	74.2	50.1	5,981	29.60	29.62
Holmen.....	3,731	3.4	63.0	9.5	26.4	0.8	3,615	10,956	2.5	20.4	60.2	28.5	13.6	45.1	25.7	5,056	14.02	24.12
Springdale.....	11,023	3.9	72.7	23.2	77.2	1.2	9,844	27,666	2.5	14.5	15.4	47.3	17.2	68.9	30.2	5,624	33.87	33.21
Jonesboro.....	4,943	4.3	72.7	37.6	71.6	1.2	4,880	15,469	2.9	19.4	9.1	55.9	12.8	71.5	39.5	5,977	29.86	37.02
North Little Rock.....	13,073	3.9	71.2	35.8	62.1	1.6	12,671	41,208	3.0	20.8	24.7	53.5	35.9	74.2	45.0	6,061	30.71	33.20
Pine Bluff.....	11,589	4.2	74.6	26.1	50.8	1.6	11,164	36,187	2.8	18.9	40.2	54.9	25.8	65.0	30.9	3,796	25.71	29.71
Texas.....	5,201	4.1	71.7	24.6	59.3	2.7	4,948	15,705	2.9	33.3	27.2	55.5	14.9	70.3	30.1	4,759	27.03	27.03

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 5

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

CALIFORNIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in California increased 53 percent--from 2,340,373 in 1940 to 3,590,660 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 53 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in California were much greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 5 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 14 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for California, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 95 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 26 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 54 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

More than one-third of the dwelling units in California were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 49 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 29 percent of the farm units.

Forty-four percent of the occupied dwelling units in California had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Beverly Hills, for example, 90 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 30 percent in Santa Barbara. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 49 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 17 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, urban housing was of better quality than rural housing in the State. In rural areas, 69 percent of the dwelling units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 90 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$40 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,600. Fifty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for California. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for California will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$1.00 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units	3,590,660	...	2,340,373	...	1,250,287	53.4
Occupied dwelling units	3,333,406	100.0	2,138,343	100.0	1,195,063	55.9
Owner occupied.....	1,811,684	54.3	928,796	43.4	882,888	95.1
Renter occupied.....	1,521,722	45.7	1,209,547	56.6	312,175	25.8

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number of detached structures	Median number of detached structures	In detached structures	With hot water, with private toilet, and not detached	Vacant nonseasonal units, detached, for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median per person	With 1 or more persons per room	Owned by occupant	With heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent mortgaged	Median value of one-unit structure (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of one-unit structure (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
CALIFORNIA																		
Truman and Kern nonfarm.....	3,590,660	4.3	68.6	34.9	86.0	2,719,373	639	2.7	12.3	5.0	54.3	44.1	85.1	53.0	9,564	40.48	42.30	
Urban.....	3,409,040	4.3	67.2	35.2	86.9	2,712,964	635	2.7	12.0	5.0	53.8	45.4	85.1	53.0	9,564	40.48	42.30	
Urban, 10,000 or more.....	2,900,000	4.4	63.5	33.0	89.9	2,702,330	635	2.7	12.0	5.0	53.8	45.4	85.1	53.0	9,564	40.48	42.30	
Urban, 10,000 or more, 10,000 or more.....	2,900,000	4.4	63.5	33.0	89.9	2,702,330	635	2.7	12.0	5.0	53.8	45.4	85.1	53.0	9,564	40.48	42.30	
Rural, farm.....	187,180	4.6	95.0	29.4	68.6	1,350,392	3.1	3.0	21.6	2.9	77.0	21.9	78.5	6,160	33.17	37.76		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Fresno.....	88,659	4.4	86.3	38.9	69.6	270,130	3.0	2.9	10.2	6.2	69.8	12.0	85.4	46.2	7,708	33.35	36.21	
Los Angeles.....	1,521,649	4.3	65.6	33.9	91.0	4,219,230	2.8	2.8	13.2	4.7	63.4	50.0	87.9	56.5	9,110	41.26	43.10	
San Francisco.....	67,233	4.5	78.8	42.7	84.0	229,136	2.8	13.2	4.7	63.4	50.0	87.9	56.5	9,110	41.26	43.10		
San Bernardino.....	109,238	4.2	84.0	42.5	81.2	325,631	2.8	13.3	2.5	62.5	29.1	86.5	49.5	7,116	36.22	38.84		
San Diego.....	181,440	4.2	70.5	44.5	88.8	505,137	2.7	10.9	3.4	52.7	36.5	82.5	51.7	9,568	39.50	41.90		
San Francisco-Oakland.....	81,668	4.6	90.9	29.9	90.5	2,080,310	2.6	9.5	7.5	49.1	61.7	83.3	54.0	11,333	40.18	41.28		
San Jose.....	98,250	4.6	88.6	40.6	86.5	1,184,546	2.9	17.5	7.0	60.1	42.1	81.0	47.5	7,714	33.67	35.53		
Stockton.....	61,240	4.3	80.7	39.7	76.5	99,004	2.9	17.5	7.0	60.1	42.1	81.0	47.5	7,714	33.67	35.53		
URBAN PLACES																		
Alameda.....	21,289	4.2	41.8	34.4	95.1	61,901	2.8	9.8	7.3	39.1	62.1	85.2	45.3	11,603	38.01	37.98		
Albany.....	5,563	4.8	70.5	33.4	99.3	5,094	3.0	9.2	9.1	61.2	85.2	99.4	51.4	11,126	36.41	36.41		
Altadena.....	18,002	4.9	78.2	28.1	97.4	50,809	2.6	3.7	0.3	64.6	62.5	95.4	52.3	11,921	30.76	36.40		
Altadena.....	17,171	4.7	78.1	31.8	90.4	4,955	3.2	86.8	1.0	48.4	45.4	66.7	46.3	8,680	43.97	41.94		
Arden.....	5,560	4.7	78.1	31.8	90.4	34,888	3.2	86.8	1.0	48.4	45.4	66.7	46.3	8,680	43.97	41.94		
Antioch.....	9,581	4.7	83.3	48.8	91.9	10,566	2.8	1.3	0.4	90.6	72.3	91.9	53.6	8,251	38.15	41.78		
Artesia.....	3,528	5.2	89.6	59.6	97.5	3,071	3.4	20.5	0.4	70.6	75.3	91.9	51.6	7,989	36.44	41.86		
Atenas.....	3,302	4.4	90.2	55.8	90.8	10,674	3.4	20.5	0.4	70.6	75.3	91.9	51.6	7,989	36.44	41.86		
Atwater.....	11,233	4.7	77.9	36.3	90.8	32,807	2.7	9.0	4.9	59.4	44.9	93.3	53.6	9,337	43.71	44.80		
Bakersfield.....	3,529	4.2	90.7	13.7	94.3	15,343	2.6	8.3	0.1	59.4	40.7	89.2	53.1	6,195	45.46	48.60		
Baldwin Hills.....	11,333	4.2	90.7	13.7	94.3	102,399	2.9	6.4	12.5	47.6	69.3	86.0	42.5	12,903	46.83	47.53		
Beverly Hills.....	11,333	4.2	90.7	13.7	94.3	102,399	2.9	6.4	12.5	47.6	69.3	86.0	42.5	12,903	46.83	47.53		
Burbank.....	25,038	4.7	78.0	20.3	46.5	3,166	3.2	36.4	9.2	43.5	67.1	71.0	30.7	5,783	31.67	36.76		
Burbank.....	3,445	4.3	78.0	20.3	46.5	3,166	3.2	36.4	9.2	43.5	67.1	71.0	30.7	5,783	31.67	36.76		
Burbank.....	7,144	4.1	71.8	24.0	98.6	16,893	2.6	8.0	0.1	68.0	63.6	93.8	71.7	11,385	59.66	59.66		
Chico.....	4,889	4.6	66.9	20.0	86.2	4,100	2.5	7.4	0.5	55.7	40.0	89.2	41.4	7,568	42.12	43.14		
Chico.....	4,889	4.6	66.9	20.0	86.2	4,100	2.5	7.4	0.5	55.7	40.0	89.2	41.4	7,568	42.12	43.14		
Colton.....	4,435	4.3	81.7	34.5	82.5	15,018	3.0	12.6	0.6	64.6	47.9	86.8	45.4	10,387	35.29	37.76		
Compton.....	14,763	4.6	78.6	63.2	94.8	14,546	3.2	12.1	4.0	67.0	66.9	92.2	73.4	6,580	49.41	52.22		
Corona.....	3,253	4.4	82.0	18.1	75.4	10,106	2.9	18.5	0.5	57.9	26.5	76.8	32.7	6,081	29.72	32.32		
Coronado.....	4,272	4.6	63.0	37.8	97.7	11,837	2.7	6.4	4.0	40.5	34.6	92.5	50.9	12,961	50.42	56.64		
Costa Mesa.....	4,193	4.0	90.5	29.3	84.0	11,012	2.8	15.7	0.6	69.5	52.5	90.4	59.4	7,800	47.63	50.79		
Culver City.....	5,226	4.9	75.7	36.0	94.2	11,837	2.7	6.4	4.0	40.5	34.6	92.5	50.9	12,961	50.42	56.64		
East Bakersfield (uninc.).....	11,403	4.0	85.1	53.2	73.3	37,437	3.1	24.9	16.7	61.3	31.4	77.2	59.1	6,504	37.18	38.08		

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without
* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without
* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without

* denotes coupled trailers.

* denotes coupled trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1980-CONT.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 900.

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm					Renter-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units			Population per dwelling unit	Percent of dwelling units			Percent of dwelling units			Percent of units with mortgage	Median value of owner-occupied units (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied units (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied units (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied units (dollars)			
			In one-unit detached houses	In two-unit attached houses	In three-unit attached houses		With hot water, running water, and private bath, and not dated	Vacant non-structural detached houses, and not dated	With one or more persons per room	Occupied by owner or white	With central heating	With mechanical ventilation								
El Centro.....	3,914	4.0	76.4	33.6	72.0	3,635	13,101	3.0	21.6	47.6	17.0	81.0	47.5	7,266	45.08	8,501	47.81			
El Centro.....	6,220	4.7	73.6	67.9	89.6	5,524	17,880	3.1	10.6	5.3	70.3	82.3	48.3	11,546	43.08	13,546	47.81			
Eureka.....	7,573	4.6	68.6	22.6	84.2	7,367	21,880	2.7	10.0	6.6	60.1	32.3	71.9	38.8	8,010	44.62	48.38			
Fresno.....	29,724	4.8	78.8	28.1	88.2	27,865	87,341	2.8	9.1	6.4	65.3	68.5	91.1	46.3	8,570	37.83	39.35			
Fullerton.....	4,759	4.8	81.0	28.4	92.9	4,701	13,668	2.6	7.5	0.7	63.7	35.9	92.0	48.8	9,508	36.99	39.44			
Glendale.....	35,701	4.8	82.8	21.7	97.0	34,262	95,968	3.2	14.1	6.1	39.0	87.1	67.4	8,442	45.36	45.36	31.43			
Hanford.....	31,101	4.4	80.5	20.5	72.4	29,979	9,697	2.8	17.1	6.6	31.1	78.2	78.2	33.9	5,878	20.99	34.34			
Hawthorne.....	5,162	4.5	89.2	47.9	92.6	4,930	16,099	3.1	12.0	0.6	68.0	87.7	66.2	8,263	44.72	46.05	47.15			
Hayward.....	4,711	4.7	89.7	44.5	94.0	4,568	14,081	3.0	10.7	0.5	65.4	87.0	57.0	9,352	44.88	44.88	47.15			
Hermosa Beach.....	4,963	4.1	63.7	25.3	95.6	4,270	11,661	2.4	7.4	0.2	47.9	31.4	88.8	51.6	8,321	43.37	48.23			
Huntington Park.....	13,873	4.7	74.1	36.2	93.7	13,772	45,438	2.7	5.9	0.2	63.6	32.2	90.2	40.1	11,576	46.30	46.71			
Inglewood.....	15,873	4.7	84.4	62.7	87.1	15,372	45,438	2.7	6.0	0.7	76.0	52.6	84.2	64.2	10,616	52.36	54.98			
La Mesa.....	3,835	4.7	84.4	62.7	87.1	3,701	10,632	2.7	6.0	0.7	76.0	52.6	84.2	64.2	10,616	52.36	54.98			
La Mesa.....	4,998	4.7	81.3	28.3	90.4	4,798	13,537	2.6	9.0	0.7	62.4	56.2	89.4	30.5	7,856	31.99	35.82			
Long Beach.....	97,021	4.0	51.8	35.9	95.6	4,2	91,163	240,894	2.4	6.9	1.8	44.9	48.5	90.6	57.9	9,202	43.90	42.86		
Los Angeles.....	998,039	4.2	26.3	25.0	90.6	2,7	666,687	340	2.4	10.0	8.4	45.8	42.4	88.1	56.1	10,831	41.30	42.12		
Long Beach.....	6,446	4.5	78.4	35.0	97.4	6,242	19,769	2.8	17.1	2.1	30.9	31.5	82.4	50.0	9,202	36.70	39.10			
Madison.....	6,446	4.5	78.4	35.0	97.4	6,242	19,769	2.8	17.1	2.1	30.9	31.5	82.4	50.0	9,202	36.70	39.10			
Manhattan Beach.....	6,377	4.5	89.1	36.3	93.7	6,191	10,290	3.1	21.5	5.7	69.7	94.3	73.6	91.3	9,133	33.52	42.10			
Maywood.....	4,925	3.9	72.0	26.2	96.8	3,5	4,706	13,083	2.5	8.8	0.1	32.7	31.9	66.1	7,846	44.62	47.03			
Merced.....	3,699	4.9	73.5	69.1	97.9	3,472	10,688	2.9	4.2	1.2	62.8	83.7	93.1	46.3	13,326	51.45	52.45			
Merced.....	6,446	4.5	78.4	35.0	97.4	6,242	19,769	2.8	17.1	2.1	30.9	31.5	82.4	50.0	9,202	36.70	39.10			
Modesto.....	7,188	4.6	82.2	35.0	94.3	6,834	19,991	2.5	8.5	0.4	66.0	37.0	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Monterey.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	11,633	56.33	61.66			
Monterey.....	5,384	4.1	71.8	26.6	86.5	4,960	15,002	2.8	13.0	5.1	31.5	39.4	47.1	45.7	10,199	48.13	47.88			
Monterey Park.....	6,446	4.5	78.4	35.0	97.4	6,242	19,769	2.8	17.1	2.1	30.9	31.5	82.4	50.0	9,202	36.70	39.10			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....	6,881	5.0	77.5	65.7	95.3	6,618	21,627	2.8	7.9	0.9	69.1	72.1	93.1	46.3	10,198	44.62	47.03			
Mountain View.....</																				

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--CON.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Occupied dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*		In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures ¹ built in 1940 or later*	
			With hot running water, private toilet, and not displaced	With hot running water, private toilet, and not displaced																
Redlands.....	6,354	4.7	74.9	20.1	85.2	2.6	6,032	17,512	2.5	9.8	1.3	60.6	23.1	82.5			36.8	7,991	31.67	33.16
Redondo Beach.....	8,430	4.1	78.4	42.2	89.6	3.2	7,938	24,470	2.9	15.0	0.5	62.0	30.4	70.3			65.9	7,425	36.41	37.45
Richmond City.....	8,349	4.9	81.6	50.2	94.1	2.9	7,907	23,142	3.0	6.9	1.9	70.9	37.3	71.3			65.2	11,756	36.51	39.18
Riverside.....	14,026	4.7	72.1	22.2	88.7	7.7	28,402	99,460	2.7	24.7	11.9	37.4	59.6	75.9			65.0	8,944	32.50	32.50
Sacramento.....	44,654	4.7	69.5	34.6	90.4	7.8	17,691	47,467	2.7	9.3	3.6	59.7	39.1	86.4			42.4	9,977	42.84	42.29
Salinas.....	4,583	4.6	78.9	18.5	88.6	3.2	4,290	12,199	2.6	7.6	5.3	37.2	24.5	91.6			50.8	10,218	40.39	42.08
San Bernardino.....	21,292	4.6	78.9	32.6	88.6	3.2	20,147	61,335	2.7	10.3	3.2	61.9	39.0	83.6			50.1	12,505	41.39	42.08
San Bruno.....	3,999	4.9	81.7	52.1	98.1	3.0	3,844	12,387	3.1	6.8	0.4	76.5	86.4	92.0			68.4	11,125	47.15	51.39
San Buenaventura.....	5,853	4.3	71.3	21.1	88.3	1.8	5,646	15,924	2.5	10.4	1.6	54.8	24.8	82.6			49.0	11,385	36.39	37.33
San Carlos.....	4,443	4.3	73.5	72.7	99.3	1.6	4,299	14,341	3.3	2.9	0.1	88.6	76.2	99.2			77.8	13,918	81.64	87.44
San Diego.....	110,025	4.2	72.8	39.0	93.0	2.0	104,790	306,490	2.6	9.1	4.4	46.3	40.8	87.5			49.7	9,693	39.11	41.20
San Francisco.....	3,995	4.5	75.9	32.6	95.3	1.3	3,782	12,792	2.9	17.1	1.0	72.3	32.5	92.3			50.2	8,806	53.02	53.83
San Gabriel.....	6,329	5.2	91.5	48.5	95.3	1.3	297,732	698,176	2.3	7.7	8.4	36.7	63.5	79.4			56.6	12,768	51.00	53.64
San Jose.....	30,867	4.8	72.0	23.4	91.1	2.0	29,725	90,168	2.7	9.0	1.4	62.8	71.0	86.0			45.9	10,233	38.60	41.22
San Luis Obispo.....	4,964	4.3	72.3	24.8	86.8	1.0	4,478	27,305	3.1	5.8	0.2	76.5	78.5	92.9			60.7	11,698	50.95	54.34
San Mateo.....	13,894	5.2	73.6	56.4	98.3	0.9	12,847	41,005	3.0	4.1	3.5	71.9	93.2	95.7			68.3	13,536	76.05	78.67
San Pedro.....	4,467	4.0	80.5	37.7	79.1	2.9	4,262	14,440	3.2	25.0	0.1	63.6	48.6	69.0			57.6	7,068	38.39	38.23
San Rafael.....	4,679	4.8	64.6	30.3	91.8	1.9	4,469	12,972	2.6	5.2	0.4	58.5	74.9	88.9			50.1	12,644	50.11	53.04
San Bernardino.....	15,743	4.9	72.6	28.7	90.6	3.2	15,190	44,986	2.6	7.8	0.9	65.5	45.7	88.1			49.8	8,784	37.10	38.82
San Bernardino.....	3,411	4.9	76.5	38.5	84.5	1.5	3,307	10,813	3.0	11.9	0.6	65.2	79.2	86.9			49.4	9,711	52.45	56.62
San Diego.....	9,352	4.6	78.4	21.2	89.3	3.2	8,482	21,158	2.7	4.9	0.8	64.4	39.7	75.4			35.0	8,198	39.39	38.45
San Jose.....	3,527	4.4	78.1	22.5	88.9	3.1	3,351	10,123	2.7	10.8	3.3	50.0	30.6	86.0			41.4	10,394	41.79	46.97
San Luis Obispo.....	27,370	4.0	51.7	26.8	91.2	4.0	25,855	69,590	2.3	10.3	3.9	40.3	38.6	88.2			50.2	11,024	52.61	53.39
San Bernardino.....	6,637	4.0	73.8	26.9	92.0	2.6	6,800	17,111	3.0	23.7	0.7	55.4	50.3	91.8			38.8	7,609	28.16	31.13
Seattle (uninc.).....	3,486	3.6	90.1	70.1	82.1	3.2	3,189	10,269	3.3	21.0	3.1	73.1	42.5	85.5			65.5	9,469	39.18	40.49
South Bakersfield (uninc.).....	3,675	4.4	90.1	72.4	82.1	3.1	3,485	12,081	3.3	21.0	3.1	73.1	42.5	85.5			65.5	9,469	39.18	40.49
South Gate.....	16,853	4.7	78.7	51.1	97.8	2.3	16,300	50,928	2.9	7.0	0.4	53.2	41.0	95.2			66.4	9,198	52.52	55.35
South Los Angeles.....	5,882	4.8	72.0	27.2	86.3	2.0	5,637	16,913	3.2	12.1	1.5	59.2	67.4	83.6			73.0	10,383	57.99	60.63
South San Francisco.....	21,545	4.2	65.1	27.4	86.3	2.0	20,629	62,391	2.6	11.1	7.6	55.1	36.3	85.1			46.6	8,379	35.42	35.92
Torrance.....	7,200	4.2	80.0	55.5	90.5	4.0	6,855	20,429	3.0	18.1	6.5	61.8	36.0	81.6			49.4	11,477	31.94	34.05
Tulsa.....	3,802	4.3	82.3	33.0	93.6	1.1	3,685	12,274	3.0	6.2	7.5	41.6	54.1	85.8			38.2	11,278	37.36	38.26
Visalia.....	8,922	4.3	78.7	27.1	86.3	2.8	8,031	22,098	2.4	9.7	1.2	61.6	36.0	92.4			46.2	8,397	36.88	42.14
Watsonville.....	4,066	4.3	71.3	10.9	89.1	1.6	3,915	11,580	2.8	13.7	0.2	61.6	36.0	92.4			46.2	8,397	36.88	42.14
Yonkers.....	8,477	4.8	79.0	35.6	95.1	1.8	8,125	22,807	2.5	4.7	0.2	63.1	50.2	92.6			50.2	11,264	38.45	42.50

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 6

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

COLORADO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Colorado increased 23 percent--from 354,660 in 1940 to 436,226 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 18 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Colorado was equal to that for the United States, while the percentage gain in population was greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

About one-seventh of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Colorado, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 55 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 3 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 46 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Almost one-fourth of the dwelling units in Colorado were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 28 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (15 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Colorado had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Denver, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 63 percent in Pueblo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 69 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 16 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 44 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with 29 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,200. Forty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Colorado. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Colorado will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	436,226	...	354,660	...	81,566	23.0
Occupied dwelling units...	391,235	100.0	316,000	100.0	75,235	23.8
Owner occupied.....	227,298	58.1	146,455	46.3	80,843	55.2
Renter occupied.....	163,937	41.9	169,545	53.7	-5,608	-3.3

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units								Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Vacant not seasonally occupied (for rent or sale)	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of owner-occupied units with mortgage*	Median one-dwelling structure value† (dollars)	Median one-monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
		In one-dwelling-unit detached houses*	In two-dwelling-unit attached houses*	With hot running water, private bath, and not dilapidated					With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration*	Owner occupied					With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white
Colorado.....	436,226	4.2	71.9	22.7	61.1	391,235	1,257,727	2.9	18.0	1.8	58.1	52.2	77.6	41.7	7,151	36.31	39.25
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	374,449	4.1	67.8	24.0	66.5	340,057	1,060,190	2.8	17.1	1.9	57.4	57.7	78.5	41.7	7,151	36.31	39.25
Urban.....	285,056	4.2	64.5	22.4	75.8	255,112	777,037	2.7	14.2	2.3	56.7	59.3	82.3	46.5	8,163	38.10	40.36
Rural nonfarm.....	109,393	3.9	80.5	28.0	42.6	84,945	283,153	3.0	28.1	1.5	59.7	57.7	74.1	26.2	4,060	27.70	35.13
Rural farm.....	61,777	4.5	96.5	15.0	28.5	51,178	197,537	3.5	23.7	1.2	62.6	15.7	71.4
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Denver.....	181,410	4.2	59.3	27.2	74.4	172,104	525,770	2.7	14.6	2.9	55.5	73.9	83.3	52.8	9,145	38.94	41.13
Pueblo.....	25,551	4.0	71.7	20.5	60.3	24,377	82,458	3.0	25.5	2.0	63.7	54.2	77.7	41.4	6,228	31.25	35.51
URBAN PLACES																	
Alamosa.....	3,825	4.0	75.3	72.1	86.1	3,217	11,318	3.1	16.7	0.1	68.2	76.6	90.4	72.0	8,839	67.40	66.34
Boiler.....	5,745	4.5	57.2	18.0	86.9	5,572	16,347	2.6	8.6	1.1	55.8	69.8	86.5	39.0	8,921	42.79	42.67
Colorado Springs.....	16,541	4.3	63.5	13.8	76.6	15,661	43,414	2.4	9.9	2.4	55.1	69.7	79.1	40.1	7,915	38.10	38.20
Denver.....	133,690	4.3	51.3	20.6	78.3	130,306	388,625	2.6	11.9	3.6	51.7	82.0	83.9	51.3	9,657	38.22	40.34
Englewood.....	5,377	4.4	77.3	36.8	86.6	5,223	16,695	3.0	11.9	0.1	72.9	77.3	87.9	59.3	8,334	42.53	44.81
Fort Collins.....	4,588	4.5	63.7	14.7	81.4	4,518	13,719	2.6	10.7	0.1	61.2	67.5	85.7	40.3	7,812	38.73	40.21
Grand Junction.....	4,707	4.1	67.4	19.4	78.6	4,480	13,763	2.8	15.0	0.3	64.7	62.9	81.6	41.1	7,559	37.91	40.29
Greeley.....	6,684	4.0	53.2	22.0	77.8	6,397	19,025	2.6	14.0	0.3	64.7	62.9	81.6	41.1	7,559	37.91	40.29
Pueblo.....	17,162	4.1	70.7	16.2	84.2	16,471	44,747	2.8	19.3	0.2	64.7	62.9	81.6	41.1	7,559	37.91	40.29
Trinidad.....	5,619	4.5	70.0	44.4	59.0	5,454	11,869	3.1	21.3	1.2	58.7	55.6	70.9	21.3	4,689	32.01	36.14

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 7

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

CONNECTICUT

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Connecticut increased 25 percent--from 488,543 in 1940 to 611,162 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 17 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Connecticut were slightly greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 3 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 21 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Connecticut, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 60 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 4 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 51 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 46 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Connecticut were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 27 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (14 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Connecticut had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities. In Stamford, for example, 78 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 46 percent in Ansonia.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 74 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 67 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 85 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$11,900. Sixty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Connecticut. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Connecticut will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	611,162	...	488,543	...	122,619	25.1
Occupied dwelling units...	569,638	100.0	448,682	100.0	120,956	27.0
Owner occupied.....	290,968	51.1	181,639	40.5	109,329	60.2
Renter occupied.....	278,670	48.9	267,053	59.5	11,617	4.4

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—				Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of mortgaged*	Median value of one-dwelling unit (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)				
		In one-dwelling detached structures ¹	In structures built 1940 or later ²	With hot water, private toilet and bath, and central air conditioning ³	Vacant or seasonal, dilapidated, for rent or sale				Owned by non-white	Owned by colored					With central heating ⁴	With central air conditioning ⁵		
Connecticut.....	611,162	5.0	47.2	17.3	81.8	1.1	569,638	1,922,794	3.1	9.7	2.2	51.1	68.9	93.6	62.8	11,862	31.85	45.18
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	590,524	5.0	45.9	17.5	82.3	0.9	552,604	1,860,848	3.1	9.8	2.3	50.2	69.2	93.6	62.8	11,862	31.85	45.18
Urban.....	459,353	4.9	36.0	14.9	84.5	0.9	445,283	1,496,673	3.1	10.2	2.7	45.6	69.4	93.8	63.0	12,131	32.06	45.29
Rural nonfarm.....	13,171	5.4	80.5	27.1	74.3	0.8	106,681	364,175	3.2	8.1	0.7	68.6	92.9	56.4	11,049	29.74	43.90	
Rural farm.....	20,658	6.3	83.7	13.8	66.6	5.0	17,034	61,846	3.4	7.3	0.4	80.2	59.6	91.9	56.4	11,049	29.74	43.90
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Bridgeport.....	77,919	4.8	42.6	20.0	84.4	0.9	76,054	251,275	3.2	11.0	2.5	49.1	74.2	94.5	62.0	12,145	32.36	44.22
New Britain.....	103,436	4.9	30.9	20.3	85.3	1.2	101,071	340,022	3.1	10.3	3.2	44.5	78.1	94.7	69.0	12,277	37.60	49.67
New Britain-urban.....	103,436	4.9	30.9	20.3	85.3	1.2	101,071	340,022	3.1	10.3	3.2	44.5	78.1	94.7	69.0	12,277	37.60	49.67
New Britain-rural.....	13,456	4.8	37.1	20.3	83.7	0.9	19,983	64,040	3.1	9.6	3.7	46.6	67.9	94.8	74.5	11,748	30.48	44.45
New Haven.....	77,482	4.9	38.0	12.3	83.7	0.5	75,008	232,118	3.1	9.6	3.7	46.6	72.9	94.8	74.5	11,914	30.48	44.45
New Haven-urban.....	77,482	4.9	38.0	12.3	83.7	0.5	75,008	232,118	3.1	9.6	3.7	46.6	72.9	94.8	74.5	11,914	30.48	44.45
New Haven-rural.....	13,456	4.8	37.1	20.3	83.7	0.9	19,983	64,040	3.1	9.6	3.7	46.6	67.9	94.8	74.5	11,748	30.48	44.45
Standard-Norwalk.....	57,969	5.1	55.5	18.4	89.2	1.2	55,399	191,402	3.2	9.5	3.1	56.0	82.0	94.7	66.3	15,368	41.95	53.82
Waterbury.....	44,240	4.9	39.3	15.5	81.0	1.0	42,879	151,242	3.2	11.1	2.1	49.0	55.3	93.8	62.8	11,065	28.15	42.76
URBAN PLACES																		
Ansonia.....	5,509	4.7	22.1	4.9	68.5	0.3	5,441	18,465	3.2	11.6	6.1	41.2	46.3	91.9	52.6	10,274	22.96	37.58
Bridgeport.....	46,588	4.6	19.7	12.0	80.9	0.6	46,000	152,773	3.1	12.8	3.5	34.0	67.6	91.6	57.7	11,633	31.61	43.30
Bristol.....	10,354	4.9	42.9	23.7	83.7	1.1	10,288	35,462	3.3	10.7	0.5	56.2	74.0	96.2	67.5	9,587	31.37	44.69
Danbury.....	2,999	4.8	28.6	4.4	85.6	2.5	2,934	21,200	3.0	9.7	1.6	42.3	68.6	90.8	98.8	10,959	35.01	46.12
Hartford.....	52,429	4.7	28.4	8.9	86.8	0.4	51,404	165,332	3.0	12.4	0.6	40.1	46.6	90.9	53.2	11,123	28.74	38.42
Hartford-urban.....	52,429	4.4	10.6	9.3	86.3	1.4	51,404	165,332	3.0	13.4	5.7	22.6	71.3	92.7	72.1	12,809	37.66	48.67
Hartford-rural.....	13,352	4.8	37.2	6.0	83.2	0.4	13,146	42,526	3.0	8.6	0.7	51.4	64.4	94.6	57.1	10,726	28.37	42.61
Middleton.....	7,521	5.0	37.1	13.0	76.3	1.1	7,309	24,913	3.2	9.6	1.4	48.5	74.5	95.8	51.9	11,679	33.28	44.61
Middleton-urban.....	7,521	5.0	37.1	13.0	76.3	1.1	7,309	24,913	3.2	9.6	1.4	48.5	74.5	95.8	51.9	11,679	33.28	44.61
Middleton-rural.....	13,352	4.8	37.2	6.0	83.2	0.4	13,146	42,526	3.0	8.6	0.7	51.4	64.4	94.6	57.1	10,726	28.37	42.61
New Britain.....	5,302	5.0	46.3	17.6	81.3	1.8	5,197	17,139	3.1	8.3	0.1	59.9	62.8	94.3	61.7	11,238	26.23	41.23
New Britain-urban.....	5,302	5.0	46.3	17.6	81.3	1.8	5,197	17,139	3.1	8.3	0.1	59.9	62.8	94.3	61.7	11,238	26.23	41.23
New Britain-rural.....	21,100	4.6	20.3	15.2	86.8	0.4	20,923	71,910	3.3	12.3	1.0	35.8	55.1	94.9	63.6	12,987	28.74	42.61
New Haven.....	47,385	4.6	17.4	6.8	81.1	0.4	46,891	152,902	3.0	11.0	3.4	31.7	65.8	93.9	94.8	12,187	29.32	43.39
New Haven-urban.....	47,385	4.6	17.4	6.8	81.1	0.4	46,891	152,902	3.0	11.0	3.4	31.7	65.8	93.9	94.8	12,187	29.32	43.39
New Haven-rural.....	14,917	5.0	31.6	5.0	80.6	3.0	14,099	48,003	3.2	10.3	0.7	82.0	92.8	96.2	82.8	13,078	30.63	43.39
Norwalk.....	7,397	4.8	51.7	16.7	88.0	1.2	7,170	22,970	3.0	7.6	1.7	45.5	59.3	88.7	54.6	8,068	26.19	38.65
Norwalk-urban.....	7,397	4.8	51.7	16.7	88.0	1.2	7,170	22,970	3.0	7.6	1.7	45.5	59.3	88.7	54.6	8,068	26.19	38.65
Norwalk-rural.....	3,829	4.8	23.4	20.2	74.7	1.1	3,726	12,183	3.2	12.4	0.2	58.4	54.5	92.9	52.9	9,866	25.23	41.26
Stamford.....	21,291	4.8	40.6	18.6	85.7	1.0	20,649	71,939	3.3	11.4	4.5	46.9	77.5	94.7	71.7	14,342	40.72	52.76
Stamford-urban.....	21,291	4.8	40.6	18.6	85.7	1.0	20,649	71,939	3.3	11.4	4.5	46.9	77.5	94.7	71.7	14,342	40.72	52.76
Stamford-rural.....	8,309	5.0	35.8	13.0	90.5	0.5	8,189	27,496	3.1	7.7	0.5	53.6	52.2	92.4	51.1	11,549	27.46	43.69
Torrington.....	3,720	4.9	37.2	6.6	86.4	0.4	3,678	11,877	3.1	7.8	0.2	69.3	69.3	95.3	63.5	11,238	28.41	43.55
Torrington-urban.....	3,720	4.9	37.2	6.6	86.4	0.4	3,678	11,877	3.1	7.8	0.2	69.3	69.3	95.3	63.5	11,238	28.41	43.55
Torrington-rural.....	30,125	4.8	26.6	25.6	85.2	0.9	29,640	101,958	3.2	11.6	2.9	40.0	50.3	90.9	49.3	11,928	25.93	37.10
Waterbury.....	41,665	5.0	25.5	4.9	69.9	0.8	4,084	13,062	3.0	8.2	0.4	38.3	49.9	88.0	46.3	9,599	25.93	37.10

1 Includes occupied trailers.
2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.
3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

April 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 8

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

DELAWARE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Delaware increased 28 percent--from 75,567 in 1940 to 97,013 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 19 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Delaware exceeded those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Dwelling units in urban areas constituted 60 percent of all the dwelling units in the State in 1950. Over half the urban total was in the city of Wilmington.

These and other summary data for Delaware, taken from final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

During the decade, home ownership increased markedly in Delaware as it did throughout the rest of the United States. In 1940, 47 percent of the occupied dwelling units in the State were owner-occupied; whereas, by 1950, this proportion had risen to 59 percent. Much of the gain resulted from new construction and some from the sale of existing rental homes for owner-occupancy. Home ownership was more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas.

and 1950. Even though there were more urban than rural-nonfarm units built during the decade, the trend is toward living outside the boundaries of cities. This is evident by the relative increase in new rural-nonfarm housing since 1940 as compared with the relative increase in new urban housing. Around Wilmington particularly, this trend is significant; 23 percent of the units in the Wilmington Standard Metropolitan Area were built during the forties compared with 7 percent within Wilmington city.

Approximately 65 percent of all the occupied dwelling units in Delaware had central heating equipment and 83 percent had mechanical refrigerators. On the whole, both types of equipment were less common among rural units than among urban units.

As measured by the structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, rural housing was of poorer quality than urban housing. In rural areas, 36 percent of the farm units, compared with 57 percent of the nonfarm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was about \$36 a month. The median price at which owner-occupants of 1-dwelling-unit nonfarm homes estimated their properties would sell was approximately \$9,100. One-half the nonfarm home owners in structures with 1- to 4-dwelling units reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Delaware. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Delaware will be available in May 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	97,013	...	75,567	...	21,446	28.4
Occupied dwelling units...	90,390	100.0	70,541	100.0	19,849	28.1
Owner occupied.....	53,247	58.9	33,213	47.1	20,034	60.3
Renter occupied.....	37,143	41.1	37,328	52.9	-185	-0.5

Approximately one-fifth (22 percent) of the dwelling units in Delaware were built between 1940

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 10

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

FLORIDA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Florida increased 61 percent--from 590,451 in 1940 to 952,131 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 46 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Florida were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 7 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 25 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Florida, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 109 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 19 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period the proportion occupied by owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 55 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

nonfarm dwelling units of which 47 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (29 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Only about 7 percent of the occupied dwelling units in Florida had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Warrington, for example, 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 1 percent in North Miami. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 8 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 23 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 71 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,600. Forty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Florida. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Florida will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units....	952,131	...	590,451	...	361,680	61.3
Occupied dwelling units....	821,501	100.0	519,887	100.0	301,614	58.0
Owner occupied.....	473,124	57.6	226,655	43.6	246,469	108.7
Renter occupied.....	348,377	42.4	293,232	56.4	55,145	18.8

Approximately two-fifths of the dwelling units in Florida were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS. FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Anterior (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.

percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100.

percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items or sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of dwelling units	Median number of rooms	In one- or two-family detached dwellings ¹		With hot running water ²	In one- or two-family detached dwellings ¹	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings ³	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—		Owner-occupied nonfarm	Median value of owner-occupied structures ⁴ (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
			In one- or two-family detached dwellings ¹	In one- or two-family detached dwellings ¹							With mechanical refrigeration ⁵	With central heating ⁶					
Florida.....	932,131	4.2	71.2	39.2	60.1	5.1	822,401	2,642,939	2.8	18.8	18.8	57.6	6.5	71.4	6,632	30.46	39.35
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	884,039	4.2	71.5	40.0	62.9	5.2	763,299	2,411,854	2.8	17.2	18.6	56.7	6.0	73.0	6,632	30.46	39.35
Urban.....	643,512	4.3	65.4	47.4	70.8	5.7	560,569	1,721,425	2.7	14.1	18.6	56.7	6.0	73.0	7,095	30.46	39.35
Rural farm.....	238,527	4.1	81.9	56.9	52.1	4.4	182,730	590,509	3.5	24.6	18.2	56.7	6.0	73.0	5,888	30.46	39.35
Rural farm.....	68,092	4.5	94.9	28.9	23.2	3.8	58,202	231,045	3.5	28.8	21.4	69.5	2.5	31.8	5,888	30.46	39.35
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Indianapolis.....	31,027	4.6	69.0	45.0	62.0	3.2	28,277	288,769	3.1	14.0	23.4	54.8	11.0	72.6	6,905	35.11	39.05
Kansas City.....	180,458	4.3	64.0	42.3	82.0	3.3	154,462	371,635	2.7	13.2	9.7	53.9	3.3	90.9	9,479	32.37	36.46
Los Angeles.....	40,212	4.4	76.0	41.0	64.2	5.3	35,833	110,527	2.7	4.6	16.2	61.4	12.8	77.4	7,540	36.45	38.50
Long Beach.....	152,612	4.2	71.6	36.4	65.0	5.3	131,683	395,029	2.6	13.1	11.7	61.7	9.4	74.2	6,725	36.26	36.85
URBAN PLACES																	
Indianapolis.....	6,153	4.0	73.0	32.5	51.7	5.6	4,821	13,250	2.3	11.8	18.2	63.1	4.9	70.2	7,717	30.96	31.84
Kansas City.....	5,813	4.1	59.7	62.5	43.7	2.1	5,495	20,135	3.4	27.3	17.1	68.2	3.6	82.5	3,531	28.98	28.91
Los Angeles.....	7,660	4.0	87.9	43.4	86.1	12.6	5,202	15,236	2.4	9.8	15.2	98.8	16.9	82.5	3,671	46.08	44.74
Long Beach.....	12,246	5.0	56.8	25.4	74.3	7.9	10,709	38,821	2.7	21.7	22.0	72.3	10.3	82.5	1,825	41.72	40.87
Los Angeles.....	16,793	4.9	64.7	39.0	81.3	5.3	11,180	39,026	2.3	11.8	17.5	77.5	4.1	86.5	10,761	35.01	33.61
Kansas City.....	16,793	4.9	64.7	39.0	81.3	5.3	11,180	39,026	2.3	11.8	17.5	77.5	4.1	86.5	10,761	35.01	33.61
Los Angeles.....	4,412	4.1	72.2	43.4	53.3	4.7	3,966	12,860	2.8	13.5	24.0	57.8	3.5	66.7	5,789	33.78	39.94
Long Beach.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194	33.03	37.18
Los Angeles.....	6,998	4.3	62.0	36.8	73.1	2.3	6,742	21,318	2.8	11.9	24.3	40.0	5.0	68.0	8,194		

Incentivized trailers.

Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without busin

Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 11

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

GEORGIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Georgia increased 21 percent--from 796,715 in 1940 to 966,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Georgia were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Georgia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 79 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 9 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 31 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 47 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-one percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 44 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Georgia were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 31 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (16 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

One-seventh of the occupied dwelling units in Georgia had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Atlanta, for example, 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 10 percent in Savannah. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 24 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 28 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 9 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 54 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$20 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,200. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Georgia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Georgia will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$1.00 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	966,672	...	796,715	...	169,957	21.3
Occupied dwelling units...	889,269	100.0	752,241	100.0	137,028	18.2
Owner occupied.....	413,696	46.5	231,689	30.8	182,007	78.6
Renter occupied.....	475,573	53.5	520,552	69.2	-44,979	-8.6

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one- or two-unit structures*		In three- or four-unit structures*	With hot running water in private toilet and bath, dated	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings, dated, for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With more than 1 person per room	Owner-occupied by nonwhite	With central heating*	With median-value unit heater*	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median owner-occupied tract monthly rent (dollars)	Median rent (dollars)			
			detached	attached																
Georgia.....	965,672	4.2	75.3	24.6	35.8	1.6	889,269	3,335,172	3.3	27.2	28.0	46.5	58.9	40.2	5,235	19.97	27.42			
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	721,310	4.1	68.0	27.5	44.7	1.7	677,100	2,975,803	3.1	24.5	27.6	45.1	18.0	63.6	5,235	19.97	27.42			
Urban.....	423,035	4.1	56.9	24.2	44.4	1.7	438,089	1,849,297	3.1	22.0	29.4	44.1	23.5	68.0	4,939	18.82	26.40			
Rural nonfarm.....	268,275	4.1	86.7	31.4	28.2	1.7	239,011	879,566	3.3	29.1	24.3	47.0	7.8	55.5	3,459	14.51	20.99			
Atlanta.....	245,362	4.4	96.9	13.8	9.3	1.3	212,169	961,369	4.0	35.9	29.3	50.9	2.1	43.6			
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Atlanta.....	192,552	4.3	36.1	29.1	61.9	1.9	185,980	641,769	3.1	19.8	21.9	50.6	41.2	77.9	8,122	30.12	34.54			
Aqueduct.....	45,058	4.0	72.1	22.9	43.0	2.1	42,450	151,437	3.2	25.9	33.3	43.5	12.0	65.4	4,984	16.55	25.42			
Boston.....	43,720	3.8	68.7	33.3	50.0	1.7	41,633	154,900	3.3	29.5	27.4	37.9	17.9	59.8	50.2	24.87	29.77			
Cambridge.....	34,565	4.2	72.4	28.0	49.2	1.7	37,196	130,052	3.1	25.9	37.3	41.6	16.2	64.2	42.6	21.92	27.52			
Massachusetts.....	46,928	4.3	46.1	28.9	53.4	1.2	43,074	146,506	3.1	17.8	37.3	37.6	9.2	61.8	6,192	21.60	28.58			
Savannah.....																				
BRUNSWICK	5,527	4.3	64.6	33.4	62.1	4.6	4,996	17,776	3.2	19.4	38.3	47.6	1.8	68.7	3,931	25.37	31.01			
College Park.....	4,268	4.3	65.3	39.0	62.7	1.1	4,166	14,794	3.2	18.7	16.3	63.1	23.8	79.3	6,975	24.37	38.47			
Columbus.....	22,824	3.8	63.6	26.0	53.8	1.4	22,154	79,886	3.2	27.0	24.8	38.0	19.0	63.5	53.0	24.30	33.43			
Duluth.....	4,643	4.2	72.4	28.0	49.3	1.2	4,494	15,867	3.2	21.9	7.4	45.8	14.3	68.1	38.0	19.45	27.87			
Decatur.....	6,440	5.2	64.3	29.9	86.4	0.9	6,332	21,066	3.1	9.5	12.8	65.0	91.0	99.9	4,021	26.07	44.25			
Dublin.....	3,193	4.1	66.0	28.3	42.0	2.1	3,080	10,078	3.0	20.4	38.8	51.1	30.9	58.0	5,237	19.63	26.07			
East Point.....	6,348	4.6	64.1	37.6	71.1	1.3	6,222	21,012	3.2	17.0	11.0	63.8	56.7	82.1	60.6	8,437	28.39	35.17		
East Point.....	3,400	4.0	53.0	13.5	45.3	1.2	3,351	11,409	3.0	23.0	22.6	37.9	64.6	26.2	5,480	21.33	32.48			
Griffin.....	1,076	3.7	57.1	17.1	31.8	1.2	1,076	3,515	3.0	23.0	22.6	37.9	64.6	26.2	5,480	21.33	32.48			
La Grange.....	6,917	3.6	79.7	22.0	31.8	1.2	6,766	24,668	3.4	33.0	30.1	42.5	10.6	46.8	3,415	13.80	23.69			
Macon.....	21,198	3.4	47.4	11.8	46.6	0.9	20,723	67,233	2.8	25.2	40.1	35.4	19.7	63.3	5,463	17.36	24.44			
Montgomery.....	6,899	4.1	81.8	20.8	30.3	1.1	7,787	20,397	3.3	15.9	13.2	41.3	29.6	86.4	58.1	7,475	37.68	37.95		
Money-Hawthick (union).....	8,899	4.4	81.8	20.8	30.3	1.1	7,787	20,397	3.3	15.9	13.2	41.3	29.6	86.4	58.1	7,475	37.68	37.95		
Montreal.....	3,458	3.9	66.9	20.5	42.2	2.0	3,324	11,541	3.1	24.5	33.5	36.7	3.5	70.3	41.0	5,403	18.61	26.51		
Rose.....	8,848	4.1	57.0	21.3	55.5	1.2	8,725	29,167	3.0	20.0	19.6	40.0	24.9	70.3	38.7	5,800	20.53	27.61		
Savannah.....	36,897	4.3	36.7	24.5	53.7	2.9	34,839	136,922	3.1	17.3	38.9	35.7	10.3	60.9	51.7	6,816	28.35	38.35		
Thomasville.....	4,744	4.4	73.9	18.3	47.2	1.4	4,219	14,164	3.0	29.2	43.4	35.3	8.7	64.6	20.45	28.35	28.35			
Valdosta.....	5,978	4.2	72.2	23.4	41.1	5.0	5,550	19,362	3.1	21.6	42.5	44.8	5.5	51.6	43.5	4,502	20.38	28.70		
Waycross.....	5,528	4.5	72.4	18.9	44.4	1.9	5,343	18,568	3.1	19.1	34.3	57.1	58.9	31.8	4,502	20.38	28.70			

1 Includes occupied trailers.
 2 Excludes units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.
 3 Excludes 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 12, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 12

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

IDAHO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Idaho increased 23 percent--from 152,835 in 1940 to 188,328 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 12 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Idaho was equal to that for the United States as a whole, while the percentage gain in population was slightly smaller, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Idaho, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 35 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 58 percent to 66 percent in the ten-year period. This State had one of the highest home ownership rates among all the States in both 1940 and 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-three percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 63 percent* of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

largest concentration of new units was among non-farm dwelling units, of which 30 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

About one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Idaho had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Boise City, for example, 66 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 40 percent in Caldwell and Coeur d'Alene. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 48 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 17 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 68 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 44 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Thirty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Idaho. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Idaho will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 and 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	188,328	...	152,835	...	35,493	23.2
Occupied dwelling units...	169,110	100.0	141,727	100.0	27,383	19.3
Owner occupied.....	110,694	65.5	82,078	57.9	28,616	34.9
Renter occupied.....	58,416	34.5	59,649	42.1	-1,233	-2.1

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Idaho were built between 1940 and 1950. The

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATES, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.)

Area	All dwelling units							Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—				Median value of one-dwelling unit* (dollars)	Percent owner-mortgaged†	Median one-monthly rent (dollars)	Median one-monthly gross rent (dollars)		
		Median number of unit rooms	In one-unit dwellings						Owner occupied	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration†							
			In one-unit dwellings	In one-unit dwellings built in 1940 or later	In one-unit dwellings with hot running water and not dated for rent or sale	Vacant seasonal cottages, not dated												
Iaaho.....	188,328	4.1	83.1	27.5	63.6	2.4	169,110	372,854	3.1	22.2	1.0	65.5	31.8	82.5	34.7	5,852	35.91	43.85
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	140,184	4.0	76.2	29.9	67.6	2.8	126,469	408,060	3.0	21.3	0.8	62.9	36.6	82.3	34.7	5,852	35.91	43.85
Urban.....	81,508	4.1	72.8	30.1	79.0	3.0	77,021	242,823	2.9	18.1	0.6	62.5	47.6	87.2	40.9	6,863	39.65	46.65
Rural nonfarm.....	58,676	3.8	87.2	29.6	51.5	2.5	49,448	165,237	3.0	26.2	1.2	63.5	19.5	74.6	23.8	4,214	27.27	37.17
Rural farms.....	49,144	4.5	97.4	20.6	44.3	1.4	42,661	164,794	3.6	25.2	1.5	73.1	17.4	83.0
URBAN PLACES																		
Belle City.....	11,969	4.3	63.3	27.7	86.8	3.5	11,386	32,932	2.6	8.8	0.8	58.0	65.5	91.9	50.0	8,437	45.63	48.68
Caladwell.....	3,602	4.1	75.2	39.7	74.2	3.7	3,377	10,181	2.9	15.0	0.5	64.5	39.9	86.4	43.5	6,721	36.23	45.07
Cover d'Alene.....	4,280	4.3	82.0	19.5	85.7	2.5	4,011	11,860	2.7	11.1	0.1	70.3	39.6	83.7	36.6	5,600	38.83	44.20
Idaho Falls.....	5,777	3.8	66.2	31.9	77.0	2.2	5,538	18,726	3.1	27.4	0.6	62.7	39.2	86.4	39.8	8,669	42.73	49.04
Leidreth.....	4,506	4.0	64.2	21.5	77.9	1.9	4,345	12,406	2.5	12.3	0.3	54.5	51.3	86.7	37.8	7,300	40.86	46.67
Norcow.....	2,847	4.3	52.9	29.3	82.6	2.3	2,743	8,245	2.7	38.3	0.2	46.2	45.9	84.6	34.5	8,587	36.30	46.09
Nampa.....	5,348	4.1	78.8	33.2	75.6	3.2	5,006	15,617	3.0	16.0	0.2	68.5	45.9	86.9	46.2	6,311	36.75	44.21
Ponville.....	7,882	3.9	55.3	31.1	87.2	4.0	7,397	24,666	3.1	21.8	2.0	52.8	64.8	90.4	45.9	8,477	43.45	46.84
Yonville.....	5,686	4.0	70.9	31.0	73.4	2.3	5,637	17,470	2.8	18.2	0.4	60.4	49.8	86.6	45.6	7,334	42.71	50.73

1 Include occupied trailers.
2 Includes mobile homes.
3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures without business.
4 Includes mobile homes.
5 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures without business.

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Includes occupied trailers.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

4 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

5 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

6 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 26, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 13

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ILLINOIS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A Bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Illinois increased 17 percent--from 2,280,826 in 1940 to 2,671,647 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Illinois were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only one-twelfth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-seventh and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Illinois, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 2 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 50 percent. Home ownership was most prevalent among rural-nonfarm dwelling units. Seventy percent of the occupied rural-nonfarm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 58 percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units and 46 percent of the urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Illinois were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 22 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Illinois had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Evanston, for example, 96 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 55 percent in East St. Louis. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 76 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 39 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$42 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$8,600. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Illinois. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Illinois will be available in January 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.70 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	2,671,647	...	2,280,826	...	390,821	17.1
Occupied dwelling units..	2,582,000	100.0	2,192,724	100.0	389,276	17.8
Owner occupied.....	1,294,249	50.1	882,870	40.3	411,379	46.6
Renter occupied.....	1,287,751	49.9	1,309,854	59.7	-22,103	-1.7

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units						Percent of dwelling units—						Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Median number of detached units	In one-family detached units				In one-family detached units, with private and not dated	Vacant, not dated, or male	Total number	Population dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—				With mechanical refrigerating machine	Percent mortgaged	Value of one-family detached houses* (dollars)	Median value of one-family detached houses* (dollars)	Median value of one-family detached houses* (dollars)		
			In one-family detached units	In one-family detached units, with private and not dated	In one-family detached units, with private and not dated	In one-family detached units, with private and not dated						Occupied by owner-occupied white	With best housing	Owner-occupied white								
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																						
Illinois																						
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	2,671,647	4.7	52.2	12.3	67.0	0.9	2,592,000	8,341,171	3.0	12.8	6.6	50.1	68.7	87.8	39.9	8,646	41.91	47.19	47.19			
Urban.....	2,444,642	4.6	48.0	12.7	70.4	0.9	2,369,961	7,278,939	2.9	13.1	7.1	49.4	71.4	88.4	39.9	8,646	41.91	47.19	47.19			
Rural nonfarm.....	2,065,340	4.7	89.4	22.1	42.2	0.8	347,398	1,109,905	2.9	13.4	1.1	69.8	43.7	79.5	26.0	4,949	23.77	34.34	34.34			
Rural farm.....	257,005	6.0	97.0	8.1	30.5	1.2	232,039	782,232	3.3	9.3	0.5	57.9	38.5	80.2				
CHICAGO																						
Davenport-Iowa-Moline.....	70,326	4.7	67.2	18.8	66.9	0.6	68,802	223,620	3.0	12.6	1.2	60.8	77.4	93.1	41.5	9,183	40.23	45.51	45.51			
Peoria.....	76,675	4.6	74.5	21.7	64.4	1.2	74,119	239,955	3.1	13.5	2.1	63.9	72.9	88.9	44.5	7,070	38.21	42.53	42.53			
Rockford.....	46,875	4.9	64.8	23.3	65.9	0.8	45,665	148,197	3.0	10.2	1.9	60.7	76.0	90.8	45.5	9,345	39.91	43.97	43.97			
Springfield.....	41,848	4.5	72.0	15.9	68.3	0.9	40,659	127,532	2.8	12.6	3.3	61.8	70.9	90.0	36.1	6,963	41.62	43.97	43.97			
URBAN PLACES																						
Alton.....	10,111	4.4	56.3	10.2	65.1	1.0	9,901	31,361	2.8	14.9	10.5	56.5	68.1	86.8	39.6	7,838	32.26	37.67	37.67			
Aurora.....	15,422	5.2	63.6	7.3	82.0	1.0	15,153	48,833	2.9	6.6	1.9	64.9	89.7	94.2	41.7	9,413	43.11	51.86	51.86			
Bloomington.....	10,958	4.7	50.6	13.6	68.3	0.9	10,422	32,039	2.8	13.5	0.4	63.1	78.1	98.5	35.3	8,259	38.42	39.10	39.10			
Bloomington nonfarm.....	10,958	4.7	50.6	13.6	68.3	0.9	10,422	32,039	2.8	13.5	0.4	63.1	78.1	98.5	35.3	8,259	38.42	39.10	39.10			
Bloomington farm.....	10,958	4.7	50.6	13.6	68.3	0.9	10,422	32,039	2.8	13.5	0.4	63.1	78.1	98.5	35.3	8,259	38.42	39.10	39.10			
Blue Island.....	5,097	5.1	55.8	8.2	86.3	0.5	5,035	12,430	3.2	9.1	0.2	78.8	94.6	94.7	37.4	12,405	40.93	49.45	49.45			
Broadfield.....	4,477	5.1	71.1	30.0	96.4	0.9	4,402	12,315	3.3	9.1	0.2	78.8	94.6	94.7	37.4	12,405	40.93	49.45	49.45			
Calumet.....	4,227	4.2	53.1	6.0	48.5	1.2	4,037	11,926	2.5	13.1	37.4	38.1	41.5	27.6	5.925	17.40	23.54	23.54				
Calumet nonfarm.....	4,227	4.2	53.1	6.0	48.5	1.2	4,037	11,926	2.5	13.1	37.4	38.1	41.5	27.6	5.925	17.40	23.54	23.54				
Calumet farm.....	4,169	4.8	69.3	8.7	82.5	0.5	4,076	11,926	3.2	13.9	0.2	61.4	78.7	93.0	48.1	9,925	47.97	48.72	48.72			
Carletonville.....	3,209	4.7	76.6	18.2	61.8	1.5	3,109	9,333	2.8	8.3	0.4	78.7	93.0	94.6	34.6	6,405	34.14	40.52	40.52			
Carletonville nonfarm.....	3,209	4.7	76.6	18.2	61.8	1.5	3,109	9,333	2.8	8.3	0.4	78.7	93.0	94.6	34.6	6,405	34.14	40.52	40.52			
Carletonville farm.....	4,787	4.7	74.3	17.7	64.3	1.5	4,609	13,670	2.9	10.0	18.8	63.2	78.4	93.0	30.5	5,497	38.33	41.26	41.26			
Chicago Heights.....	10,106,119	4.6	59.6	25.3	74.7	1.1	9,883	31,148	2.9	12.2	7.7	54.9	75.5	89.2	48.4	10,232	47.64	48.67	48.67			
Chicago Heights nonfarm.....	10,106,119	4.6	59.6	25.3	74.7	1.1	9,883	31,148	2.9	12.2	7.7	54.9	75.5	89.2	48.4	10,232	47.64	48.67	48.67			
Chicago Heights farm.....	7,034	4.8	37.1	11.4	67.0	0.8	6,919	24,069	3.1	14.9	14.9	48.6	86.5	94.6	34.4	10,128	35.08	41.26	41.26			
Clarendonville.....	3,899	4.8	76.7	13.0	67.4	0.5	3,807	10,537	3.1	8.4	0.1	72.9	73.4	95.9	39.7	12,114	38.19	46.89	46.89			
Clarendonville nonfarm.....	3,899	4.8	76.7	13.0	67.4	0.5	3,807	10,537	3.1	8.4	0.1	72.9	73.4	95.9	39.7	12,114	38.19	46.89	46.89			
Clarendonville farm.....	12,513	4.8	68.7	13.9	73.6	1.1	12,248	36,562	2.6	9.3	7.1	63.7	71.3	79.7	47.1	7,697	36.52	41.99	41.99			
Deerfield.....	21,540	4.7	67.8	13.9	73.6	1.1	21,120	64,494	2.7	10.1	4.1	64.7	82.8	89.7	47.1	7,697	36.52	41.99	41.99			
Deerfield nonfarm.....	21,540	4.7	67.8	13.9	73.6	1.1	21,120	64,494	2.7	10.1	4.1	64.7	82.8	89.7	47.1	7,697	36.52	41.99	41.99			
Deerfield farm.....	3,213	5.0	54.1	12.8	78.1	0.4	3,105	10,534	2.9	8.4	0.1	57.8	83.5	95.0	31.0	9,546	39.02	48.23	48.23			
Des Plaines.....	4,427	5.2	70.9	9.6	90.6	0.9	4,222	14,885	3.4	6.7	...	78.7	96.0	96.6	64.9	13,571	48.37	57.74	57.74			
Des Plaines nonfarm.....	4,427	5.2	70.9	9.6	90.6	0.9	4,222	14,885	3.4	6.7	...	78.7	96.0	96.6	64.9	13,571	48.37	57.74	57.74			
Des Plaines farm.....	3,507	5.3	85.6	21.3	92.5	0.7	3,422	11,828	3.7	6.0	...	78.7	96.0	96.6	64.9	13,571	48.37	57.74	57.74			
East Moline.....	3,380	4.5	70.0	15.0	65.8	0.6	3,334	11,292	3.1	15.2	4.3	60.4	79.2	93.8	32.9	7,411	35.02	41.27	41.27			
East Moline nonfarm.....	3,380	4.5	70.0	15.0	65.8	0.6	3,334	11,292	3.1	15.2	4.3	60.4	79.2	93.8	32.9	7,411	35.02	41.27	41.27			
East Moline farm.....	24,463	5.9	59.8	8.8	52.5	0.9	23,893	83,204	2.8	32.0	...	60.4	79.2	93.8	32.9	7,411	35.02	41.27	41.27			
East St. Louis.....	11,944	5.0	61.0	10.2	86.2	0.6	11,753	36,178	2.8	6.0	0.7	60.5	90.4	93.6	37.1	10,678	41.24	49.40	49.40			
East St. Louis nonfarm.....	11,944	5.0	61.0	10.2	86.2	0.6	11,753	36,178	2.8	6.0	0.7	60.5	90.4	93.6	37.1	10,678	41.24	49.40	49.40			
East St. Louis farm.....	5,128	5.2	75.8	26.9	86.5	1.1	5,120	21,007	3.3	6.0	0.1	78.0	96.3	98.6	58.8	15,366	62.32	70.45	70.45			
East St. Louis nonfarm.....	5,128	5.2	75.8	26.9	86.5	1.1	5,120	21,007	3.3	6.0	0.1	78.0	96.3	98.6	58.8	15,366	62.32	70.45	70.45			
East St. Louis farm.....	20,947	4.9	36.9	10.5	95.5	0.6	20,624	65,103	3.1	6.9	7.1	41.2	95.6	97.8	49.1	19,498	63.11	72.53	72.53			

* Excluded to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without a bathroom.

* Excluded to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without a bathroom.

* Excluded to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without a bathroom.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CONT.

[Asterisk (*) Denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Median number of detached or later*	In one-structure built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, running water, private bath and not displaced for rent or sale	Vacant non-occupied seasonal or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Population per dwelling unit	Median number of persons per room	With more than one person per room	Owner-occupied	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent more moved in last 12 months	Median value of dwelling structure (dollars)	Median value of dwelling structure (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)		
URBAN PLACES—Con.																		
Evergreen Park.....	2,801	5.1	90.4	95.7	0.6	2,719	10,381	3.7	10.7	...	89.8	92.1	98.7	13,244	47.04	54.41		
Forest Park.....	7,699	4.9	92.6	98.6	0.3	4,611	14,623	3.0	8.3	...	91.7	88.4	98.1	11,583	45.97	53.22		
Forest View.....	4,659	5.2	95.3	98.6	0.3	4,611	14,623	3.0	8.3	...	91.7	88.4	98.1	11,583	45.97	53.22		
Grain Valley.....	10,281	4.0	93.1	97.5	0.8	7,002	20,358	2.7	5.1	...	5.1	20.2	80.0	7,284	39.22	48.72		
Granite City.....	9,026	4.0	93.1	97.5	0.7	8,070	28,959	3.0	18.6	...	63.4	66.1	90.8	6,423	30.46	34.06		
Harrisburg.....	3,803	4.3	81.8	84.6	51.2	3,673	10,968	2.6	13.0	...	63.4	37.5	67.4	4,290	28.43	35.23		
Harvey.....	6,066	4.8	85.5	92.5	0.8	5,997	20,371	3.2	12.4	...	59.0	79.8	92.4	9,202	38.99	46.77		
Highland Park.....	4,834	6.0	79.0	92.6	1.0	4,676	16,469	3.3	4.7	...	94.1	97.8	98.2	20,000	58.79	68.42		
Irving Park.....	12,510	4.9	95.0	98.5	0.5	12,191	49,725	4.0	8.7	...	58.1	94.1	98.1	9,464	39.86	47.81		
Joliet.....	8,104	4.7	95.8	10.9	0.9	7,942	25,003	2.8	10.1	...	3.6	55.9	82.7	9,105	43.30	50.21		
Kenneshaw.....	5,403	5.4	77.5	11.4	53.2	5,368	16,985	3.1	6.9	...	72.3	84.1	89.9	5,716	34.08	41.98		
La Grange.....	3,414	6.0	72.6	18.0	99.1	3,353	11,772	3.2	4.6	...	5.2	73.1	86.6	17,614	39.15	69.44		
La Salle.....	3,613	5.0	72.0	6.0	74.5	3,555	10,811	2.8	10.8	...	64.1	57.1	79.6	7,008	31.33	41.60		
Maplewood.....	3,584	4.9	81.4	13.6	68.6	3,519	10,611	2.8	10.8	...	11.1	66.2	78.7	6,969	37.63	40.49		
Morton.....	3,289	4.9	72.6	64.0	1.0	3,215	9,443	2.6	8.8	...	0.8	65.1	69.6	7,348	35.37	41.19		
Norfolk.....	5,885	4.4	79.2	17.9	59.5	5,712	10,332	2.6	9.7	...	3.1	65.4	49.8	4,784	36.62	32.75		
Norwood.....	8,027	5.1	54.6	2.7	89.0	8,719	27,118	2.7	10.7	...	63.3	79.6	93.4	6,763	39.67	45.01		
Oak Park.....	20,374	5.3	47.8	1.6	96.4	20,053	62,383	2.9	9.4	...	0.1	50.3	96.6	43.1	16,259	61.23	66.66	
Oak Park.....	5,188	5.1	90.7	9.6	73.8	5,067	13,148	3.5	14.9	...	0.8	56.8	31.8	10,849	37.73	47.66		
Park Ridge.....	4,888	5.9	92.1	98.9	1.3	4,798	36,263	2.8	7.3	...	0.6	63.3	89.1	10,347	39.37	45.78		
Rock Island.....	7,045	4.5	78.6	67.0	0.8	3,149	9,476	2.6	8.8	...	3.4	69.1	69.6	5,766	39.43	48.12		
Rock Island.....	3,454	4.5	57.3	9.8	71.4	3,839	15,369	2.6	10.8	...	5.4	60.8	46.9	6,050	37.31	40.84		
Rock Island.....	11,557	4.4	55.1	8.6	54.9	11,212	39,694	2.6	10.4	...	2.7	76.0	75.2	8,617	42.12	44.18		
Rock Island.....	2,946	6.6	73.8	18.0	94.2	2,878	16,436	3.0	9.3	...	0.1	63.5	92.3	8,206	38.43	46.62		
Rockford.....	29,177	5.0	50.2	11.6	76.3	28,727	89,232	2.8	6.3	...	1.0	56.6	97.5	11,444	41.32	49.21		
Rock Island.....	14,993	4.6	61.7	15.5	74.4	14,850	46,436	2.8	11.3	...	2.2	57.7	86.2	9,519	42.10	45.81		
Rock Island.....	26,935	4.4	59.1	11.0	76.7	26,782	84,782	2.7	10.1	...	0.1	76.0	75.3	20,000	61.18	69.76		
Springfield.....	4,034	5.2	79.7	74.9	0.6	3,971	12,382	2.8	7.9	...	0.3	97.4	98.2	9,199	42.17	49.21		
Streator.....	4,935	5.0	79.7	10.6	74.7	4,853	16,137	3.0	10.4	...	0.9	72.3	90.2	6,821	34.75	47.34		
Urbana.....	6,157	4.3	55.4	18.5	72.2	6,000	18,220	2.7	12.1	...	3.0	51.3	79.8	10,691	42.10	52.38		
Urbana.....	11,481	4.7	48.1	11.2	82.2	11,294	37,147	3.0	11.1	...	4.8	54.6	86.0	92.9	44.5	11,421	47.09	53.78
Urbana.....	3,052	5.3	79.5	74.7	0.9	2,997	10,345	2.9	11.7	...	31.7	76.1	38.0	3,844	27.66	34.87		
Urbana.....	5,034	6.6	84.7	12.2	96.0	4,923	17,735	3.5	3.4	...	0.1	77.4	97.4	14,880	50.66	54.35		
Urbana.....	3,312	7.3	86.2	9.7	84.5	3,240	11,906	3.5	2.2	...	0.2	76.5	99.6	20,000	63.54	71.19		
Urbana.....	3,178	4.3	73.6	21.9	81.5	3,079	10,099	3.0	14.7	...	***	68.6	92.6	7,450	38.86	42.57		

* Excludes occupied units in 1-1 dwelling unit structures without basements.

* Excludes 1-1 dwelling unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 14

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

INDIANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Indiana increased 23 percent--from 1,005,952 in 1940 to 1,232,314 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 15 percent.

About one-sixth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Non-farm dwelling units in rural areas represented almost one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Indiana, based final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, are released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 50 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 53 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units.	1,232,314	...	1,005,952	...	226,362	22.5
Owned dwelling units	1,188,916	100.0	961,498	100.0	207,418	21.6
Owner occupied.....	766,015	65.5	510,636	53.1	255,382	50.0
Renter occupied.....	402,898	34.5	450,862	46.9	-47,964	-10.6

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Indiana were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 25 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (9 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Indiana had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In South Bend, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 39 percent in Elwood. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 66 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 31 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 41 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 69 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,200. Forty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Indiana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Indiana will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample)

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number of units	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached structures*		In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with private toilet facilities, and not dated, for rent or sale	Vacant nonseasonal dwellings, dated, for rent or sale	Total number of units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per unit	With 101 or more persons per unit	Owned by white	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent of units with garage*	Median value of dwelling unit, owner-occupied (dollars)	Median contract rent, renter-occupied (dollars)	Median gross rent, renter-occupied (dollars)
INDIANA																		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,212,314	4.8	75.9	17.2	56.2	1.1	1,168,916	3,816,485	2.9	13.8	3.9	65.5	54.0	82.6	46.6	6,226	34.26	41.97
Fort Wayne.....	1,039,193	4.6	74.9	18.7	60.9	1.1	889,897	3,150,228	2.9	14.2	4.6	63.8	58.2	83.1	46.6	6,226	34.26	41.97
Indianapolis.....	176,144	4.6	64.6	25.3	40.7	0.9	167,971	534,627	2.9	15.7	0.5	71.2	36.8	78.7	36.8	4,560	23.76	34.46
Rural farm.....	197,121	5.8	96.8	9.4	31.3	1.1	184,929	666,257	3.2	11.9	0.2	74.6	31.1	80.4
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Evansville.....	49,973	4.1	65.9	24.4	62.9	1.7	47,597	156,029	2.9	17.9	5.3	58.9	55.2	77.8	53.7	6,570	33.58	38.29
Fort Wayne.....	56,444	5.0	74.9	18.9	73.5	1.4	54,818	177,356	2.9	8.7	2.4	68.2	75.5	91.7	57.4	7,564	38.41	44.03
Indianapolis.....	171,642	4.6	58.9	18.9	69.0	1.1	167,971	534,627	2.9	15.7	0.5	71.2	36.8	78.7	36.8	4,560	23.76	34.46
Muncie.....	137,730	4.8	89.7	25.3	40.7	0.9	287,977	871,518	2.9	13.9	0.2	74.6	31.1	80.4
Terre Haute.....	28,730	4.8	77.9	24.6	74.5	1.0	28,290	93,832	3.0	10.5	3.5	73.0	76.6	91.2	48.1	7,564	41.42	47.69
St. Joseph.....	34,988	4.4	77.5	10.6	49.8	1.3	33,510	100,983	2.7	12.3	4.0	65.4	61.2	77.6	49.2	4,554	25.88	31.64
URBAN PLACES																		
Anderson.....	13,702	4.4	62.9	12.5	70.9	1.4	13,164	45,713	2.7	11.4	4.0	57.3	67.3	87.7	63.8	6,518	37.36	43.76
Bedford.....	4,130	4.5	72.7	3.8	59.7	1.3	4,027	12,443	2.8	12.8	0.3	69.6	36.1	82.0	50.6	6,287	37.46	41.19
Bloomington.....	7,036	4.1	62.9	17.1	57.2	0.7	6,941	19,022	2.9	14.6	0.8	54.7	51.2	82.0	40.4	6,670	28.79	37.38
Columbus.....	2,806	4.7	67.9	17.4	59.1	0.8	4,715	13,256	2.9	14.4	3.2	57.2	56.9	82.1	53.1	6,640	31.62	42.81
Ellettsville.....	4,168	4.8	64.9	11.7	61.1	1.2	4,066	12,308	2.7	10.0	1.2	60.6	67.6	84.5	51.3	6,756	37.19	43.25
Evansville.....	13,677	4.2	64.9	11.7	61.1	1.2	14,778	51,377	3.2	20.3	17.1	37.6	65.1	79.3	38.8	8,748	38.79	45.01
Ellettsville.....	11,631	5.3	74.5	10.4	48.6	1.1	11,955	35,082	2.8	6.1	2.1	71.9	39.3	78.5	43.5	7,792	28.48	39.61
Ellettsville.....	3,615	4.9	78.6	7.3	58.0	1.4	3,707	11,777	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	55.8	77.3	53.0	6,597	33.91	38.38
Evansville.....	43,819	4.0	64.9	11.7	61.1	1.2	39,403	126,384	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	55.8	77.3	53.0	6,597	33.91	38.38
Fort Wayne.....	42,009	4.9	74.5	10.4	48.6	1.4	43,000	128,384	2.8	7.7	3.2	64.0	81.9	92.7	48.7	8,452	45.17	48.90
Fort Wayne.....	5,067	4.9	70.4	10.1	68.0	0.6	4,904	14,892	2.7	9.8	0.3	65.6	43.7	88.1	51.3	5,711	36.21	44.07
Gay.....	38,283	4.3	48.7	23.2	72.5	1.5	37,323	129,067	3.2	17.5	26.4	20.8	74.0	92.9	39.2	8,121	44.07	47.76
Hammond.....	25,785	4.5	78.4	12.7	74.9	0.9	25,276	85,356	3.2	14.0	1.2	62.6	81.5	92.9	60.5	9,378	43.17	48.89
Hobart.....	3,197	4.5	86.5	37.6	75.6	1.1	3,060	10,789	2.7	13.6	0.2	78.1	92.7	92.7	61.6	8,428	38.51	43.87
Indianapolis.....	13,671	4.6	58.9	18.9	69.0	1.1	13,164	45,713	2.7	11.4	4.0	57.3	67.3	87.7	63.8	6,518	37.36	43.76
Jaffarville.....	4,979	4.1	70.6	10.6	58.3	1.0	4,507	14,522	2.9	20.4	13.2	55.4	40.0	76.3	36.8	5,790	34.02	42.30
Kokomo.....	13,122	4.7	71.6	15.7	66.4	0.9	11,605	38,188	2.9	12.5	3.1	64.2	55.3	82.9	61.6	8,428	38.51	44.80
La Porte.....	11,222	4.8	61.6	18.6	70.9	0.9	10,977	34,447	2.8	35.4	1.1	56.8	64.5	86.7	50.6	7,667	38.08	44.40
La Porte.....	5,990	5.0	62.9	12.5	70.9	1.4	5,888	17,644	2.8	7.6	0.9	61.4	66.6	84.8	35.9	5,699	33.99	41.82
Logansport.....	10,061	4.7	67.4	12.0	63.9	1.4	9,873	29,387	2.7	11.6	4.9	59.1	52.8	82.4	48.3	5,205	33.92	41.67
Mishawaka.....	8,295	4.9	54.5	10.5	79.7	1.3	7,933	25,238	3.0	8.8	4.0	60.8	76.8	88.0	40.8	7,429	34.02	42.30
Mishawaka.....	19,509	4.6	75.6	18.6	70.7	1.6	19,329	62,511	2.9	11.0	7.1	57.8	56.9	80.4	60.1	6,456	34.24	42.64

* Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-belling-unit structures without barns.

3 Restricted to 1-belling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950-1951.
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—	
	Total number of rooms	In one detached structures ¹	In one detached structures ¹ 1040 or later ²	With hot running water, private toilet, and bath, or separate sleeping porch ³	Vacant nonseasonal, dilapidated, or substandard	Total number of dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more persons per room	Occupied by white	Owner-occupied	With central heating ⁴	With median value of dwelling unit ⁵	Median monthly rent (dual-lane)	Median monthly rent (dual-lane)
URBAN PLACES—Con.														
New Albany.....	9,336	4.3	65.6	10.4	59.8	9,173	2.9	17.5	6.4	57.1	47.9	81.0	5,795	35.12
New Castle.....	2,996	5.9	70.3	14.4	71.2	2,973	2.9	12.5	1.5	63.5	60.8	78.7	6,262	41.46
New York.....	12,467	4.8	56.6	10.5	65.9	12,224	2.9	12.9	6.5	67.7	65.9	86.3	5,515	48.37
Shelbyville.....	3,968	7.7	74.7	4.4	59.1	3,911	2.6	11.5	2.4	74.6	59.4	75.3	7,157	44.75
South Bend.....	35,125	5.0	72.1	18.1	82.8	34,426	3.0	7.5	5.0	70.1	65.4	92.8	5,319	38.67
Terre Haute.....	22,027	4.5	67.8	6.3	77.7	21,337	2.9	11.1	0.6	60.6	87.4	92.5	8,111	34.72
Valparaiso.....	6,122	4.2	72.7	8.1	63.2	5,984	2.9	9.1	1.0	62.8	61.2	78.3	8,981	49.13
Wabash.....	3,459	7.2	75.7	12.1	63.2	3,376	2.8	9.3	0.5	66.0	61.1	83.4	4,268	39.51
Washington.....	3,521	4.5	79.6	11.7	98.9	3,493	2.8	34.8	0.2	54.7	53.6	92.7	2,290	31.62
West Lafayette.....	2,610	4.9	57.2	15.4	82.6	2,565	2.8	34.8	0.2	54.7	53.6	92.7	3,476	38.26

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 20, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 15

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

IOWA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in the series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Iowa increased 12 percent--from 726,654 in 1940 to 811,912 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 3 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Iowa were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Iowa, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 37 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 52 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership was more prevalent among nonfarm homes. Sixty-six percent of the occupied nonfarm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the farm dwelling units. This is in contrast to the pattern for the United States as a whole and for most States, where the proportion of home ownership among farm dwelling units was greater than that among nonfarm dwelling units.

More than one-tenth of the dwelling units in Iowa were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 14 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-nonfarm dwelling units was 13 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 6 percent.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Iowa had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Sioux City, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 60 percent in Muscatine. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 74 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 38 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. Fifty-nine percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 67 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,300. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Iowa. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Iowa will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	811,912	...	726,654	...	85,258	11.7
Occupied dwelling units...	780,167	100.0	701,824	100.0	78,343	11.2
Owner occupied.....	494,826	63.4	361,477	51.5	133,349	36.9
Renter occupied.....	285,341	36.6	340,347	48.5	-55,006	-16.2

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500)

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of units in rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—				Median value of one-dwelling unit mortgaged* (dollars)	Median monthly gross rent (dollars)						
		In one-dwelling-unit detached structures	In structures built in 1940 or later	With hot running water, with central air conditioning, and not dilapidated	Vacant seasonal cottages, not dated for rent or sale		Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied white	With central heating* and refrigerators*								
Iowa.....	811,912	5.3	80.4	11.2	51.4	0.8	780,167	2,238,841	2.9	10.3	0.7	63.4	57.3	82.3	32.3	6,320	34.80	42.23
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	593,771	4.9	74.1	13.2	59.1	0.8	573,187	1,797,097	2.7	11.0	0.9	65.9	64.4	81.9	32.3	6,320	34.80	42.53
Urban.....	390,779	4.8	67.6	13.5	67.0	0.9	382,563	1,189,173	2.8	11.6	1.3	63.7	74.1	84.2	39.4	7,272	37.97	44.36
Rural nonfarm.....	202,992	5.3	86.4	12.5	43.9	0.7	190,624	567,884	2.6	9.9	0.2	70.2	44.8	77.3	18.2	4,867	24.91	36.55
Rural farm.....	218,141	6.6	97.5	5.9	30.5	0.7	208,960	761,764	3.5	8.4	0.1	56.6	37.7	83.3
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Cedar Rapids.....	32,793	4.9	72.8	14.0	64.3	0.7	31,821	100,911	2.8	10.8	0.7	65.9	70.5	86.4	41.1	8,395	40.27	47.15
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline.....	70,326	4.7	67.2	18.8	66.9	0.6	68,802	223,690	3.0	12.6	1.2	60.8	77.4	93.1	41.5	9,183	40.23	45.21
Des Moines.....	131,845	4.8	70.3	18.6	61.2	0.9	127,526	404,188	2.9	15.1	1.4	72.9	82.3	87.2	42.4	9,992	39.91	43.01
Sioux City.....	31,945	4.8	70.3	8.6	61.2	0.9	31,253	100,522	2.9	12.5	1.0	60.7	75.3	83.6	38.8	7,008	39.16	42.32
Waterloo.....	29,938	4.7	71.2	22.3	61.8	0.9	29,189	96,235	3.0	13.1	1.8	65.0	74.8	84.3	48.4	7,958	39.08	45.64
URBAN PLACES																		
Ames.....	6,130	4.1	54.3	32.9	79.1	1.6	5,976	17,920	2.8	13.7	0.5	50.2	71.4	82.3	47.9	10,219	33.91	38.44
Boone.....	4,016	5.2	72.0	5.8	70.8	1.0	3,935	11,792	2.6	6.7	0.1	70.4	74.0	84.9	31.3	5,779	42.23	47.80
Burlington.....	9,900	4.9	66.4	14.7	69.6	1.0	9,688	29,923	2.8	8.0	1.3	64.0	80.3	86.9	35.0	9,068	32.84	44.46
Cedar Falls.....	4,000	4.9	64.6	23.2	77.8	0.7	3,923	12,091	2.8	7.6	0.1	64.2	79.0	90.4	41.7	9,486	36.90	43.79
Cedar Rapids.....	22,849	4.7	67.7	13.3	72.3	0.6	22,480	69,845	2.8	10.9	0.9	65.7	78.4	87.4	41.9	9,133	41.98	48.25
Charles City.....	3,234	4.9	70.6	13.0	63.2	0.8	3,171	10,038	2.9	12.4	0.1	66.4	67.9	74.5	31.9	6,735	35.86	46.10
Clinton.....	9,571	5.0	66.5	9.0	69.8	1.2	9,307	29,181	2.8	9.1	0.6	65.9	82.7	87.3	33.0	6,708	40.74	47.08
Council Bluffs.....	13,860	4.7	75.4	12.6	66.4	0.7	13,622	44,188	2.9	15.1	1.4	72.9	82.3	87.2	42.4	9,992	39.91	43.01
Decorah.....	3,234	4.9	70.6	13.0	63.2	0.8	3,171	10,038	2.9	12.4	0.1	66.4	67.9	74.5	31.9	6,735	35.86	46.10
Des Moines.....	57,644	4.6	66.9	14.4	70.3	1.1	56,296	171,197	2.7	10.6	1.2	65.6	81.6	81.9	54.1	10,483	39.76	41.84
Dubuque.....	13,639	4.9	49.0	8.6	50.9	0.7	13,431	45,918	3.1	13.6	0.1	55.0	68.0	87.9	33.2	9,177	32.56	44.32
Fort Dodge.....	7,272	4.7	61.8	12.6	74.3	0.4	7,034	24,286	2.9	13.9	0.6	59.8	84.1	82.3	35.0	9,971	39.38	45.02
Granger.....	4,000	4.9	64.8	23.2	77.8	0.7	3,923	12,091	2.8	7.6	0.1	64.2	79.0	90.4	41.7	9,486	36.90	43.79
Iowa City.....	6,683	4.4	59.6	18.0	71.2	0.3	6,344	20,450	2.7	13.0	0.5	50.9	63.5	83.6	36.3	10,272	44.67	46.88
Keokuk.....	5,300	4.8	63.3	7.8	60.3	1.3	5,126	15,802	2.7	11.1	3.8	63.0	62.5	79.9	37.5	5,456	26.97	32.50
Marshalltown.....	8,349	4.9	69.0	10.5	66.8	0.5	8,099	19,245	2.7	10.3	0.6	64.1	64.1	83.2	39.5	7,449	37.97	42.63
Muscatine.....	8,349	4.7	65.8	12.3	67.2	0.5	8,266	26,825	2.7	12.3	1.0	62.5	73.2	86.2	40.5	7,439	37.97	42.63
Newton City.....	6,353	4.9	67.8	7.2	57.8	1.1	6,189	18,699	2.6	10.3	0.4	60.4	60.4	85.1	28.7	5,701	29.65	35.93
Huckline.....	3,703	4.7	71.9	12.0	71.1	0.6	3,633	11,394	2.8	12.0	...	68.9	79.6	85.2	46.4	7,416	35.13	39.61
Keosauqua.....	3,918	4.8	70.4	10.7	68.5	1.0	3,835	10,800	2.5	10.3	0.6	64.5	66.0	73.7	37.5	5,828	37.69	43.72
Oshtemo.....	10,952	4.4	70.8	13.2	54.3	1.3	10,040	32,890	2.8	14.0	1.4	66.0	61.2	80.7	36.2	8,127	32.54	36.90
Sioux City.....	25,917	4.6	63.3	7.9	66.5	0.8	25,074	80,795	2.8	13.0	1.2	63.2	84.5	84.6	41.5	7,307	35.97	42.78
Waterloo.....	19,894	4.6	66.8	15.9	64.6	0.9	19,307	63,303	2.9	13.4	2.7	65.2	81.5	83.6	49.7	8,247	40.36	46.19

¹ Includes occupied trailers. ² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without basements. ³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 16

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

KANSAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Kansas increased 15 percent—from 545,721 in 1940 to 625,148 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Kansas were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Kansas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 44 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 16 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 51 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 64 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural-farm dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Pittsburg, 67 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with 47 percent in Manhattan.

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Kansas were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Kansas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Topeka, for example, 74 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 21 percent in Coffeyville. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 56 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 20 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 25 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 75 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,500. Thirty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Kansas. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Kansas will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	625,148	...	545,721	...	79,427	14.6
Occupied dwelling units...	586,650	100.0	511,109	100.0	75,541	14.8
Owner occupied.....	375,033	63.9	260,495	51.0	114,538	44.0
Renter occupied.....	211,617	36.1	250,614	49.0	-38,997	-15.6

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATES, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units										Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Median number of detached structures	In one-story detached structures				In two-story detached structures				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent owner-occupied	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Percent owner-occupied	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Percent owner-occupied	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
			In one-story detached structures	In two-story detached structures	In detached structures	In detached structures	In one-story detached structures	In two-story detached structures	In detached structures	In detached structures	With hot running water	With private toilet and bath	With central air conditioning*	With central air conditioning*	With hot running water	With private toilet and bath	With central air conditioning*	With central air conditioning*						
Kansas.....	625,148	4.8	80.1	15.8	57.8	1.9	586,690	1,843,008	2.8	11.6	3.6	63.9	41.8	82.1	33.7	5,462	39.43	37.55						
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	486,796	4.6	74.9	18.5	67.3	2.0	459,881	1,399,599	2.7	12.2	4.5	63.8	47.7	83.6	33.7	5,462	39.43	37.55						
Urban.....	371,197	4.5	69.2	19.9	74.7	2.2	314,408	961,873	2.7	12.3	6.0	62.2	55.6	85.6	40.3	5,462	37.61	38.97						
Rural nonfarm.....	191,599	5.0	89.8	13.6	55.6	1.5	145,473	437,726	2.6	12.0	1.2	67.3	30.6	79.6	18.9	5,462	38.23	32.52						
Rural farm.....	142,382	5.7	97.4	6.8	25.4	1.5	145,473	437,726	2.6	9.6	0.3	64.3	20.0	70.5						
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Topeka.....	33,917	4.7	71.4	16.6	67.7	1.4	32,774	99,965	2.7	11.0	7.0	65.4	67.4	86.2	43.7	6,588	40.41	40.61						
Wichita.....	73,829	4.3	63.6	36.3	71.8	4.0	69,426	216,922	2.8	13.1	4.1	37.5	60.9	88.7	55.0	7,751	40.52	39.48						
URBAN PLACES																								
Arkansas City.....	4,455	4.8	76.7	4.7	77.8	0.7	4,329	12,786	2.6	10.1	3.9	61.1	27.6	85.0	30.2	4,565	34.63	36.56						
Atchison.....	4,597	4.9	84.1	7.2	82.2	0.7	4,018	12,502	2.7	12.5	10.4	60.1	51.3	77.7	28.2	4,995	34.66	40.99						
Chambers.....	3,976	4.9	84.1	7.2	82.2	0.7	3,630	10,100	2.4	9.1	5.6	58.5	41.8	77.4	25.2	3,996	30.42	34.16						
Coftoville.....	6,102	4.5	73.5	10.6	71.0	3.0	5,764	16,926	2.6	10.5	11.7	59.6	20.8	79.5	27.8	4,447	30.97	34.72						
Dodge City.....	3,195	4.1	63.1	16.9	80.0	1.7	3,185	11,006	2.8	15.7	1.8	58.7	48.2	88.0	36.2	7,238	42.97	45.53						
El Dorado.....	3,701	4.6	80.1	11.1	76.1	2.0	3,554	10,842	2.8	11.7	1.7	60.0	43.5	90.0	41.1	6,193	34.99	37.90						
Emporia.....	5,120	4.7	68.6	8.9	78.1	1.2	4,996	14,750	2.6	9.7	2.6	61.3	63.4	83.7	31.2	5,586	37.65	40.40						
Fort Scott.....	3,527	4.1	71.2	3.0	76.9	1.9	3,630	10,100	2.4	9.1	5.6	58.5	41.8	77.4	25.2	3,996	30.42	34.16						
Garden City.....	4,084	4.2	68.4	31.9	75.4	1.6	3,942	12,312	2.9	16.7	2.9	57.8	54.7	89.3	41.3	6,941	41.33	46.89						
Great Bend.....	1,221	4.5	69.7	11.9	70.7	1.9	1,085	3,308	4.3	12.7	9.5	61.9	33.2	86.7	40.6	6,764	37.13	37.11						
Hutchinson.....	4,054	5.0	79.5	3.8	82.7	2.7	3,863	11,133	2.5	7.5	9.0	64.2	33.2	86.7	40.6	6,764	37.13	37.11						
Independence.....	4,597	4.6	67.4	6.9	74.0	1.9	4,405	13,244	2.9	16.1	8.3	44.1	58.1	83.4	34.9	6,884	43.88	41.47						
Junction City.....	3,976	4.6	67.4	6.9	74.0	1.9	3,895	12,720	2.9	16.1	19.5	65.3	63.0	85.7	37.0	5,086	32.67	34.15						
Lawrence.....	6,247	4.5	63.3	12.0	68.5	1.4	6,196	18,755	2.7	11.2	8.2	57.8	58.3	84.7	33.8	5,904	38.84	38.74						
Leavenworth.....	5,200	4.3	70.4	7.3	60.8	1.7	4,698	20,179	2.7	15.2	9.7	61.7	54.6	77.6	37.1	5,304	33.14	38.08						
Mohawk.....	3,794	4.9	78.9	3.6	83.3	2.0	3,664	11,225	2.7	9.1	2.6	62.1	68.1	91.0	31.7	9,301	47.00	43.55						
Newton.....	3,794	4.9	78.9	3.6	83.3	2.0	3,664	11,225	2.7	9.1	2.6	62.1	68.1	91.0	31.7	9,301	47.00	43.55						
Ottawa.....	3,794	4.9	78.9	3.6	83.3	2.0	3,664	11,225	2.7	9.1	2.6	62.1	68.1	91.0	31.7	9,301	47.00	43.55						
Perkins.....	4,894	4.8	74.2	9.0	79.8	2.1	4,681	13,760	2.5	9.4	7.9	66.1	42.3	77.9	31.4	5,975	35.30	37.57						
Pittsburg.....	6,825	4.6	77.7	8.4	74.6	1.6	6,591	18,540	2.5	8.2	1.6	66.7	44.9	81.6	25.9	4,183	28.78	30.11						
Shawnee.....	8,861	4.6	75.1	20.1	76.8	4.0	8,350	25,455	2.8	10.6	2.5	60.5	72.7	88.2	43.7	6,032	40.06	40.92						
St. Paul.....	5,777	4.2	59.9	32.2	73.5	1.3	5,389	16,652	2.6	9.9	7.8	63.0	74.1	86.5	44.6	7,184	41.39	40.96						
Wichita.....	57,797	4.2	59.9	32.2	73.5	1.3	5,389	16,652	2.6	9.9	7.8	63.0	74.1	86.5	44.6	7,184	41.39	40.96						
Winfield.....	3,464	4.9	75.2	7.4	80.2	1.7	3,339	9,559	2.5	7.5	2.2	62.7	30.6	86.2	30.1	5,774	35.66	37.70						

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 28, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 17

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

KENTUCKY

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Kentucky increased 12 percent--from 729,206 in 1940 to 820,141 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 3 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Kentucky were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About three-fourths of all dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another three-fourths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Kentucky, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 36 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 48 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 59 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 52 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	820,141	...	729,206	...	90,935	12.5
Occupied dwelling units.....	778,754	100.0	698,538	100.0	80,216	11.5
Owner occupied.....	456,797	58.7	335,350	48.0	121,447	36.2
Renter occupied.....	321,957	41.3	363,188	52.0	-41,231	-11.4

Almost one-fifth of the dwelling units in Kentucky were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 27 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (16 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

About one-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in Kentucky had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Fort Thomas, for example, 93 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 18 percent in Madisonville. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 46 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$25 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,300. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Kentucky. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Kentucky will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[*] denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100. For sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.

Area	All dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Population in dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—				Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Percent mortgaged*	Median owner-occupied monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)				
			In one-unit detached houses	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot water with private bath and not dated		Vacant non-occupied, dilapidated, or unsafe	Total number	Median number of persons	With 1.01 or more persons per room					Owned by white	Owner occupied	With mechanical refrigeration*	With mechanical refrigeration*
Kentucky																		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	820,141	4.3	78.7	18.7	35.5	1.1	778,774	2,865,393	3.2	25.1	7.3	58.7	24.8	65.9	35.5	5,283	24,666	30.77
Urban.....	271,423	4.1	70.8	19.7	47.7	1.3	545,419	1,832,531	3.1	23.5	9.4	53.0	33.1	72.3	35.5	5,283	24,666	30.77
Rural nonfarm.....	338,509	4.2	89.1	14.7	66.0	1.2	200,197	566,974	2.9	18.7	12.0	52.2	45.9	81.4	42.3	4,281	15,711	22.96
Rural farm.....	245,912	4.1	86.7	26.5	22.7	1.4	225,222	785,537	3.3	30.3	5.6	54.0	34.9	59.5	22.8	3,023	15,711	22.96
	845,720	4.6	96.7	16.4	7.4	0.7	233,335	972,862	3.7	28.9	2.5	71.9	5.5	10.6
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Lexington.....	27,905	4.4	66.6	18.1	65.9	0.9	27,124	89,526	2.9	16.8	18.7	49.0	41.6	77.5	48.9	8,698	33,56	36.74
Louisville.....	170,498	4.2	61.5	21.2	64.5	1.1	166,112	595,304	3.0	20.3	11.5	55.6	49.5	84.2	52.1	7,212	32,44	36.62
URBAN PLACES																		
Anchorage.....	9,219	4.8	74.1	14.3	81.1	1.3	8,976	30,809	3.1	15.3	2.4	62.1	40.9	86.6	53.0	6,998	33,16	35.96
Boeing Green.....	5,581	4.0	97.9	16.5	99.6	2.1	5,388	16,789	2.6	17.5	15.1	45.8	32.9	72.7	35.4	5,940	29,99	32.98
Fort Worth.....	20,501	3.7	79.9	1.9	77.3	1.4	20,021	63,413	2.8	20.7	5.6	49.0	64.7	92.0	54.3	6,920	32,15	34.60
San Antonio.....	11,111	4.0	78.4	10.6	78.4	0.0	11,000	30,809	2.9	4.4	0.1	74.4	93.1	99.1	47.1	12,811	48,40	51.23
Frankfort.....	3,724	4.0	41.6	9.3	77.3	1.0	3,666	10,663	2.6	14.9	12.4	57.4	29.1	79.1	30.4	7,002	30,44	35.99
Henderson.....	5,369	3.8	66.7	21.5	56.8	1.2	5,222	16,634	2.8	21.0	15.3	54.4	35.8	73.2	30.2	4,465	27,26	33.55
Hopkinsville.....	4,164	3.9	64.1	10.8	46.2	0.9	4,107	12,241	2.6	17.9	13.3	48.6	29.9	66.2	29.8	4,979	25,13	29.77
Lexington.....	15,978	3.9	50.3	7.0	61.4	0.9	15,642	48,424	2.7	18.7	27.2	38.7	31.9	71.7	40.8	6,734	31,26	34.91
Louisville.....	111,169	4.0	54.0	25.3	67.6	1.1	108,625	396,791	2.9	21.3	15.5	47.8	30.2	83.7	51.1	7,010	32,82	36.14
Middleburg.....	3,741	4.2	73.9	25.3	64.0	1.4	3,590	10,963	2.8	14.3	17.2	59.6	17.8	77.9	27.2	5,547	28,74	35.65
Middleborough.....	4,446	4.4	81.6	26.1	43.4	1.6	3,696	14,282	3.4	24.7	8.6	57.3	22.7	66.5	27.7	3,374	28,22	34.07
Northampton.....	3,823	4.6	67.5	1.5	69.1	1.4	3,866	30,772	2.9	23.4	3.4	48.9	61.1	89.1	51.5	6,437	28,32	31.82
Owensboro.....	10,074	4.0	67.1	16.1	49.7	1.5	9,867	32,901	3.0	22.3	8.5	50.9	29.9	76.1	27.3	3,781	27,37	31.33
Paducah.....	10,588	4.0	65.4	11.9	44.7	1.0	10,264	32,072	2.8	16.0	17.5	52.8	29.2	66.2	22.7	5,940	29,69	30.58
Richmond.....	2,997	4.1	63.3	21.9	50.3	1.3	2,910	9,113	2.8	16.9	18.2	43.6	28.8	59.4	13.5	4,915	26,10	29.39

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 1, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 18

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

LOUISIANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Louisiana increased 26 percent--from 619,233 in 1940 to 777,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 14 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Louisiana was slightly greater than that for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was the same as that for the United States.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Louisiana, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 67 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 4 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 37 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 50 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Three-tenths of the dwelling units in Louisiana were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 36 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (24 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Louisiana had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In New Orleans, for example, 19 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 6 percent in Lafayette. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 58 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$22 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,100. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Louisiana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Louisiana will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	777,672	...	619,233	...	158,439	25.6
Occupied dwelling units...	724,945	100.0	592,528	100.0	132,417	22.3
Owner occupied.....	364,945	50.3	218,447	36.9	146,498	67.1
Renter occupied.....	360,000	49.7	374,081	63.1	-14,081	-3.8

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100.)

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—		
	Median number of rooms in detached structures	In one-story detached structures	With hot running water	Vacant or non-seasonal dilapidated for rent or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by non-white	Owner occupied	With heating* and hot water*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent of dwelling units	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)
Louisiana.....	777,022	4.1	73.1	30.0	40.5	3.7	724,945	2,616,079	3.2	26.2	30.8	30.3	37.1	5,141	22.21	22.21	26.74
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	632,335	4.1	67.7	31.3	47.4	1.8	594,753	2,049,547	3.1	24.2	29.5	49.8	37.1	5,142	22.21	22.21	26.74
Urban.....	440,596	4.2	57.0	32.3	47.7	1.8	413,543	1,459,532	3.1	24.2	29.5	49.8	37.1	5,142	22.21	22.21	26.74
Rural nonfarm.....	191,739	3.9	80.0	29.0	47.1	1.8	181,210	660,035	3.0	22.6	27.7	38.3	48.0	8,956	24.13	28.30	37.13
Rural farm.....	345,137	4.2	96.7	24.4	10.5	1.1	130,192	566,532	3.8	36.0	36.9	23.9
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Baton Rouge.....	45,397	4.2	78.7	47.1	63.8	2.9	43,142	150,645	3.1	19.9	31.6	58.3	51.6	7,162	32.69	37.89	...
New Orleans.....	207,586	4.3	33.5	23.8	60.0	2.2	197,311	662,096	3.0	22.6	27.7	38.3	48.0	8,956	24.13	28.30	...
Shreveport.....	52,477	4.1	77.9	26.1	53.3	1.1	50,358	171,266	3.0	21.3	34.3	50.1	53.8	7,376	23.46	28.02	...
URBAN PLACES																	
Alamogordo.....	10,918	4.3	74.5	26.3	59.8	2.1	10,416	34,399	3.0	18.5	40.6	50.0	39.2	7,246	22.72	27.40	...
Bartonsville.....	3,750	3.9	83.6	37.4	45.3	1.3	3,640	12,513	3.1	17.4	34.6	60.5	39.8	3,848	22.15	26.46	...
Baton Rouge.....	37,120	4.2	75.6	46.2	70.8	3.3	35,097	119,532	3.1	17.4	27.4	26.6	34.2	7,619	34.87	34.86	...
Begonia.....	5,224	4.0	87.8	21.9	46.0	1.9	5,068	15,433	3.1	24.1	34.6	40.9	31.5	56.1	50.74	47.33	...
Boulder City.....	4,773	3.6	95.3	36.7	78.7	1.5	4,608	12,780	3.1	23.0	35.7	58.7	27.4	3,706	22.96	27.84	...
Crofton.....	3,179	3.8	92.4	48.1	48.8	1.3	3,080	11,733	3.1	26.5	28.7	49.4	37.0	5,817	17.22	22.97	...
Houston.....	3,099	3.8	92.4	38.5	48.8	1.3	3,080	11,733	3.1	26.5	28.7	49.4	37.0	5,817	17.22	22.97	...
Houston.....	3,259	4.2	70.4	27.4	55.4	1.5	3,156	11,407	3.2	24.4	37.1	50.8	4.3	72.4	28.3	34.90	...
Lafayette.....	4,158	4.1	81.9	43.5	59.4	1.7	9,153	31,859	3.2	21.4	24.6	62.8	5.5	70.4	53.4	28.21	31.40
Lake Charles.....	12,501	4.3	78.0	42.5	62.2	2.5	11,902	40,198	3.1	18.9	25.0	59.4	11.0	77.8	48.7	6,120	32.76
Monroe.....	12,003	3.8	70.0	29.1	48.4	1.4	11,642	37,526	2.8	21.1	42.2	48.3	34.9	63.1	33.6	27.60	...
New Iberia.....	4,862	4.2	78.9	39.8	50.7	2.1	4,695	16,095	3.0	21.1	42.2	48.3	34.9	63.1	33.6	27.60	...
Opelousas.....	3,190	4.2	89.0	28.4	49.3	1.2	3,239	11,554	3.2	40.0	38.5	59.7	3.6	63.5	29.3	4.745	23.753
Ruston.....	2,844	4.4	72.7	32.0	59.7	1.3	2,727	9,069	3.0	17.5	34.1	51.5	26.6	68.9	27.7	6,640	24.33
Shreveport.....	38,205	4.2	72.1	24.4	61.3	1.2	37,259	122,351	2.9	18.2	30.6	49.1	33.2	51.0	52.3	23.42	...
West Monroe.....	3,149	4.2	77.0	27.3	62.0	1.4	3,071	10,169	3.0	19.8	33.0	59.5	3.5	75.0	23.8	23.67	...

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

June 11, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 19

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MAINE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Maine increased 19 percent--from 260,659 in 1940 to 311,441 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Maine were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted almost one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and rural-nonfarm dwelling units represented more than two-fifths. About one-third of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were seasonal units.

These and other summary data for Maine, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 27 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 57 percent in 1940 to 63 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-seven percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with 50 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 15 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

Almost one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Maine had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between rural-farm and urban dwelling units. In Biddeford, for example, only 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with 80 percent in Portland. In occupied rural-farm units, 31 percent had central heating equipment, compared with 61 percent in occupied urban dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 55 percent of the nonfarm units as compared with only 29 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 71 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$28 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,900. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	311,441	...	260,659	...	50,782	19.5
Occupied dwelling units...	254,443	100.0	218,968	100.0	35,475	16.2
Owner occupied.....	159,824	62.8	125,390	57.3	34,434	27.5
Renter occupied.....	94,619	37.2	93,578	42.7	1,041	1.1

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Maine were built between 1940 and 1950. The

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Maine. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Maine will be available in July 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only one dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1980

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.)

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of owner-occupied units with mechanical refrigeration*	Median value of one-unit structure* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)				
		Median number of detached or semi-detached units	In one- or two-unit structures built in 1940 or later†	In three- or four-unit structures	With hot water, with private bath, and not dilapidated			Vacant non-occupied units (empty, dilapidated, or under construction)	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white				Owner-occupied			
Melrose.....	311,441	5.3	67.6	12.0	52.4	1.4	254,443	3.1	12.1	0.2	62.8	48.1	71.1	31.8	4,856	27.95	41.35
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	276,049	5.1	65.2	12.6	55.4	1.3	223,630	3.0	12.1	0.3	59.4	50.5	72.4	31.8	4,856	27.95	41.35
Urban.....	143,434	5.1	66.8	10.4	71.1	1.8	133,950	4.0	10.4	0.2	50.1	61.0	78.1	39.5	6,560	30.18	43.18
Rural nonfarm.....	132,645	5.1	85.0	15.2	37.6	0.8	89,680	3.1	14.6	0.4	73.3	34.8	63.8	23.4	3,208	19.81	33.43
Rural farm.....	35,382	6.7	86.7	7.5	28.7	2.3	30,813	3.5	12.0	0.2	87.3	30.8	61.2
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Portland.....	37,801	5.2	42.8	12.2	81.3	1.8	34,994	3.0	8.9	0.3	46.0	77.6	79.1	51.6	8,241	33.01	45.27
URBAN PLACES																	
Auburn.....	7,282	5.0	46.3	9.7	71.6	0.7	6,889	3.0	10.7	0.1	53.0	59.5	81.2	46.2	6,885	29.90	42.82
Augusta.....	5,896	5.0	47.3	11.2	69.3	1.1	5,586	3.0	9.1	0.2	47.5	64.7	81.2	43.0	7,689	36.31	49.33
Bangor.....	8,787	5.3	48.1	7.0	75.6	1.3	2,986	3.0	9.3	0.6	40.5	69.1	80.6	31.6	4,738	30.83	44.46
Bath.....	3,583	5.2	50.1	9.5	68.7	1.2	5,823	3.1	10.6	...	38.8	33.6	82.8	42.4	7,337	24.41	39.42
Bridgton.....	6,321	5.2	50.1	9.5	68.7	1.2	5,823	3.1	10.6	...	38.8	33.6	82.8	42.4	7,337	24.41	39.42
Lebanon.....	11,724	4.7	25.5	10.2	68.5	1.5	11,372	3.1	12.5	0.1	37.5	52.8	81.0	46.7	7,337	24.41	39.42
Portland.....	25,071	5.0	30.4	5.9	70.5	1.2	23,943	3.1	9.7	0.1	37.5	79.6	94.9	50.7	8,241	33.38	44.93
South Portland.....	3,406	5.1	46.0	5.9	77.2	1.4	3,331	3.0	8.9	0.1	56.1	63.1	86.0	38.2	6,561	26.11	38.30
South Portland (uninc.).....	6,215	5.3	65.4	29.4	91.9	0.9	5,987	3.4	9.6	0.2	61.7	79.2	85.4	48.9	7,337	24.41	39.42
Waterville.....	5,178	4.9	33.4	9.8	75.4	1.2	5,053	3.2	10.1	0.1	33.7	52.8	81.0	46.7	7,337	24.41	39.42
Westbrook.....	3,601	5.3	54.6	15.2	73.1	0.5	3,286	3.2	9.5	...	53.7	60.0	88.7	39.2	7,345	23.25	40.40

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 12

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MASSACHUSETTS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Massachusetts increased 15 percent—from 1,221,252 in 1940 to 1,400,185 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 9 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Massachusetts were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 2 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-sixth the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Massachusetts, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 46 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 2 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 38 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 48 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 43 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the dwelling units in Massachusetts were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 21 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among urban dwelling units.

About seven-tenths of the occupied dwelling units in Massachusetts had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Newton, for example, 95 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Fall River. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 72 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 61 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, housing in urban areas was of better quality than housing in rural areas in the State. In rural areas, 69 percent of the nonfarm units and 66 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,100. Sixty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Massachusetts. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Massachusetts will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	1,400,185	...	1,221,252	...	178,933	14.7
Occupied dwelling units..	1,305,194	100.0	1,120,694	100.0	184,500	16.5
Owner occupied.....	624,838	47.9	426,785	38.1	198,053	46.4
Renter occupied.....	680,356	52.1	693,909	61.9	-13,553	-2.0

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATES, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units							Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—			Vacant structures not occupied, dated, or for rent or sale	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of owner-occupied units	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)		
		In one detached unit	In structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, private bath, and not in duplex or row house		Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owned by white	Owner occupied	With mechanical refrigerating*						With mechanical refrigerating*	
Massachusetts	1,400,185	5.2	40.6	9.5	79.2	1.0	1,309,194	4,453,319	3.1	9.8	1.5	47.9	71.4	87.2	9,144	31.61	46.89
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,375,973	5.2	39.8	9.4	79.4	1.0	1,284,012	4,376,514	3.1	9.8	1.5	47.3	71.6	87.2	9,144	31.61	46.89
Boston.....	1,143,070	5.1	31.4	7.2	81.4	1.0	1,108,445	3,768,866	3.1	10.0	1.7	42.3	72.4	86.0	9,555	27.49	43.32
Rural nonfarm.....	232,503	5.4	81.1	21.3	69.0	0.8	175,967	605,648	3.1	8.7	0.8	72.7	66.5	86.0	9,555	27.49	43.32
Rural farm.....	24,612	6.4	81.3	11.5	65.7	0.7	21,182	78,825	3.5	7.8	0.6	82.7	61.4	86.5	7,859	27.49	43.32
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Boston.....	667,412	5.2	33.0	8.2	87.8	1.0	646,156	2,241,182	3.2	9.6	2.2	44.5	82.3	88.2	10,678	36.33	51.51
Brockton.....	38,995	5.2	50.0	8.5	82.3	1.1	37,595	124,010	3.0	7.5	0.6	59.0	71.4	88.2	10,678	36.33	51.51
Fall River.....	42,082	4.8	28.0	8.8	40.7	1.1	37,595	124,010	3.0	11.8	0.2	34.7	82.2	87.3	10,678	36.33	51.51
Lowell.....	37,995	5.2	29.4	6.1	74.7	0.8	36,999	122,158	3.1	7.6	0.3	39.3	53.8	87.1	10,678	36.33	51.51
New Bedford.....	38,489	5.2	43.9	7.5	69.2	0.7	36,178	127,178	3.2	10.3	0.2	49.1	60.6	83.1	10,678	36.33	51.51
Pittsfield.....	43,241	5.0	33.2	6.0	66.1	0.9	41,527	134,655	3.0	8.8	2.1	41.0	39.1	80.7	10,678	36.33	51.51
Springfield-Holyoke.....	119,627	5.3	34.6	10.0	88.3	0.9	118,997	384,896	3.1	7.0	1.0	53.1	80.1	93.3	9,688	32.48	48.63
Worcester.....	76,586	5.3	35.7	9.5	79.5	0.8	74,442	256,069	3.2	21.6	0.6	46.1	74.4	90.1	9,112	30.66	46.45
URBAN PLACES																	
Adams-Needham Heights.....	3,486	5.5	26.4	4.0	72.7	0.6	3,436	11,443	3.1	6.1	0.1	45.3	43.8	87.2	8,294	18.64	33.44
Beverly Hills.....	7,256	5.1	42.4	8.7	73.4	0.7	7,068	23,989	3.0	8.1	0.2	52.5	69.3	87.2	8,213	27.46	43.77
Boston.....	222,079	4.7	10.6	3.1	82.8	0.9	218,120	726,984	3.1	12.7	0.8	56.6	83.1	86.9	9,214	32.46	47.09
Brockton.....	37,833	4.9	32.7	8.3	1.3	19,124	60,971	2.9	7.7	0.8	47.4	70.9	86.0	64.3	7,796	26.82	43.04
Cashmere.....	33,437	4.8	7.2	1.8	82.3	0.5	32,795	107,676	2.9	11.5	0.7	21.9	71.1	87.3	9,860	36.46	49.41
Chattanooga.....	10,729	4.6	34.2	15.9	84.9	0.4	12,588	45,381	3.4	11.5	0.1	47.3	58.7	93.7	7,835	26.85	56.39
Cincinnati.....	12,768	5.2	21.0	3.3	94.8	2.5	12,424	45,395	3.4	10.3	1.6	42.5	87.8	92.6	7,760	23.37	40.24
Glasgow.....	3,666	4.9	24.0	3.6	76.5	1.2	3,588	12,591	3.4	11.5	0.2	50.0	55.6	83.6	7,760	23.37	40.24
Everett.....	12,768	5.2	21.0	3.3	94.8	2.5	12,424	45,395	3.4	10.3	1.6	42.5	87.8	92.6	7,760	23.37	40.24
Fall River.....	33,437	4.8	14.6	4.5	37.3	0.9	32,659	109,203	3.1	12.1	0.2	26.4	29.0	81.8	7,942	20.67	34.45
Fitchburg.....	12,068	4.9	28.4	7.4	70.6	1.2	12,349	41,111	3.1	9.3	0.1	44.4	55.6	85.5	8,006	24.89	40.97
Groton.....	5,385	5.6	33.4	6.4	1.2	5,564	17,856	2.9	10.1	0.1	51.7	49.1	85.5	7,942	20.67	34.45	
Grotonville.....	4,319	5.2	41.5	3.9	90.4	1.0	4,728	14,764	2.8	6.0	0.4	37.1	83.9	85.6	7,942	20.67	34.45
Greenfield.....	14,980	5.2	35.3	78.8	0.8	16,453	45,790	2.9	6.9	0.5	48.4	70.4	85.6	8,448	24.96	41.94	
Haverhill.....	16,666	4.7	18.5	5.5	73.2	0.6	16,283	52,622	3.0	10.9	0.5	23.0	56.5	86.1	8,006	24.89	40.97
Holyoke.....	24,139	5.0	14.7	8.3	70.3	0.6	23,828	77,654	3.0	10.9	0.5	23.0	56.5	86.1	8,006	24.89	40.97
Lawrence.....	27,544	5.2	29.3	4.0	70.3	0.6	27,032	83,605	3.1	12.2	0.2	32.6	62.1	82.1	10,345	27.35	37.92
Lowell.....	27,544	5.2	29.3	4.0	70.3	0.6	27,032	83,605	3.1	12.2	0.2	32.6	62.1	82.1	10,345	27.35	37.92
Lynn.....	29,864	4.9	29.1	3.4	90.5	0.8	29,060	93,522	3.0	9.3	0.7	39.6	86.0	96.9	6,448	23.20	38.55
Malden.....	17,668	5.1	25.3	4.4	92.1	0.5	16,867	56,553	3.2	9.3	0.7	39.6	86.0	96.9	6,448	23.20	38.55
Marlborough.....	4,724	5.1	25.3	7.5	78.0	0.6	4,566	15,334	3.1	7.7	0.1	56.7	67.0	85.1	7,827	27.67	42.77
Needham Heights.....	17,668	5.7	35.5	4.6	96.2	0.5	17,177	65,013	3.5	7.7	1.2	57.4	93.0	92.8	10,597	39.37	51.51

*Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

*Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without

studied occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CON.

[Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units							Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—			Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of most-valued structures*	Median value of one-family structures* (dollars)	Median contract rent monthly (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
		In one-family detached units	In structure built prior to 1940 or later*	With hot water, with private toilet and bath, and dated			With central heating and refrigerator*	Owner-occupied	With central heating and refrigerator*					With mechanical refrigeration*	
Albany, N.Y.	7,762	6.2	62.8	6.9	92.8	7,632	3.2	4.5	71.4	95.0	92.5	12,002	43.84	66.90	
Albany, N.Y.	4,244	5.0	31.2	5.8	74.7	4,127	3.2	8.9	49.2	60.2	89.1	8,805	28.79	45.64	
New Bedford, Mass.	33,939	5.0	21.1	3.6	69.5	33,166	2.9	5.9	56.9	72.9	78.9	7,696	22.07	35.74	
New Bedford, Mass.	4,701	5.7	47.4	3.9	69.5	4,212	3.3	5.9	56.9	72.9	78.9	7,696	22.07	35.74	
New Bedford, Mass.	22,149	6.4	63.7	12.4	93.7	21,652	3.4	4.5	70.7	94.7	94.6	16,162	47.22	64.27	
North Adams, Mass.	6,319	5.4	28.5	3.2	75.3	6,406	3.0	7.0	61.9	55.9	86.3	7,752	26.33	41.49	
Northampton, Mass.	6,885	5.3	45.9	12.4	86.4	6,655	3.0	7.1	54.2	78.9	85.0	8,260	31.23	44.49	
Northampton, Mass.	6,317	5.0	30.7	13.4	85.6	6,400	3.2	9.7	60.0	75.4	86.9	8,966	28.43	44.79	
Pittsfield, Mass.	15,771	5.3	42.2	10.0	88.9	15,353	3.1	7.4	1.1	51.0	81.4	93.2	62.1	7,642	
Pittsfield, Mass.	3,465	5.1	35.5	2.7	83.1	3,426	2.9	6.4	1.1	44.0	53.5	84.9	48.7	9,930	
Plymouth (urban), Mass.	24,945	5.3	47.9	9.2	92.7	23,825	3.2	7.9	58.4	91.5	90.3	10,431	30.92	46.25	
Quincy, Mass.	10,560	5.0	34.2	5.5	86.5	9,941	3.5	12.5	0.2	49.2	83.6	91.7	65.8	7,979	
Revere, Mass.	12,150	5.1	25.0	3.5	80.0	11,810	3.1	11.0	0.3	42.5	82.4	87.3	72.9	8,669	
South Norwalk, Conn.	22,337	5.0	9.9	0.8	88.4	21,810	3.3	11.0	0.3	34.8	62.4	67.3	72.9	8,669	
South Norwalk, Conn.	5,079	5.1	19.1	14.2	74.2	4,984	3.1	7.0	32.5	62.4	67.3	7,456	34.56	51.79	
Springfield, Mass.	47,024	5.1	30.3	10.4	88.2	46,708	3.0	7.6	3.2	43.9	84.5	11,040	30.30	43.32	
Taunton, Mass.	11,078	5.3	35.9	4.4	58.8	10,674	3.1	8.2	0.9	51.9	57.5	9,204	34.96	47.45	
Waltham, Mass.	12,232	5.5	37.7	14.4	87.2	12,017	3.3	10.5	0.1	55.7	88.5	71.9	10,974	34.29	
Waltham, Mass.	2,353	5.2	44.9	12.8	83.8	2,305	3.1	7.1	0.1	33.8	30.4	87.4	9,709	23.11	
Westfield, Mass.	6,321	5.8	55.0	10.1	87.8	6,099	20.219	3.1	7.0	0.1	60.3	68.9	86.5	92.1	
Woburn, Mass.	5,328	5.8	55.0	10.1	87.8	5,262	3.5	13.2	0.9	63.9	86.9	92.1	7,633	25.21	
Worcester, Mass.	55,792	5.3	23.5	6.9	81.9	54,981	3.2	26.1	0.8	38.5	60.5	9,841	30.97	47.16	

* Includes occupied trailers.

2 Renterified to units in 1- to 4-dwelling unit structures without basements.

3 Renterified to 1-dwelling-unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 22

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MICHIGAN

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Michigan increased 30 percent--from 1,519,378 in 1940 to 1,971,842 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 21 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Michigan were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Approximately one-tenth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 23 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Michigan, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 7 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty-four percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 63 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	1,971,842	...	1,519,378	...	452,464	29.8
Owned dwelling units..	1,790,702	100.0	1,396,014	100.0	394,688	28.3
Owner occupied.....	1,208,973	67.5	773,755	55.4	435,220	56.2
Renter occupied.....	581,727	32.5	622,239	44.6	-40,532	-6.5

Twenty-three percent of the dwelling units in Michigan were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 33 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (13 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Michigan had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Ann Arbor, for example, 95 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 62 percent in Saginaw. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 43 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 46 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 38 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 84 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$40 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,500. Forty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Michigan. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Michigan will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960—Cont.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached structures built before 1940 or later*			Vacant nonseasonal dwellings, for rent or sale	With hot water with toilet facilities, and not discolored				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner occupied by white persons	With central heating*	With mechanical ventilation*	Percent of owner-occupied units with mechanical ventilation*	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
			In one-family detached structures built before 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures built before 1940 or later*	In one-family detached structures built before 1940 or later*		With hot water with toilet facilities, and not discolored	With hot water with toilet facilities, and not discolored	With hot water with toilet facilities, and not discolored	With hot water with toilet facilities, and not discolored										
URBAN PLACES—OW.	Baldwin	4,930	5.6	69.5	12.4	86.4	1.2	4,810	15,251	2.9	4.4	0.2	69.7	82.2	91.1	30.4	7,211	35.40	44.93	35.40
	Baltimore	4,137	4.6	77.0	38.2	78.9	3.8	3,992	16,385	3.8	25.7	41.4	68.0	59.2	86.3	55.7	7,275	36.22	46.38	36.22
	Baltimore	3,078	5.3	77.6	5.4	61.9	0.7	3,267	11,351	3.0	6.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	16,451	5.3	65.2	4.1	76.3	1.1	16,014	57,293	3.7	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	27,334	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	26,792	99,293	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
	Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.2	59.6	13.8	73.4	0.7	28,129	99,635	3.0	4.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	82.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	35.53	23.79	
Baltimore	28,777	5.28																		



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 23

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MINNESOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Minnesota increased 19 percent--from 773,042 in 1940 to 918,434 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 7 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Minnesota were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Minnesota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 39 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 13 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-six percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Minnesota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (10 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Minnesota had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In St. Paul, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 52 percent in Brainerd. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 30 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 37 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 20 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 74 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,600. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Minnesota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Minnesota will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	918,434	...	773,042	...	145,392	18.8
Occupied dwelling units...	845,265	100.0	728,359	100.0	116,906	16.1
Owner occupied.....	561,177	66.4	402,318	55.2	158,859	39.5
Renter occupied.....	284,088	33.6	326,041	44.8	-41,953	-12.9

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.]

For sample items, percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—										Percent of dwelling units—				Percent dwelling units* rented	Median value of dwelling structure* (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent* (dollars)	Median monthly rent* (dollars)	Median monthly rent* (dollars)
	Median number of rooms	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	In one detached structure ¹	With hot running water	With hot running water	With hot running water	With hot running water					
Minnesota.....	928,434	4.9	75.0	16.4	52.7	1.0	845,265	2,876,145	3.1	13.0	0.8	66.4	60.1	79.5	41.1	7,806	33.72	43.27	43.27
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	722,283	4.6	66.4	18.2	63.7	0.9	667,096	2,137,254	2.9	12.8	1.0	63.8	68.5	82.8	41.1	7,806	33.72	43.27	43.27
Urban.....	486,689	4.7	56.5	15.4	73.7	0.9	477,037	1,515,190	2.9	13.8	0.9	63.8	68.5	82.8	41.1	7,806	33.72	43.27	43.27
Rural farm.....	235,994	4.3	87.0	24.2	36.5	1.0	185,039	602,024	2.9	13.6	1.0	72.1	43.0	75.5	28.5	5,262	23.45	44.37	44.37
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	136,151	6.1	97.1	9.5	19.7	1.2	183,169	738,931	3.7	13.6	0.1	75.9	29.5	67.5
Standard Metropolitan Areas																			
Duluth-Superior.....	84,901	4.6	69.0	11.6	56.5	0.9	75,520	243,140	2.9	11.4	0.4	65.7	63.6	73.7	33.9	6,114	32.97	34.78	34.78
Minneapolis-St. Paul.....	337,792	4.7	54.8	16.9	72.2	1.0	327,791	1,064,115	2.9	11.8	1.3	60.3	80.8	88.6	51.6	9,563	38.52	44.68	44.68
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	281,211	4.5	58.0	17.6	69.8	0.6	4,148	13,136	2.9	12.6	0.1	62.4	80.0	85.2	49.1	8,933	38.76	43.79	43.79
Urban.....	6,719	4.6	66.5	24.7	80.6	1.0	6,044	22,710	3.2	14.3	0.2	67.1	84.4	93.5	49.1	8,933	38.76	43.79	43.79
Rural farm.....	3,400	4.0	75.0	16.9	41.7	2.4	3,056	9,698	2.8	16.4	0.6	62.8	40.6	63.5	32.6	4,993	31.04	37.66	37.66
Duluth.....	3,596	4.8	54.7	12.1	75.5	0.9	3,148	12,411	3.0	13.8	...	68.2	52.0	72.9	46.6	5,594	31.04	40.72	40.72
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	3,793	4.9	61.8	12.1	54.9	0.4	3,731	12,385	2.9	9.1	0.4	61.8	78.9	76.1	46.6	7,227	32.29	39.78	39.78
Urban.....	3,293	4.6	68.0	24.5	60.3	0.7	3,233	10,235	3.0	11.9	0.1	63.9	73.4	82.1	31.1	6,906	33.46	39.05	39.05
Minneapolis.....	4,953	4.5	63.1	16.7	67.7	0.6	4,565	15,835	3.0	11.9	0.1	56.5	74.5	84.5	38.7	7,078	35.96	44.59	44.59
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	3,404	4.7	64.9	18.1	73.9	0.3	5,336	17,332	3.0	11.5	0.1	63.7	86.0	87.5	33.3	8,553	38.09	44.21	44.21
Minneapolis.....	13,576	4.7	44.0	7.8	77.7	0.8	139,345	489,382	2.8	10.8	1.5	52.6	83.8	88.5	50.2	10,126	38.55	43.96	43.96
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	3,938	4.0	59.3	32.1	66.8	0.8	3,664	11,471	3.2	22.6	0.1	60.5	65.2	83.2	44.6	8,879	38.85	46.53	46.53
Urban.....	3,072	5.1	65.9	17.4	67.3	0.6	3,566	10,059	2.7	9.5	0.1	63.0	58.0	80.7	27.9	9,257	37.71	45.53	45.53
Minneapolis.....	3,432	5.0	38.8	8.7	60.3	0.6	3,366	10,059	2.7	13.1	0.1	93.6	88.6	97.2	83.5	13,780	42.59	51.59	51.59
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	4,925	4.6	96.2	79.5	92.1	4.4	4,611	17,000	3.6	13.1	0.1	93.6	88.6	97.2	83.5	13,780	42.59	51.59	51.59
Minneapolis.....	3,363	4.8	88.8	49.8	90.4	0.9	3,082	11,199	3.5	10.7	...	87.7	89.6	95.8	67.5	11,208	54.22	62.93	62.93
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	8,448	4.5	69.4	23.5	78.5	0.9	6,277	26,273	2.9	11.5	0.2	62.2	83.1	91.8	89.1	11,417	50.24	49.47	49.47
Urban.....	7,607	4.8	69.9	24.2	84.7	3.3	6,784	25,402	3.1	17.3	0.1	69.1	67.5	81.3	35.5	6,774	36.07	45.65	45.65
Minneapolis.....	6,870	4.8	82.5	69.1	94.7	3.3	6,784	25,402	3.1	17.3	0.1	69.1	67.5	81.3	35.5	6,774	36.07	45.65	45.65
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	93,359	4.7	48.6	8.6	75.9	0.6	92,159	299,581	2.9	11.4	...	61.5	51.9	98.0	75.7	12,318	76.79	79.13	79.13
Urban.....	4,518	4.7	64.7	26.1	72.2	0.7	4,455	15,641	3.3	16.4	...	68.2	78.2	88.6	49.7	9,233	36.23	43.66	43.66
Minneapolis.....	7,350	4.8	52.0	10.0	75.9	0.2	7,934	12,078	2.8	8.1	0.1	53.9	74.2	88.6	27.9	8,566	27.56	37.33	37.33
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	7,350	4.8	52.0	10.0	75.9	0.2	7,934	12,078	2.8	8.1	0.1	53.9	74.2	88.6	27.9	8,566	27.56	37.33	37.33
Urban.....	7,350	4.8	52.0	10.0	75.9	0.2	7,934	12,078	2.8	8.1	0.1	53.9	74.2	88.6	27.9	8,566	27.56	37.33	37.33

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Includes detached units and units attached to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 4, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 24

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MISSISSIPPI

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Mississippi increased 9 percent—from 557,246 in 1940 to 609,329 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period decreased slightly—0.2 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Mississippi were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted 55 percent of the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remainder.

These and other summary data for Mississippi, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 49 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 19 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 33 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 48 percent. For the State as a whole, home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in McComb, 63 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with only 36 percent in Greenwood.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	609,329	...	557,246	...	52,083	9.3
Occupied dwelling units...	554,765	100.0	534,956	100.0	19,809	3.7
Owner occupied.....	265,061	47.8	178,118	33.3	86,943	48.8
Renter occupied.....	289,704	52.2	356,838	66.7	-67,134	-18.8

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Mississippi were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses of which 31 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 29 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 21 percent.

Only 7 percent of the occupied dwelling units in Mississippi had central heating equipment. There was some variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Biloxi, for example, 32 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 3 percent in Hattiesburg. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 26 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 53 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$19 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,200. Twenty-nine percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Mississippi. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Mississippi will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units				Coupled dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Total number of dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—			Median value of one-dwelling-unit structure ¹ (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)								
		In one-unit detached structures ²	In structure built in 1940 or later ³	With hot water, with toilet and bath, and not disapp ⁴		Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With more than one person in room			Occupied by nonwhite	Owner-occupied	With central heating, refrigerator, and air conditioner ⁵	With modern kitchen and refrigerator ⁶	Percent mortgaged ⁷			
Mississippi.....	609,359	4.0	85.2	25.8	25.2	1.5	554,765	2,128,171	3.3	32.1	42.3	47.8	7.0	46.6	29.4	4,159	18.81	24.62
Urban and rural non-farm.....	333,597	3.9	78.8	30.1	40.6	2.1	307,259	1,032,204	3.0	25.3	36.0	43.2	11.1	56.9	29.4	4,159	18.81	24.62
Urban.....	183,172	3.9	66.1	29.2	52.5	2.6	173,843	576,304	3.0	23.1	38.1	48.5	15.1	61.9	36.2	5,386	22.96	28.24
Rural nonfarm.....	150,425	3.9	87.6	31.2	26.2	1.4	133,416	455,900	3.1	28.2	33.2	50.1	5.8	50.4	19.0	2,928	12.84	18.09
Rural farm.....	275,732	4.0	96.6	20.6	6.6	0.8	247,506	1,093,967	3.8	40.7	50.1	46.0	2.1	33.8
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Jackson.....	40,111	4.1	67.9	39.3	53.0	3.2	37,599	135,143	3.2	28.6	40.8	46.8	24.7	61.8	77.8	7,876	30.13	34.41
URBAN PLACES																		
Billard.....	8,336	3.9	62.6	38.8	67.3	2.1	7,980	26,372	3.0	20.7	13.7	46.1	32.3	71.3	27.9	5,233	40.34	36.62
Charlestable.....	5,210	3.4	69.2	28.6	45.7	2.1	4,977	15,142	2.9	25.9	24.8	43.0	15.0	51.0	44.2	5,605	30.50	30.50
Dayton.....	9,027	3.3	66.1	18.0	41.4	1.2	4,764	15,962	3.0	24.9	46.0	45.0	5.7	54.9	39.2	3,966	13.08	19.02
Greenville.....	5,622	3.4	66.9	21.0	34.7	1.5	5,732	27,273	2.9	26.3	49.1	36.2	12.2	60.5	48.0	7,178	19.65	26.92
Guilford.....	6,889	4.1	72.9	35.6	62.7	3.9	6,424	20,923	3.0	18.2	30.2	57.5	23.1	71.5	33.6	4,639	33.12	32.31
Hattiesburg.....	8,963	4.5	68.9	32.6	61.7	4.1	8,412	27,868	3.0	16.4	30.1	57.8	3.3	65.2	45.6	5,368	30.79	24.90
Jackson.....	28,388	4.1	58.6	41.1	60.9	3.7	26,978	92,397	3.1	21.1	37.8	45.2	29.4	68.0	58.3	8,198	30.79	34.90
Laurel.....	7,418	4.0	65.3	26.1	49.9	1.3	7,211	24,633	3.1	22.6	36.6	47.8	14.4	61.1	33.4	5,671	27.87	23.85
Mobile.....	5,375	4.6	65.6	22.0	69.4	2.9	5,245	19,502	2.9	33.2	22.9	62.5	5.3	72.6	28.7	5,622	17.97	30.45
Meriden.....	12,981	4.0	52.9	22.2	54.4	1.5	12,867	40,400	2.9	19.6	35.5	45.8	17.2	62.4	36.2	5,377	20.86	23.87
Montgomery.....	3,909	4.1	58.7	28.5	43.8	3.1	3,600	22,037	3.0	27.6	50.1	43.2	10.4	69.2	36.2	4,337	24.77	24.77
Pasadena.....	3,621	4.0	61.8	20.1	54.6	1.0	3,465	9,409	2.9	18.4	29.3	43.2	16.3	69.4	37.6	6,627	18.80	24.60
Tulsa.....	6,900	3.6	62.2	11.7	43.6	1.3	6,687	27,127	2.7	20.4	50.7	41.9	13.5	56.0	33.2	4,508	13.97	21.05

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Includes detached units, as well as to 4-dwelling-unit structures without basements.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 24, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 25

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MISSOURI

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Missouri increased 11 percent--from 1,140,493 in 1940 to 1,268,354 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 4 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Missouri were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fifth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Missouri, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 46 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 15 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 51 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units.	1,268,354	...	1,140,493	...	127,861	11.2
Occupied dwelling units.	1,197,597	100.0	1,068,642	100.0	128,955	12.1
Owner occupied.....	691,256	57.7	472,950	44.3	218,306	46.2
Renter occupied.....	506,341	42.3	595,692	55.7	-89,351	-15.0

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in Missouri were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 21 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 12 percent of the farm units.

Almost one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Missouri had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Kansas City, for example, 83 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 50 percent in Springfield. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 66 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 10 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 30 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 68 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$30 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,400. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Missouri. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Missouri will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms detached structures ¹	In one-unit dwellings		With hot water, with toilet, and bath, and kitchen	Vacant nonseasonal dwellings ²	Total number of persons in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhites ³	Owner-occupied ⁴	With central heating ⁵	With mechanical refrigerating ⁶	Percent of owner-occupied units ⁷	Median value of one-family structures ⁸ (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly income (dollars)		
			In one-unit dwellings	In one-unit dwellings later ⁹															
Missouri.....	1,268,324	4.2	67.8	14.1	48.5	1.1	1,197,297	3,813,053	2.8	16.8	6.8	57.7	47.2	76.0	41.1	6,399	30.38	36.44	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	986,677	4.2	59.7	14.5	58.8	1.1	995,897	2,950,669	2.7	16.3	8.1	54.1	56.7	81.2	41.1	6,399	30.38	36.44	
St. Joseph.....	29,624	4.4	65.9	14.9	65.9	1.1	231,975	703,975	2.8	16.0	9.7	51.2	66.4	85.3	42.3	7,427	37.23	37.89	
St. Louis.....	507,213	4.1	50.6	22.4	50.6	1.1	743,760	2,311,975	3.1	19.0	1.9	72.0	9.6	9.6	44.2	3,426	19.35	26.62	
Springfield.....	34,562	4.2	77.8	21.6	54.1	1.8	33,067	100,723	2.7	14.8	1.9	65.1	39.1	77.4	43.9	5,524	31.27	33.72	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Kansas City.....	263,768	4.5	59.6	15.7	70.3	1.2	256,223	785,288	2.8	13.6	10.4	58.3	73.7	87.5	49.4	7,036	37.45	38.51	
St. Joseph.....	29,624	4.4	65.9	14.9	65.9	1.1	231,975	703,975	2.7	14.1	13.0	50.1	59.0	79.3	46.0	7,427	37.23	37.89	
St. Louis.....	507,213	4.1	50.6	22.4	50.6	1.1	743,760	2,311,975	3.1	19.0	1.9	72.0	9.6	9.6	44.2	3,426	19.35	26.62	
Springfield.....	34,562	4.2	77.8	21.6	54.1	1.8	33,067	100,723	2.7	14.8	1.9	65.1	39.1	77.4	43.9	5,524	31.27	33.72	
URBAN PLACES																			
Capitola.....	6,481	4.3	65.7	17.0	63.4	0.9	6,339	20,644	2.9	15.9	4.4	57.4	64.0	80.4	42.3	7,007	28.18	33.34	
Carthage.....	3,088	4.5	40.6	20.9	97.7	1.0	4,911	15,087	2.9	2.6	1.7	44.5	36.8	70.7	43.0	4,886	28.08	33.13	
Cheyenne.....	4,994	4.0	63.7	23.0	67.0	0.9	7,997	24,080	2.7	16.5	10.0	50.1	59.0	79.3	46.0	7,702	37.26	43.38	
Fergusson.....	3,310	4.9	92.7	92.7	92.7	2.6	3,183	11,412	3.4	9.7	0.1	87.1	86.6	98.6	73.0	11,406	64.49	53.74	
Fulton.....	2,191	4.1	63.0	16.1	59.1	1.0	2,147	6,400	2.7	14.6	13.6	55.5	48.2	79.2	38.9	5,728	31.98	37.19	
Humboldt.....	7,083	4.4	61.8	18.2	57.4	1.3	6,841	20,007	2.5	12.5	7.4	57.5	47.8	78.4	34.9	4,764	22.77	30.62	
Independence.....	12,128	4.5	53.6	12.7	73.4	0.9	11,921	36,508	2.8	13.1	2.2	68.4	71.3	89.9	48.0	6,623	39.20	40.88	
Jefferson City.....	6,768	4.5	53.6	12.7	73.4	0.9	6,656	20,748	2.8	11.9	5.2	59.5	76.4	87.9	42.7	9,052	40.46	45.11	
Jennings.....	4,316	4.7	93.3	40.6	87.9	0.9	4,246	12,186	3.3	15.1	0.1	86.4	91.2	97.3	63.2	9,336	71.13	43.37	
Joplin.....	13,991	4.1	73.4	13.5	65.9	2.7	13,302	37,887	2.5	13.6	2.0	59.5	37.4	78.5	38.4	4,563	28.84	38.84	
St. Joseph.....	29,624	4.4	65.9	14.9	65.9	1.1	231,975	703,975	2.8	16.0	9.7	51.2	66.4	85.3	42.3	7,427	37.23	37.89	
St. Louis.....	507,213	4.1	50.6	22.4	50.6	1.1	743,760	2,311,975	3.1	19.0	1.9	72.0	9.6	9.6	44.2	3,426	19.35	26.62	
Springfield.....	34,562	4.2	77.8	21.6	54.1	1.8	33,067	100,723	2.7	14.8	1.9	65.1	39.1	77.4	43.9	5,524	31.27	33.72	
Marion.....	3,868	4.1	64.4	16.2	54.4	1.3	3,753	11,381	2.7	12.7	13.2	52.6	41.0	77.1	36.1	6,239	29.61	38.98	
Moberly.....	4,638	4.5	63.1	16.0	60.7	0.5	4,551	12,924	2.5	9.9	6.4	59.5	35.0	77.9	31.0	4,950	33.94	41.47	
Oregon.....	3,286	4.6	91.0	27.1	80.6	1.0	3,216	11,499	3.3	16.6	4.4	54.7	55.9	79.3	33.0	5,203	29.62	35.42	
Portland.....	4,706	3.9	74.1	27.7	45.2	2.6	4,479	14,752	2.8	19.4	7.6	54.9	25.1	66.1	65.9	13,603	43.66	51.10	
Portland, Ore., city.....	2,839	5.0	74.8	14.7	94.7	0.6	4,460	14,534	3.0	6.0	5.7	92.3	95.5	97.6	51.4	13,766	56.93	60.10	
St. Charles.....	4,332	4.2	58.7	23.0	61.8	1.5	4,222	13,727	3.0	13.5	2.9	60.5	38.4	89.6	36.1	7,369	29.61	38.98	
St. Joseph.....	29,624	4.4	65.9	14.9	65.9	1.1	232,221	728,908	2.7	13.5	3.7	53.8	66.0	82.0	33.1	4,997	29.82	33.25	
St. Louis.....	507,213	4.1	50.6	22.4	50.6	1.1	728,138	807,204	2.8	12.1	12.5	34.8	64.0	86.6	44.6	9,211	28.50	37.48	
St. Paul.....	4,674	4.6	78.4	18.2	58.8	1.0	4,583	14,752	2.8	13.4	7.6	54.9	25.1	66.1	65.9	13,603	43.66	51.10	
St. Peters.....	3,668	4.1	79.2	36.8	56.8	2.5	3,517	11,566	3.0	20.8	13.8	52.6	29.1	75.5	42.0	5,869	26.70	34.04	
St. Peters, Mo., city.....	3,668	4.1	79.2	36.8	56.8	2.5	3,517	11,566	3.0	20.8	13.8	52.6	29.1	75.5	42.0	5,869	26.70	34.04	
Springfield.....	22,674	4.3	70.7	14.7	64.8	1.7	21,927	64,133	2.6	12.1	2.8	61.0	49.5	81.2	43.0	5,869	26.70	34.33	
University City.....	12,203	5.4	60.5	20.9	97.0	1.4	11,706	39,438	3.1	4.5	0.3	64.9	92.7	98.9	60.7	16,234	68.44	94.42	
Webster Groves.....	6,790	5.6	91.5	23.9	91.2	0.8	6,634	22,652	3.3	5.9	5.0	86.6	93.3	96.7	56.7	14,172	36.58	55.36	

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.
 † Restricted to units in 1-dwelling-unit structures.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 13, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 26

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MONTANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Montana increased 10 percent--from 177,443 in 1940 to 194,256 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Montana were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Montana, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 27 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940 and the proportion of home ownership increased from 52 percent to 60 percent in the ten-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-five percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	194,256	...	177,443	...	16,813	9.5
Occupied dwelling units..	175,470	100.0	139,963	100.0	15,507	9.7
Owner occupied.....	105,764	60.3	83,126	59.0	22,638	27.2
Renter occupied.....	69,726	39.7	76,837	48.0	-7,111	-9.3

Approximately one-fifth of the dwelling units in Montana were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm

houses in rural areas, where 25 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-farm and urban dwelling units was about 16 percent.

Two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Montana had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Great Falls, for example, 76 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 35 percent in Anaconda. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 57 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 20 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 65 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 78 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,800. Twenty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Montana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Montana will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—						Percent of dwelling units—						Median value of one-unit mortgage* (dollars)	Percent owner-occupied†	Median value of one-unit mortgage* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit detached structures†	In two-unit structures†	With hot water, private bath, and not dilapidated	Vacant and not seasonally dilapidated, for rent or sale	Total number dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner-occupied					With central heating*	With mechanical refrigerators*
Montana.....	194,256	4.0	78.0	19.1	56.3	1.7	179,470	566,284	2.9	19.0	2.1	60.3	77.0	79.4	27.2	5,797	35.35	40.83
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	150,760	3.9	72.2	20.0	64.7	1.8	139,345	431,488	2.8	18.1	1.9	56.4	45.1	79.4	27.2	5,797	35.35	40.83
Urban.....	84,397	4.0	60.9	16.5	77.7	1.6	83,159	243,032	2.7	14.6	0.7	53.0	56.7	84.5	31.9	6,944	36.14	42.82
Rural nonfarm.....	66,363	3.8	86.6	24.5	48.0	2.0	58,186	189,456	2.9	23.1	3.6	61.2	29.0	72.4	20.7	4,416	28.59	36.42
Rural farm.....	43,496	4.4	98.0	16.3	26.9	1.5	36,125	134,796	3.4	22.5	2.8	79.0	20.1	67.9	***	***	***	***
URBAN PLACES																		
Anaconda.....	3,501	4.2	70.4	3.1	84.9	0.5	3,449	10,738	2.8	14.3	1.0	54.2	35.3	61.8	25.2	5,723	31.06	38.45
Billings.....	10,552	3.9	53.7	22.0	79.4	1.2	10,250	30,645	2.7	15.7	1.1	49.0	59.0	85.8	42.5	11,996	41.31	45.95
Bozeman.....	3,520	4.1	51.8	20.5	84.9	1.6	3,416	10,178	2.6	12.2	0.8	49.6	68.3	84.4	35.9	6,152	38.74	43.01
Butte.....	12,586	3.8	53.6	23.6	76.8	1.4	12,059	36,866	2.7	17.3	0.8	48.6	76.0	90.5	36.8	7,499	39.43	44.61
Great Falls.....	5,925	4.1	51.7	16.0	80.3	2.0	5,709	16,541	2.5	10.9	1.5	48.3	61.9	86.0	37.8	7,499	39.43	44.91
Helena.....	6,923	4.1	67.3	15.7	82.4	1.3	6,659	20,120	2.6	12.5	0.3	58.4	52.7	86.2	40.3	7,169	39.99	47.07

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 10, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 27

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEBRASKA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Nebraska increased 8 percent--from 387,368 in 1940 to 417,245 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 1 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Nebraska were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Nebraska, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 41 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 19 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 47 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 61 percent.

concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 15 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (5 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Nebraska had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Lincoln, for example, 88 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 56 percent in North Platte. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 75 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 24 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 77 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$37 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Nebraska. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Nebraska will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.45 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	417,245	...	387,368	...	29,877	7.7
Owned dwelling units...	394,148	100.0	360,744	100.0	33,404	9.3
Owner occupied.....	238,810	60.6	169,956	47.1	68,854	40.5
Renter occupied.....	155,338	39.4	190,778	52.9	-35,440	-18.6

About one-eighth of the dwelling units in Nebraska were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 400.]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Total number of dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by owner—paid or free	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of dwelling unit* (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent* (dollars)				
		In one-unit detached structures ¹	In structures with 2 or more units ²	Vacant non-occupied, dilapidated, for rent, or ruin ³														
Nebraska.....	417,245	5.0	80.3	11.5	56.5	1.1	394,148	1,279,013	2.9	12.6	1.5	60.6	51.5	82.1	32.0	5,918	36.71	42.50
Urban and rural non-farm.....	298,228	4.8	73.3	14.0	64.3	1.1	287,995	887,829	2.7	13.9	1.9	62.8	63.7	84.9	32.0	5,918	36.71	42.50
Urban.....	192,610	4.6	66.0	15.2	77.4	1.2	187,085	591,707	2.8	15.4	2.7	60.5	74.6	89.4	40.6	7,042	43.55	46.79
Rural nonfarm.....	105,618	5.0	86.4	11.7	51.7	1.0	100,010	296,122	2.5	11.2	0.4	67.1	36.6	76.4	15.8	4,048	25.17	34.31
Rural farm.....	119,017	6.0	97.9	5.4	26.9	1.0	106,153	391,184	3.4	9.1	0.3	54.6	23.7	74.4
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Lincoln.....	37,116	4.8	62.8	14.6	74.5	1.2	35,991	109,578	2.7	10.0	0.9	57.3	80.0	90.8	42.0	7,134	40.89	42.12
Omaha.....	107,762	4.8	71.1	12.2	73.1	0.7	105,407	346,876	3.0	12.6	4.3	63.4	77.3	90.0	43.6	7,137	41.64	46.69
URBAN PLACES																		
Beatrice.....	3,989	4.6	70.0	10.6	67.2	1.0	3,893	11,574	2.7	10.5	0.2	60.1	66.1	84.6	37.9	6,212	38.07	44.44
Freemont.....	4,784	4.6	68.4	17.3	78.3	0.5	4,726	14,174	2.7	10.3	0.1	63.4	68.6	91.8	45.5	7,534	43.55	46.79
Grand Island.....	7,237	4.3	63.8	16.2	76.4	1.3	7,055	21,712	2.8	13.9	0.4	59.2	73.2	85.4	43.5	6,732	39.11	43.05
Hastings.....	6,801	4.5	59.9	23.1	85.6	4.4	6,288	19,544	2.8	11.2	0.8	58.0	72.0	89.6	37.4	6,150	38.99	40.55
Kearney.....	3,859	4.4	66.2	15.4	70.6	1.6	3,689	11,079	2.7	12.6	0.1	59.0	56.5	83.4	33.0	6,014	37.65	37.94
Lincoln.....	31,447	4.7	59.2	13.0	80.9	1.3	30,683	91,902	2.7	9.2	1.1	58.0	88.1	92.5	43.7	7,407	42.63	43.06
Norfolk.....	3,829	4.8	76.7	11.1	68.7	0.2	3,769	10,992	2.8	12.6	0.3	63.0	72.0	84.9	31.3	6,044	37.48	45.46
North Platte.....	5,081	4.2	69.3	24.0	79.2	2.3	4,877	15,170	2.8	15.4	0.6	58.6	56.4	88.0	50.0	7,018	43.74	50.87
Omaha.....	73,111	4.8	64.2	10.7	80.4	0.7	72,707	236,491	2.9	11.5	6.0	60.0	86.1	91.9	44.5	7,766	42.64	47.60
Scottsbluff.....	4,821	4.0	59.4	25.3	84.6	2.7	4,622	12,704	2.9	14.9	1.4	54.2	61.4	85.0	45.2	8,013	42.34	44.74

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 15, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 28

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEVADA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Nevada increased 54 percent—from 36,770 in 1940 to 56,515 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 45 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Nevada were far above those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Dwelling units in urban areas constituted 56 percent of all the dwelling units in the State. About two-thirds of the urban total was in the cities of Las Vegas and Reno.

These and other summary data for Nevada, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 59 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the home ownership rate showed only a slight increase in the 10 years, 46 percent in 1940 to 49 percent in 1950. There was a considerably higher rate of home ownership in rural-farm than in nonfarm housing. Home ownership was found in almost three-fourths, 72.3 percent, of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units compared with less than one-half, 46.9 percent, of the occupied nonfarm dwelling units.

About two-fifths of the dwelling units in the State were built since 1940. The largest concentration of new units was in Las Vegas, where almost three-fifths of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas where one-fourth were built during the decade.

Approximately one-fourth of all the dwelling units in Nevada had central heating equipment. However, a wide variation in the proportion with central heating was shown by the various areas throughout the State. In Las Vegas, for example, only 8 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with 67 percent in Reno.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, farm housing was of poorer quality than nonfarm housing in the State. Three-fourths of the nonfarm units, compared with one-half of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had all of the following plumbing facilities: hot running water and private flush toilet and bath. Eighty-three percent of the urban units met this standard.

Median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$42 a month. The median value of 1-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,900. About two-fifths of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
All dwelling units...	56,515	...	36,770	...	19,745	53.7
Owned dwelling units...	50,241	100.0	33,291	100.0	16,950	50.9
Owner occupied.....	24,486	48.7	15,353	46.1	9,133	59.5
Renter occupied.....	25,757	51.3	17,938	53.9	7,819	43.6

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Nevada. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Nevada will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of detached units	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied	With central heating	With mechanical refrigerator	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling unit (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)			
		In one- and two-family detached structures ¹	In structures built in 1940 or later ¹	With hot water, with private toilet and bath, and not dilapidated	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings, for rent or sale													
Nevada.....	56,515	3.8	72.8	39.6	73.7	3.6	50,241	151,031	2.6	16.3	5.2	48.7	25.4	80.2	39.6	8,859	42.33	47.34
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	52,028	3.8	71.0	40.9	75.7	3.4	46,623	137,904	2.6	15.9	4.8	48.9	26.7	81.2	39.6	8,859	42.33	47.34
Urban.....	20,479	3.7	74.1	38.2	64.6	5.0	16,650	51,172	2.6	20.0	5.3	42.0	13.8	71.5	24.1	10,896	47.60	50.64
Rural nonfarm.....	4,487	4.3	93.3	24.9	49.8	6.3	3,638	13,127	3.3	22.7	10.6	72.3	7.9	68.5	...	4,628	29.47	41.67
Urban places	8,676	3.6	65.3	58.9	75.7	1.8	8,264	23,398	2.4	13.8	11.6	48.2	8.1	88.3	57.6	11,995	56.25	52.14
Las Vegas.....	11,424	4.0	64.6	31.5	87.3	2.3	10,849	30,161	2.4	8.7	1.5	48.3	67.0	85.7	49.9	13,303	49.01	54.91

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 27, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 29

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW HAMPSHIRE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Hampshire increased 21 percent--from 158,044 in 1940 to 190,563 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New Hampshire were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted about one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and rural-nonfarm dwelling units represented about two-fifths. More than one-third of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were held by the owners for seasonal use.

These and other summary data for New Hampshire, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 31 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 52 percent in 1940 to 58 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with 48 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses, where 15 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in New Hampshire had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between rural-farm and urban dwelling units. In Berlin, for example, only 44 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with about 86 percent in Portsmouth. In occupied rural-farm dwelling units, 42 percent had central heating equipment, compared with 64 percent in occupied urban dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About two-thirds (66 percent) of the nonfarm units compared with 47 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 78 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$27 a month. The median value of 1-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,200. Forty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Hampshire. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Hampshire will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$3.25 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	190,563	...	158,044	...	32,519	20.6
Occupied dwelling units...	155,203	100.0	132,936	100.0	22,267	16.8
Owner occupied.....	90,213	58.1	68,705	51.7	21,508	31.3
Renter occupied.....	64,990	41.9	64,231	48.3	759	1.2

Approximately one-eighth (12 percent) of the dwelling units in New Hampshire were built between

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 26, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 30

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW JERSEY

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Jersey increased 23 percent--from 1,223,887 in 1940 to 1,501,473 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 16 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in New Jersey was equal to that for the United States, while the percentage gain in population was slightly greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Only 2 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 14 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New Jersey, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 68 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 3 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 39 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 53 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 51 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in New Jersey were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 25 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (14 percent) was among urban dwelling units.

About 80 percent of the occupied dwelling units in New Jersey had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In East Orange, for example, 96 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 38 percent in Hoboken. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 81 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 64 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, housing in urban areas was of better quality than housing in rural areas. In rural areas, 68 percent of the nonfarm units and 62 percent of the farm units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated. In urban areas, 87 percent of the units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$39 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$10,400. Fifty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Jersey. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Jersey will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.	1,501,473	...	1,223,887	...	277,586	22.7
Occupied dwelling units.	1,373,637	100.0	1,100,260	100.0	273,377	24.8
Owner occupied.....	729,993	53.1	433,878	39.4	296,115	68.2
Renter occupied.....	643,644	46.9	666,382	60.6	-22,738	-3.4

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Occupied dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwellings		With hot water		Population in dwelling units	Median value of property	With central air conditioning	Owned by non-whites	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by owner-occupied whites	With central heating	With median value of property	Percent of mortgage	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Percent of mortgage	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Percent of mortgage	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)
			detached	attached	with hot water	with hot water														
New Jersey.....	1,201,473	5.0	46.7	15.2	83.5	1.2	1,377,637	4,658,598	3.1	10.2	5.3	53.1	79.7	92.6	51.3	10,458	39.12	48.76	39.12	48.76
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,466,450	5.0	45.7	15.2	84.0	1.2	1,344,792	4,554,244	3.1	10.2	5.3	52.7	80.1	92.7	51.3	10,458	39.12	48.76	39.12	48.76
Urban.....	1,466,450	5.0	45.7	15.2	84.0	1.2	1,344,792	4,554,244	3.1	10.2	5.3	52.7	80.1	92.7	51.3	10,458	39.12	48.76	39.12	48.76
Rural.....	1,466,450	5.0	45.7	15.2	84.0	1.2	1,344,792	4,554,244	3.1	10.2	5.3	52.7	80.1	92.7	51.3	10,458	39.12	48.76	39.12	48.76
Rural farm.....	35,014	6.0	87.5	15.6	61.7	3.4	28,845	1,042,534	3.3	10.3	5.4	71.3	64.0	86.6	...	8,355	32.60	45.33	32.60	45.33
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Atlantic City.....	48,604	5.3	49.3	9.4	86.4	1.9	40,168	126,161	2.8	15.3	14.2	54.7	84.7	87.1	41.1	7,827	40.30	47.59	40.30	47.59
New York-Northeastern New Jersey (New Jersey portion).....	992,669	4.8	39.5	14.6	86.5	1.1	958,291	3,256,462	3.2	10.1	4.7	47.9	79.5	94.1	54.6	12,226	39.35	49.01	39.35	49.01
Trenton.....	60,764	5.6	35.8	14.3	84.6	0.8	55,383	213,139	3.3	9.3	6.5	63.6	88.2	91.4	48.8	7,810	42.00	49.17	42.00	49.17
URBAN PLACES																				
Asbury Park.....	5,396	4.6	44.5	5.6	80.5	2.7	4,924	15,662	2.8	10.0	17.6	39.4	77.7	83.8	44.6	9,798	44.43	51.58	44.43	51.58
Barnegat.....	21,134	4.6	12.3	4.9	88.0	0.4	21,041	79,534	3.4	14.3	2.1	33.3	66.7	94.5	44.5	7,106	36.31	47.87	36.31	47.87
Bellville.....	8,944	5.1	44.8	16.1	93.4	0.5	8,856	31,777	3.4	12.2	2.3	36.9	88.7	96.8	56.9	11,723	42.62	53.34	42.62	53.34
Bergaintown.....	5,510	4.8	69.1	44.7	98.8	2.2	5,390	17,628	3.2	5.6	0.3	71.8	98.6	98.9	75.2	12,314	74.81	77.97	74.81	77.97
Blountfield.....	14,766	5.2	44.0	16.2	96.1	1.1	14,520	48,787	3.2	6.9	1.4	54.9	92.8	97.6	63.8	12,237	48.69	58.03	12,237	48.69
Brignton.....	5,609	5.5	41.9	13.4	73.9	1.9	5,408	17,925	3.0	8.5	11.1	60.1	74.9	85.2	43.2	5,560	29.79	42.38	5,560	29.79
Burlington.....	3,535	6.0	30.4	12.0	80.0	1.0	3,204	11,811	3.3	9.1	12.6	64.1	80.6	97.4	45.8	5,437	34.97	43.70	34.97	43.70
Camden.....	3,593	4.3	41.9	17.9	81.9	0.3	3,542	12,674	3.4	14.9	2.2	53.2	69.3	93.4	45.8	10,769	27.76	42.22	10,769	27.76
Cliffside Park.....	15,301	4.6	29.6	5.4	94.4	0.8	15,233	17,092	3.1	9.7	0.1	65.8	92.3	97.9	48.0	13,691	30.38	40.68	13,691	30.38
Collingswood.....	4,799	5.9	41.1	15.6	96.0	2.1	4,824	13,697	2.9	2.5	0.1	70.0	98.7	98.7	52.4	9,280	58.50	63.49	9,280	58.50
Dover.....	3,302	5.6	51.5	13.3	88.8	0.6	3,246	10,912	3.1	6.6	0.6	55.1	85.0	91.5	45.1	10,364	36.77	51.69	10,364	36.77
Dumont.....	3,626	5.2	76.4	43.3	98.5	1.2	3,748	13,002	3.3	5.7	0.1	77.4	98.9	99.7	76.0	12,263	76.78	78.25	12,263	76.78
East Orange.....	24,881	4.7	20.9	7.3	93.1	1.2	24,379	76,120	2.7	6.9	8.6	31.2	95.7	96.8	60.3	12,669	57.67	63.23	12,669	57.67
East Rutherford.....	4,564	3.4	70.5	39.7	91.6	1.7	4,453	15,377	3.3	9.1	...	62.8	93.9	99.0	70.0	11,263	62.02	68.02	11,263	62.02
Englewood.....	7,053	5.0	47.4	19.6	93.6	1.5	6,861	22,008	3.0	6.7	14.1	50.7	95.0	97.0	55.1	14,076	57.33	65.30	14,076	57.33
Fair Lawn.....	7,290	5.0	79.5	61.8	98.8	2.3	7,067	23,867	3.3	4.8	...	78.6	97.9	99.4	76.0	12,571	76.03	82.50	12,571	76.03
Fort Lee.....	8,171	4.7	47.0	23.6	92.5	2.0	3,524	11,458	3.0	8.4	...	55.7	91.1	95.7	51.2	14,982	50.28	57.45	14,982	50.28
Garfield.....	3,610	4.4	22.3	7.7	91.2	0.5	8,081	27,484	3.2	11.1	0.9	47.5	99.3	99.3	34.7	9,088	36.56	42.22	9,088	36.56
Green Brook.....	8,590	4.6	38.6	5.4	82.9	0.4	8,393	14,213	3.4	7.8	...	70.0	84.3	92.1	45.9	12,571	42.59	49.96	12,571	42.59
Irvington.....	3,326	6.3	68.2	6.4	98.1	0.8	3,268	10,277	2.9	1.8	1.7	79.7	86.7	96.8	49.4	13,304	55.76	57.95	13,304	55.76
Jersey City.....	2,697	4.8	12.6	6.9	77.0	0.3	3,674	13,305	3.4	16.0	1.2	29.7	85.5	92.0	36.5	8,184	28.62	41.98	8,184	28.62
Jersey Township.....	4,646	4.2	66.2	16.8	93.6	1.4	4,551	16,774	3.1	4.3	0.1	72.9	92.3	97.9	56.2	11,501	51.07	51.07	11,501	51.07
North Plainfield.....	15,170	4.1	0.9	0.1	63.7	0.8	14,926	47,938	3.0	15.1	0.8	12.4	38.3	88.4	43.0	8,658	27.33	40.12	8,658	27.33

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 40-unit-unit structures with central basements.

³ Restricted to 1-40-unit-unit structures with central basements.

* Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 3- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.

Area	All dwelling units										Coupled dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—										Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—		
	Total number of units	In one-unit detached houses	In one-unit attached houses	In two-unit attached houses	In three-unit attached houses	In four-unit attached houses	In five-unit attached houses	In six-unit attached houses	In seven-unit attached houses	In eight-unit attached houses	Total number of units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons per room	Owner-occupied nonfarm	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	With hot water	With hot water	With hot water	Percent of dwelling units—	Median value of one-unit detached houses	Median value of one-unit attached houses	Median value of one-unit detached houses	Median value of one-unit attached houses
URBAN PLACES—Geo.																									
Irvington, N.J.	18,852	4.8	21.1	7.4	97.2	0.5	18,385	58,888	3.0	6.8	0.2	37.8	91.4	98.3	50.4	11,771	46.18	57.10							
Jacksonville, Fla.	36,000	4.5	6.0	3.1	80.7	0.5	84,926	291,159	3.1	14.8	5.7	64.0	65.0	90.4	41.2	7,119	31.39	44.74							
Jacksonville, Fla.	11,418	5.0	28.4	4.3	93.7	0.5	11,334	39,136	3.2	9.0	0.5	44.9	88.0	97.0	51.1	11,653	40.23	51.68							
Los Angeles, Calif.	8,456	4.8	48.7	25.9	93.6	0.5	8,372	30,137	3.5	10.9	2.8	60.7	79.5	96.1	60.7	9,799	37.12	42.35							
Los Angeles, Calif.	4,364	4.3	42.6	32.1	88.9	1.3	4,278	15,125	3.4	13.8	0.8	63.6	79.5	96.1	60.7	9,799	37.12	42.35							
Long Beach, Calif.	7,816	5.0	55.6	13.0	90.3	0.1	6,796	22,611	3.1	10.0	6.3	51.6	86.0	98.2	46.0	9,426	30.14	36.90							
Los Angeles, Calif.	2,901	5.8	65.2	24.8	92.8	0.4	2,868	10,033	3.3	8.5	4.6	64.0	86.0	98.2	46.0	9,426	30.14	36.90							
Milwaukee, Wis.	5,321	5.5	54.3	13.9	70.2	1.1	5,243	17,651	3.0	9.7	3.7	51.2	91.6	95.6	36.4	17,219	35.65	39.13							
Montreal, P.Q., Canada	1,000	5.1	9.1	9.1	88.0	0.9	4,939	16,408	3.0	9.7	8.2	38.3	88.2	92.0	49.8	13,764	46.01	57.09							
Norfolk, Va.	1,400	5.1	10.0	4.6	76.5	0.7	1,321	4,172	3.1	15.1	14.7	23.4	65.8	88.7	48.2	10,821	35.86	46.80							
New Brunswick, N.J.	10,808	4.6	42.1	42.9	98.0	1.9	4,690	15,223	3.2	8.0	***	50.7	97.3	98.8	68.0	12,068	36.32	46.86							
New York, N.Y.	3,849	5.5	57.7	15.5	96.0	0.8	3,751	12,643	3.2	5.7	0.4	66.6	95.0	97.0	62.4	12,763	48.62	56.12							
North Plainfield, N.J.	7,603	5.5	62.9	22.3	96.8	1.1	7,475	26,842	3.4	8.6	1.4	68.6	95.1	98.8	63.9	12,718	43.59	51.47							
Orange, N.J.	10,881	4.4	23.2	6.3	83.6	0.8	10,742	36,333	3.1	14.0	15.9	31.8	77.8	89.3	52.1	11,388	4.11	51.43							
Philadelphia, Pa.	17,745	4.3	14.6	7.0	73.9	1.9	17,369	55,995	3.0	11.5	3.9	29.1	60.9	90.4	46.3	12,471	31.04	42.19							
Pittsburgh, Pa.	42,925	4.7	13.8	4.2	77.1	0.2	42,228	135,458	3.0	9.2	4.6	34.0	63.6	82.2	41.2	47,921	31.13	43.56							
Portland, Me.	12,097	4.6	27.8	8.7	75.8	0.6	12,044	40,744	3.2	11.1	1.1	64.4	91.1	95.1	35.4	9,291	32.67	40.82							
Portland, Me.	5,515	5.7	49.0	6.6	76.0	0.5	5,433	18,566	3.1	37.3	0.5	54.2	78.3	89.7	55.6	6,336	26.67	40.82							
Pleasantville, N.J.	12,600	5.2	43.7	11.2	92.1	2.2	12,172	41,299	3.1	8.8	9.9	51.8	92.6	95.2	55.6	12,189	39.76	48.37							
Pleasantville, N.J.	3,899	5.6	78.2	5.4	83.9	1.1	3,555	11,853	2.9	5.3	8.7	39.6	94.5	96.3	40.3	19,057	36.36	45.48							
Princeton, N.J.	2,602	5.3	56.7	21.3	91.3	0.5	2,581	8,609	3.0	8.5	4.6	64.0	86.2	96.2	55.3	10,296	41.34	52.67							
Richmond, Va.	3,930	5.6	56.4	16.3	91.4	1.9	3,689	12,400	3.0	6.3	7.1	52.0	90.5	90.9	45.3	10,651	33.60	46.16							
Rio Rancho, N.M.	3,662	5.4	50.7	3.5	97.3	0.2	3,560	11,997	3.1	6.3	0.4	64.0	98.2	98.2	57.6	11,952	44.83	55.33							
Ridgewood, N.Y.	5,184	5.0	53.6	24.3	97.2	1.5	5,068	17,464	3.2	8.5	9.2	70.8	96.6	97.0	62.4	11,388	34.67	42.05							
Roseville, Calif.	3,324	5.7	61.1	17.9	92.6	1.1	3,264	11,488	3.3	5.8	0.2	79.9	96.9	97.0	53.2	11,223	53.77	68.01							
Roseville, Calif.	5,391	5.8	59.8	13.4	98.4	0.4	5,346	17,277	3.0	4.4	1.8	63.0	97.0	97.0	58.7	9,176	37.38	48.37							
Saginaw, Mich.	2,960	4.9	77.7	29.4	79.8	3.3	2,733	10,278	3.5	14.0	0.4	69.5	91.1	96.5	55.1	11,719	50.31	60.56							
Savannah, Ga.	3,577	4.9	42.9	30.2	97.6	1.1	3,500	12,106	3.4	2.9	2.2	77.1	96.9	98.9	51.6	20,004	53.12	61.78							
South Orange, N.J.	3,099	5.2	63.5	13.5	79.5	0.6	3,037	11,203	3.5	10.6	1.4	66.4	67.3	89.2	34.8	9,382	29.10	41.90							
St. Louis, Mo.	31,806	6.0	56.4	13.9	94.0	2.3	4,973	17,230	3.2	5.8	60.0	62.6	92.7	97.7	57.6	18,660	52.67	64.47							
St. Louis, Mo.	32,836	5.7	11.5	3.7	84.0	0.7	32,287	118,289	3.3	10.5	7.8	59.4	89.8	94.5	44.5	6,635	39.70	46.96							
Union City, N.J.	18,100	4.1	6.4	0.6	83.4	0.6	17,859	54,717	2.9	10.7	0.1	67.0	92.7	97.0	41.8	18,202	67.07	82.20							
Verona, N.J.	3,048	6.0	74.3	19.0	98.2	0.9	3,000	10,765	3.3	3.8	4.6	79.2	95.5	97.6	63.3	15,608	50.51	61.89							
West Orange, N.J.	12,219	4.0	64.1	1.6	94.6	0.6	12,085	37,484	2.9	12.6	0.5	20.1	92.8	94.7	45.2	9,154	38.77	47.81							
West Orange, N.J.	8,160	5.7	59.1	13.3	99.2	1.2	7,986	28,403	3.4	6.4	9.5	66.7	92.8	95.8	38.8	14,256	41.07	52.47							
Woodbury, N.J.	3,565	5.5	57.0	17.8	90.6	3.0	3,401	10,794	2.9	6.4	9.5	56.7	92.4	92.9	52.3	9,647	35.01	46.94							

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 31

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW MEXICO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Mexico increased 37 percent--from 145,642 in 1940 to 199,706 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 28 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New Mexico were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented three-tenths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New Mexico, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 40 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a very small increase in the 10 years, from 57 percent in 1940 to 59 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 45 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (27 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in New Mexico had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Albuquerque, for example, 56 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 12 percent in Hobbs. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 37 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 24 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 68 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$39 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,700. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Mexico. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Mexico will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	199,706	...	145,642	...	54,064	37.1
Occupied dwelling units...	176,993	100.0	129,475	100.0	47,518	36.7
Owner occupied.....	104,131	58.8	74,150	57.3	29,981	40.4
Renter occupied.....	72,862	41.2	55,325	42.7	17,537	31.7

About two-fifths of the dwelling units in New Mexico were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Total number of dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—				Median value of one dwelling unit (dollars)	Percent owner-occupied	Median one-monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)					
		In one detached structures ¹	In structures built in 1940 or later ²	With hot water, with private toilet and bath, and not dated		Vacant non-seasonal dwellings ³ for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room					With central heating ⁴	With mechanical refrigerator ⁵			
New Mexico.....	139,706	3.7	78.2	39.7	51.0	2.9	176,993	699,021	3.3	34.0	5.6	58.8	23.9	63.5	36.2	5,697	39.19	40.93
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	164,003	3.7	75.7	42.5	57.0	3.2	147,077	527,766	3.2	32.0	4.6	56.2	27.5	67.4	36.2	5,697	39.19	40.93
Urban.....	104,599	3.8	72.3	42.5	46.2	3.1	96,788	331,766	3.1	27.1	2.9	55.5	35.5	75.9	43.7	7,105	42.95	43.69
Rural nonfarm.....	59,404	3.4	81.8	37.4	54.8	3.3	50,289	196,000	3.3	41.7	7.8	57.7	11.7	50.7	18.8	2,978	26.37	32.10
Rural farm.....	37,703	3.7	89.6	27.2	23.7	1.8	29,916	131,285	3.8	43.9	10.6	71.6	6.0	44.6
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREA																		
Albuquerque.....	43,443	3.9	74.3	54.2	68.4	3.9	40,240	138,363	3.1	27.1	2.3	62.0	48.9	76.9	53.2	8,409	49.13	48.88
URBAN PLACES																		
Albuquerque.....	30,632	4.0	70.1	53.0	75.7	4.4	28,524	93,722	3.0	22.5	1.7	60.7	55.6	82.3	37.3	9,453	48.72	48.49
Cerrillos.....	5,673	3.6	76.4	56.7	73.6	5.4	5,202	17,760	3.1	21.8	3.4	53.3	34.1	84.6	49.6	7,601	41.61	42.37
Clovis.....	5,692	3.9	74.3	45.7	74.7	5.7	5,148	17,075	3.0	21.5	3.7	55.2	31.9	76.5	48.9	6,932	36.85	38.03
Las Cruces.....	4,631	3.3	81.9	48.4	64.5	2.5	4,200	13,651	3.1	29.8	10.5	53.5	12.2	81.0	40.5	4,927	49.85	43.45
Las Alamos.....	3,355	3.5	65.7	37.4	53.6	3.2	3,346	12,158	3.2	34.5	2.6	52.0	13.8	73.1	41.9	5,669	40.18	38.45
Roswell.....	8,084	3.8	78.1	44.9	68.5	2.4	7,623	25,158	3.2	34.5	3.4	53.7	28.3	76.9	55.3	7,120	46.25	45.04
Santa Fe.....	7,468	3.7	65.1	31.5	66.9	1.4	7,071	25,955	3.3	33.8	0.9	54.4	36.0	65.3	25.0	7,954	42.18	47.33

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 32

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW YORK

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New York increased 15 percent--from 4,032,460 in 1940 to 4,633,806 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New York were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 4 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 13 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New York, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 30 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 38 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 32 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the dwelling units in New York were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than eight-tenths of the occupied dwelling units in New York had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Rochester, for example, 90 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 64 percent in Buffalo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 48 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 66 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 49 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 88 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$41 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$10,200. Fifty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New York. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New York will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units..	4,633,806	...	4,032,460	...	601,346	14.9
Occupied dwelling units.	4,325,139	100.0	3,662,113	100.0	663,026	18.1
Owner occupied.....	1,638,860	37.9	1,111,388	30.3	527,472	47.5
Renter occupied.....	2,686,279	62.1	2,550,725	69.7	135,554	5.3

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown if less than 50.)

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms per unit	Percent of dwelling units—		Population per dwelling unit	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by white	Owner-occupied	With heating	With mechanical refrigerator	Percent of dwelling units	Median value of dwelling structure (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)					
			In one-family detached, 1940 or later*	With hot water, private bath, and not dated										Vacant non-occupied, not dated, or sale				
New York.....	4,433,806	4.5	32.7	11.1	83.5	1.2	6,255,139	34,155,766	3.0	12.4	5.4	37.9	82.2	90.2	56.7	10,132	41.02	48.30
	4,432,675	4.5	30.4	11.2	84.9	1.2	6,174,584	33,799,390	3.0	12.6	5.6	36.4	83.4	90.5	56.7	10,132	41.02	48.30
	3,852,213	4.4	23.6	10.0	87.7	1.2	3,742,044	12,377,767	3.0	13.2	6.1	32.3	85.8	91.0	60.5	11,049	41.43	48.52
	587,162	5.4	82.1	19.7	65.8	1.0	432,540	1,441,623	3.0	7.6	0.9	71.4	62.5	86.2	41.2	7,219	28.59	41.91
	181,131	7.2	88.7	6.6	49.1	3.0	150,555	575,576	3.4	6.1	0.6	80.3	47.5	80.4	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Albany-Schenectady-Troy.....	160,114	5.6	42.6	10.6	79.4	1.0	153,713	494,479	2.9	6.3	1.5	52.9	70.3	92.5	48.5	9,899	33.71	43.30
Buffalo.....	55,264	5.3	32.3	12.9	84.2	1.0	51,040	177,730	3.1	8.0	0.4	58.4	80.7	89.1	49.5	9,135	35.21	43.45
Rochester.....	237,423	5.5	44.0	13.8	85.5	0.8	305,059	1,049,401	3.2	7.5	3.4	53.8	80.7	89.1	49.5	9,135	35.21	43.45
Syracuse.....	193,207	5.4	38.1	11.5	88.6	1.0	174,565	523,766	3.0	14.0	7.8	47.9	89.8	92.7	54.6	11,248	42.90	49.33
New York portion.....	2,983,207	4.1	14.6	11.5	88.6	1.1	2,931,291	9,256,462	3.2	10.1	4.6	47.9	79.5	94.1	54.6	12,226	39.35	49.01
Rochester.....	247,923	5.5	56.3	10.9	88.5	0.9	143,685	468,559	3.0	5.7	1.3	60.9	87.8	92.6	59.3	9,739	40.04	49.76
Syracuse.....	193,207	5.4	38.1	11.5	88.6	1.0	174,565	523,766	3.0	14.0	7.8	47.9	89.8	92.7	54.6	11,248	42.90	49.33
Utica-Ridgely.....	89,149	5.7	50.3	8.1	72.8	1.1	79,984	267,453	3.0	6.6	0.7	57.4	73.1	83.0	38.3	7,600	29.93	42.29
URBAN PLACES																		
Albany.....	42,444	5.2	19.3	4.3	79.6	0.8	41,626	127,762	2.8	7.3	3.7	36.6	73.6	91.1	54.4	11,790	39.03	47.28
Astoria.....	9,905	5.6	22.7	3.8	79.6	0.6	9,845	31,447	3.0	4.8	0.4	45.2	57.6	90.0	36.5	11,764	23.46	36.66
Albany.....	10,669	5.8	42.6	2.4	84.0	1.0	10,410	33,983	3.0	4.8	0.7	56.1	83.8	90.0	48.7	7,960	31.15	42.82
Albany.....	3,706	5.1	48.2	6.1	78.2	0.6	3,581	11,923	3.0	10.1	6.3	53.6	70.4	93.0	59.2	9,107	32.15	43.57
Albany.....	23,834	5.2	31.6	5.5	89.0	0.9	23,281	77,162	2.9	6.8	0.8	48.4	84.4	87.5	48.4	9,593	35.98	43.87
Albany.....	16,136	5.8	19.6	1.8	66.9	0.4	16,045	50,111	3.1	7.0	5.1	43.1	69.1	92.4	31.8	8,816	32.09	44.13
Albany.....	6,136	5.5	50.0	1.1	85.0	2.2	5,397	17,417	3.0	7.2	0.3	58.3	84.2	91.8	38.9	8,789	34.49	43.88
Albany.....	5,573	5.6	47.1	7.8	85.9	0.8	5,443	16,918	2.8	6.3	0.2	57.7	80.0	88.6	41.8	8,470	36.37	43.76
Dunkirk.....	5,621	5.6	55.4	9.9	88.6	1.0	5,477	17,725	3.0	5.6	0.2	65.4	84.9	87.8	31.2	7,423	28.85	35.52
Elmira.....	15,136	5.5	41.9	6.5	84.4	1.4	14,927	46,601	2.8	6.9	1.9	58.0	84.0	89.0	39.6	8,343	35.26	43.69
Endicott.....	6,028	5.3	41.5	6.5	92.6	0.6	5,932	19,800	3.1	7.4	***	51.2	88.3	94.0	36.8	10,057	35.97	42.66
Fulton.....	7,458	5.9	66.9	9.9	97.9	0.2	4,004	14,541	3.1	4.2	0.1	74.7	98.3	97.8	67.7	13,953	52.57	59.31
Frederick.....	7,592	5.6	50.9	8.5	91.2	3.0	7,131	24,290	3.1	7.3	4.5	69.9	90.7	93.5	69.1	12,697	41.56	51.41
Fulton.....	4,336	6.6	60.8	9.2	73.9	1.1	4,235	13,721	3.0	5.5	0.3	56.5	73.9	90.5	37.3	7,111	32.11	43.27
Fulton.....	6,028	6.6	81.7	26.4	99.5	4.2	4,107	13,972	3.2	3.2	0.1	80.7	98.7	99.5	70.3	20,004	87.79	97.61
Geneva.....	5,039	5.8	57.4	11.8	89.4	0.6	4,932	14,704	3.4	12.7	7.5	56.8	88.7	89.6	60.7	12,061	39.41	61.89
Glens Falls.....	6,163	5.8	50.9	3.4	82.0	1.4	5,974	19,047	2.9	4.8	0.5	56.1	79.0	92.4	39.3	8,340	42.76	51.16
Glensville.....	8,053	5.6	37.4	2.2	85.3	1.6	7,939	23,031	2.6	3.9	1.0	59.5	71.0	88.2	49.7	7,910	28.93	44.43
Hempstead.....	8,802	5.1	58.9	29.0	91.9	3.6	8,608	28,277	3.1	7.9	7.8	56.8	92.6	93.9	70.0	13,023	55.15	69.82
Hempstead.....	4,778	6.0	50.1	2.1	90.8	0.6	4,692	14,768	2.8	4.7	0.3	57.5	71.2	86.9	35.9	6,215	27.79	34.98
Hudson.....	3,545	5.2	18.0	1.3	66.6	0.3	3,479	10,881	2.8	6.6	4.0	30.1	50.7	86.8	34.5	9,037	27.34	40.13

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without a detached garage or carport.

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CON.

Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached structures ¹			With hot water and private toilet facilities and not dilapidated	Vacant non-seasonal dilapidated for rent or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—			With central air conditioning*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median value of tract monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of tract monthly rent (dollars)	
			In one-family detached structures ¹	In one-family detached structures ¹	In one-family detached structures ¹						With hot water and private toilet facilities and not dilapidated	Vacant non-seasonal dilapidated for rent or sale	With hot water and private toilet facilities and not dilapidated							Vacant non-seasonal dilapidated for rent or sale
URBAN PLACES—CON.																				
1 Units.....	7,188	42.7	4.7	87.1	0.7	7,035	21,795	2.7	4.7	3.2	52.3	88.4	93.5	43.8	93.5	43.8	9,279	51.54	54	
2 Units.....	34,893	42.6	8.9	89.4	0.6	14,332	42,285	2.7	5.2	0.1	90.9	91.0	91.9	46.8	91.0	46.8	7,434	28.01	33.44	
3 Units.....	5,858	48.5	8.4	87.6	0.9	5,737	18,774	3.0	7.4	0.1	97.7	91.0	91.9	33.7	91.0	33.7	8,973	34.58	40.90	
4 Units.....	3,612	40.8	9.3	89.8	0.7	3,556	10,793	2.8	4.6	0.4	91.0	91.0	91.9	33.7	91.0	33.7	6,945	28.08	41.32	
5 Units.....	6,184	59.9	9.3	99.3	0.5	6,111	19,970	3.1	2.0	...	77.5	70.5	71.1	71.1	77.5	70.5	11,758	46.29	68.62	
6 Units.....	642	79.3	16.8	85.9	1.4	612	2,203	3.3	11.0	...	94.9	92.6	84.5	92.6	84.5	84.5	10,422	37.60	48.33	
7 Units.....	8,991	54.3	48.1	4.2	77.9	8,755	27,795	2.9	7.7	2.7	56.3	73.0	49.6	73.0	49.6	49.6	9,537	29.46	41.24	
8 Units.....	6,720	51.1	25.7	85.6	1.7	6,636	26,447	3.8	12.5	0.3	83.5	89.4	36.8	89.4	36.8	36.8	7,519	41.45	50.81	
9 Units.....	8,975	45.9	15.1	92.8	5.0	4,852	13,467	3.1	15.0	0.7	94.7	98.5	70.1	98.5	70.1	70.1	15,294	59.73	69.89	
10 Units.....	8,975	45.9	15.1	92.8	5.0	4,852	13,467	3.1	15.0	0.7	94.7	98.5	70.1	98.5	70.1	70.1	15,294	59.73	69.89	
11 Units.....	5.8	71.1	12.8	97.2	0.6	2,075	17,258	3.2	3.6	...	97.0	98.8	97.6	97.0	98.8	97.6	13,536	53.99	68.91	
12 Units.....	71.1	12.8	97.2	0.6	2,075	17,258	3.2	3.6	...	97.0	98.8	97.6	97.0	98.8	97.6	97.6	13,536	53.99	68.91	
13 Units.....	21.2	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
14 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
15 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
16 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
17 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
18 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
19 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
20 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
21 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
22 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
23 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
24 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
25 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
26 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
27 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
28 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
29 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
30 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
31 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
32 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
33 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
34 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
35 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
36 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
37 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
38 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
39 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
40 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
41 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
42 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
43 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
44 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
45 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
46 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
47 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
48 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
49 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
50 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
51 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
52 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
53 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
54 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
55 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
56 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
57 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
58 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
59 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
60 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
61 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	
62 Units.....	3.8	81.8	0.5	3,651	13,047	3.3	11.3	0.4	59.1	70.1	92.4	43.3	6.9	92.4	43.3	6.9	9,958	28.97	42.32	

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units--					Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by white	Owner-occupied	With mechanical heating* and central air conditioning	Median value of owner-occupied unit structure (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)
	Total number of rooms	In one- and two-family detached houses	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot water with private toilet facilities and not dated	Vacant nonseasonal dwellings, mobile homes, trailers, and other									
SYRACUSE.....	64,769	5.4	24.8	12.6	82.7	0.9	237,899	3.0	6.5	1.7	47.5	85.6	10,510	38.58
TROY.....	21,754	5.4	26.8	12.6	82.7	0.9	66,124	2.9	6.3	1.3	39.5	82.7	8,019	37.04
UTICA.....	21,013	5.8	20.6	4.4	78.0	0.6	23,586	2.9	6.3	1.3	39.5	82.7	8,801	26.78
VALLEY STREAM.....	30,408	5.5	24.6	2.8	78.7	0.9	29,856	2.9	6.6	1.3	43.7	80.0	9,624	31.10
WATERLOO.....	6,040	5.4	79.2	36.7	91.4	2.6	7,792	25,612	3.3	5.8	0.1	83.8	12,396	31.35
WATERVILLE.....	10,298	5.8	43.1	3.6	81.1	0.9	10,382	3,182	2.8	5.8	0.2	49.3	7,040	33.98
WHITE PLAINS.....	4,481	6.0	24.1	0.7	77.0	0.7	4,434	13,129	3.1	5.9	0.2	45.7	7,328	27.02
YONKERS.....	12,388	4.5	39.2	7.3	91.1	2.7	11,960	40,536	3.1	12.6	7.4	42.3	17,713	24.11
.....	46,857	4.7	24.0	9.3	87.6	2.4	149,509	34,572	3.1	11.8	2.7	33.9	15,973	44.59

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 2, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 33

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NORTH CAROLINA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in North Carolina increased 29 percent--from 820,888 in 1940 to 1,068,367 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 14 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in North Carolina was greater than that for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was the same as that for the United States.

About three-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-third and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for North Carolina, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 58 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 2 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 42 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 53 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-nine percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 36 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (19 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

About one-seventh of the occupied dwelling units in North Carolina had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Raleigh, for example, 56 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 9 percent in Kannapolis. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 28 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 56 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$21 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,900. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for North Carolina. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for North Carolina will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

RENTAL IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.	1,058,367	...	820,888	...	237,479	28.9
Occupied dwelling units	994,356	100.0	789,659	100.0	204,697	25.9
Owner occupied.....	530,371	53.3	335,063	42.4	195,308	58.3
Renter occupied.....	463,985	46.7	454,596	57.6	9,389	2.1

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in North Carolina were built between 1940 and 1950.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500)

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures detached or semi-detached			In structures with water, with private bath, and not later than 1940 or dated	Vacant or seasonal			Population in dwelling units	Median lot or parcel size in acres	Occupied by persons or white			With mechanical refrigeration	Percent of owner-occupied units with gas or electric	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling structure (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)		
			In structures detached or semi-detached	In structures with water, with private bath, and not later than 1940 or dated	In structures with water, with private bath, and not later than 1940 or dated		Seasonal	Displaced	For rent			With more than one room	With central heating							
North Carolina																				
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,050,397	4.5	83.2	26.0	34.5	1.4	984,356	3,931,516	3.5	25.1	22.7	53.2	34.8	64.1	36.4	4,901	20.83	29.48		
Urban.....	743,225	4.4	77.4	29.2	44.3	1.5	700,935	2,556,973	3.3	22.2	21.3	51.0	19.8	69.7	36.4	4,901	20.83	29.48		
Suburban.....	381,897	4.4	67.2	22.9	56.0	1.6	370,131	1,304,355	3.2	19.6	24.2	46.6	28.1	74.7	42.9	6,168	16.75	24.27		
Rural nonfarm.....	307,172	5.0	97.1	18.6	31.1	1.0	293,420	1,376,543	4.2	32.2	26.0	38.9	24.6	50.5		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Asheville.....	28,016	4.6	76.7	28.2	22.5	2.5	22,869	118,224	3.2	19.0	11.4	40.6	34.9	70.2	36.2	2,269	27.92	36.01		
Durham.....	20,917	4.2	63.3	32.5	47.2	1.3	26,099	132,033	3.2	18.2	30.4	44.5	22.8	73.7	51.7	6,707	23.75	34.34		
Greensboro-High Point.....	51,547	4.5	75.4	28.9	51.4	1.4	49,769	181,419	3.3	27.3	17.0	53.5	29.4	79.3	47.5	6,079	23.96	33.38		
Raleigh.....	24,539	4.4	70.6	27.3	50.1	1.5	33,249	123,035	3.3	20.6	25.0	46.4	35.0	74.9	46.5	7,599	20.16	28.57		
Winston-Salem.....	41,338	4.4	73.0	24.6	47.4	2.1	39,674	164,777	3.2	19.5	27.7	34.6	35.3	73.5	50.6	6,405	17.97	28.56		
URBAN PLACES																				
Albany.....	3,508	4.5	79.1	18.6	62.7	1.5	3,416	11,669	3.2	16.0	10.2	46.5	23.9	84.7	25.6	4,316	14.79	26.19		
Birmingham.....	7,099	4.4	66.9	27.4	62.3	2.0	6,877	24,003	3.2	16.9	10.3	31.5	22.6	87.5	42.6	6,124	28.38	39.03		
Charlotte.....	37,874	4.5	57.8	28.5	70.0	1.8	36,899	130,534	3.1	16.7	24.1	44.1	44.9	79.7	55.3	9,063	29.70	39.35		
Cincinnati.....	4,832	4.5	70.7	19.5	58.7	1.4	4,717	13,088	3.1	16.4	17.4	47.4	20.2	76.3	30.2	5,345	21.30	32.31		
Cleveland.....	9,829	4.9	63.9	21.3	49.5	2.9	9,715	32,478	3.0	11.8	29.4	40.7	11.7	61.2	33.8	4,493	22.66	31.54		
Dayton.....	9,579	4.3	66.3	42.1	54.3	1.7	9,293	33,103	3.2	21.9	32.6	41.3	32.4	67.7	47.7	4,609	32.66	37.72		
Detroit.....	6,312	4.1	75.0	20.2	50.9	1.7	6,133	22,613	3.2	26.3	20.3	48.3	17.9	72.9	51.3	4,203	24.34	32.47		
Grand Rapids.....	3,465	4.3	70.3	18.5	42.1	1.5	3,363	10,988	3.2	22.0	40.9	39.4	14.8	64.7	37.0	6,053	14.20	22.68		
Greenwich.....	19,379	4.5	66.2	33.0	66.2	1.6	18,997	67,102	3.1	15.8	22.9	42.5	42.3	80.9	44.2	7,591	19.16	27.41		
Hartford.....	3,066	4.3	69.7	21.8	41.7	0.7	2,997	10,686	3.1	21.8	39.7	41.7	16.5	68.0	28.8	5,927	19.70	29.68		
Hickory.....	4,239	4.5	61.5	20.5	62.1	1.7	4,106	14,220	3.2	17.5	13.1	46.7	24.8	78.8	31.4	6,283	25.75	34.37		
High Point.....	1,856	4.4	86.9	27.5	58.9	1.6	1,715	38,956	3.2	20.8	13.9	42.2	28.9	79.3	32.9	5,068	17.33	27.80		
Kinston.....	5,119	4.1	65.2	22.9	49.5	1.3	4,989	17,756	3.2	23.1	39.0	39.7	15.5	61.7	32.9	5,845	18.66	27.40		
Lenoir.....	3,804	4.5	79.9	19.4	46.7	1.4	3,713	13,447	3.3	20.7	13.4	46.8	18.0	80.7	39.3	4,780	18.22	29.00		
Meriden.....	4,037	4.5	77.9	19.8	51.3	1.5	4,031	13,853	3.3	25.9	25.2	46.2	14.8	65.2	32.4	5,796	22.30	30.39		
Mobile.....	16,493	4.5	77.9	19.8	51.3	1.5	16,166	64,467	3.1	15.5	23.2	43.2	16.2	63.3	31.0	9,306	35.88	43.76		
Sanford.....	3,528	4.4	77.4	23.5	52.4	1.3	3,327	11,577	3.2	17.8	32.4	30.2	24.3	73.7	31.0	4,897	25.60	35.26		
Rocky Mount.....	7,666	4.2	61.8	15.8	48.8	0.4	7,573	27,035	3.2	24.2	35.0	45.3	20.3	71.7	39.8	6,468	18.35	29.35		
Salisbury.....	5,930	4.6	64.5	12.7	50.4	1.9	5,749	19,127	3.0	13.5	22.8	44.5	27.6	77.8	38.8	6,069	21.45	31.11		
Shelby.....	4,391	4.5	74.0	21.5	58.0	1.5	4,280	15,339	3.3	19.6	18.4	45.7	21.1	80.2	37.7	5,898	23.97	32.77		
Statesville.....	4,391	4.7	73.6	19.2	54.6	0.8	4,274	16,954	3.2	15.6	19.3	26.3	24.5	69.4	36.5	5,290	23.60	31.90		
Tomball.....	2,944	4.7	79.3	18.4	46.5	2.0	2,844	10,491	3.4	18.7	14.6	53.6	12.4	79.4	47.4	4,056	18.22	30.73		
Wilmington.....	6,338	4.1	60.8	20.7	45.7	1.6	6,186	22,098	3.2	23.8	36.3	39.3	17.0	37.5	42.8	6,429	17.22	26.72		
Winston-Salem.....	24,869	4.3	61.1	15.9	51.3	1.1	24,362	83,686	3.1	18.8	41.1	42.8	34.7	70.7	54.2	7,305	17.63	28.30		

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 34

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NORTH DAKOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in North Dakota increased 8 percent--from 162,881 in 1940 to 175,769 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--3 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in North Dakota were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about three-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remaining two-fifths.

These and other summary data for North Dakota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 42 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 24 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 50 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner

occupied, as compared with 55 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

More than one-eighth of the dwelling units in North Dakota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-nonfarm dwelling units was 17 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 8 percent.

Approximately one-half of the occupied dwelling units in North Dakota had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 76 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 39 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 13 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 67 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,400. Twenty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for North Dakota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for North Dakota will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	175,769	...	162,881	...	12,888	7.9
Occupied dwelling units...	162,105	100.0	152,043	100.0	10,062	6.6
Owner occupied.....	107,341	66.2	75,710	49.8	31,631	41.8
Renter occupied.....	54,764	33.8	76,333	50.2	-21,569	-28.3

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 101 or more persons per room	Owned by nonwhite	Owner occupied	With mechanical refrigeration*	With heating*	Percent mortgaged†	Median value of owner-occupied unit* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
		In one-detached structures*	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot water with toilet and bath, and space heated	Vacant nonseasonal dilapidated, for rent or sale													
North Dakota.....	175,769	4.8	82.6	14.2	33.6	1.1	162,105	600,012	3.4	20.5	1.2	66.2	51.9	68.1	22.8	5,796	36.14	42.80
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	106,869	4.3	72.5	18.1	47.1	1.1	101,676	345,811	3.0	21.4	1.3	62.3	99.3	76.0	28.8	5,796	36.14	42.80
Urban.....	66,210	4.7	52.8	19.9	67.1	1.0	45,069	132,972	3.1	22.8	0.4	54.3	76.1	84.0	27.6	8,175	41.39	46.77
Rural nonfarm.....	60,355	4.7	85.3	16.6	31.7	1.1	56,607	193,239	3.0	20.2	1.9	68.2	45.8	68.6	12.6	4,174	28.67	36.66
Rural farm.....	69,204	5.7	98.2	8.4	12.8	1.1	60,429	254,201	3.9	19.1	1.2	72.8	39.2	54.9	***	***	***	***
URBAN PLACES																		
Bismarck.....	5,446	3.7	43.3	23.6	71.7	1.0	5,314	17,797	3.0	22.8	0.6	47.6	70.6	98.3	33.5	10,728	44.87	47.16
Grand Forks.....	10,912	4.2	49.3	17.7	72.0	1.3	10,665	34,715	2.9	16.9	0.1	52.2	87.0	85.6	20.4	10,163	41.10	47.71
Grand Forks.....	7,107	4.1	57.0	22.0	69.0	0.4	7,000	24,739	3.2	23.8	0.3	56.7	74.9	81.8	46.2	8,003	40.29	47.60
Jamestown.....	3,036	4.3	60.7	16.1	60.0	1.1	2,979	10,007	3.1	18.2	***	57.1	78.7	82.9	33.9	7,637	38.80	46.68
Minot.....	6,285	4.0	64.9	21.3	62.6	1.3	6,068	20,664	3.1	37.9	1.0	55.6	78.1	80.4	36.1	7,761	38.20	45.69

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 35

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OHIO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Ohio increased 21 percent--from 1,977,693 in 1940 to 2,402,565 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 15 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Ohio were approximately the same as those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

One-tenth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fifth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Ohio, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 49 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 50 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 61 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Ohio were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Ohio had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Cleveland Heights, for example, 99 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 68 percent in Cincinnati. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 74 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 43 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 46 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 34 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$8,300. Forty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Ohio. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Ohio will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	2,402,565	...	1,977,693	...	424,872	21.5
Owned dwelling units..	2,313,990	100.0	1,897,796	100.0	416,194	21.9
Owner occupied.....	1,413,086	61.1	948,354	50.0	464,732	49.0
Renter occupied.....	900,904	38.9	949,442	50.0	-48,538	-5.1

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--CON.

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100.)

Area	All dwelling units						Percent of dwelling units—						Occupied dwelling units						Percent of dwelling units—						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one- and two-unit detached structures*		In apartment buildings, tenement houses, and other multiple-unit structures*	With hot water supply	Vacant nonseasonal dwellings	Total number of dwelling units	Population in occupied dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by owner	With heating*	With mechanical refrigerator	With mechanical refrigerator	Percent of units with refrigerator	Median value of unit structure (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of unit structure (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Percent of units with refrigerator	Median value of unit structure (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)				
URBAN PLACES--Con.																														
Cleveland Heights.....	17,945	6.3	63.5	10.4	99.5	0.6	17,739	98,280	3.1	1.5	98.7	99.0	52.1	16,898	65.80	72.45	52.1	16,898	65.80	72.45	52.1	16,898	65.80	72.45	52.1	16,898	65.80	72.45		
Columbus.....	111,721	5.0	49.8	18.5	85.4	0.7	109,767	10,025	2.8	4.0	0.3	69.4	89.7	90.9	42.7	6,174	37.33	45.09	42.7	6,174	37.33	45.09	42.7	6,174	37.33	45.09				
Conneaut.....	4,011	5.5	71.0	7.9	78.6	1.3	3,883	11,566	2.7	6.4	0.9	89.5	73.4	87.5	33.2	6,940	46.62	44.49	33.2	6,940	46.62	44.49	33.2	6,940	46.62	44.49				
Cuyahoga Falls.....	8,985	5.5	84.4	33.1	94.1	1.9	8,693	29,078	3.2	4.9	0.1	81.8	93.2	97.3	63.4	10,567	36.62	34.15	63.4	10,567	36.62	34.15	63.4	10,567	36.62	34.15				
Daphne.....	72,791	4.8	52.9	13.9	75.9	0.8	71,219	232,008	2.9	13.2	10.6	92.9	77.2	91.1	48.5	9,952	41.08	44.97	91.1	9,952	41.08	44.97	91.1	9,952	41.08	44.97				
Delaware.....	3,550	5.5	74.9	15.1	70.0	1.0	3,443	10,992	3.0	7.3	0.1	67.8	85.0	39.7	39.56	49.14	39.7	39.56	49.14	39.7	39.56	49.14	39.7	39.56	49.14	39.7	39.56	49.14		
East Cleveland.....	11,759	5.0	70.0	28.3	89.4	0.7	11,528	30,101	2.8	8.2	0.1	85.8	98.1	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97		
East Liverpool.....	3,361	5.1	25.2	4.0	97.8	0.2	3,252	23,753	2.9	11.6	1.9	63.1	93.1	87.7	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	
Elyria.....	7,524	4.9	64.1	6.3	74.9	0.9	7,409	23,753	2.9	11.6	1.9	63.1	93.1	87.7	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	48.2	58.32	34.22	
Euclid.....	9,260	5.4	67.0	16.0	87.4	0.9	9,066	29,228	3.0	8.1	4.7	66.3	92.3	92.3	46.2	42.89	50.78	46.2	42.89	50.78	46.2	42.89	50.78	46.2	42.89	50.78	46.2	42.89	50.78	
Euclid.....	11,759	5.0	70.0	28.3	89.4	0.7	11,528	30,101	2.8	8.2	0.1	85.8	98.1	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97	52.0	46.28	53.97		
Findlay.....	4,505	5.5	73.7	7.8	82.8	0.6	4,416	14,166	2.9	7.2	2.3	63.4	66.9	90.0	35.9	6,896	35.90	47.07	35.9	6,896	35.90	47.07	35.9	6,896	35.90	47.07	35.9	6,896	35.90	47.07
Franklin.....	5,317	5.7	74.5	12.0	87.3	0.5	5,216	16,337	2.8	5.8	2.0	65.1	91.1	91.1	34.2	7,855	34.45	45.90	91.1	7,855	34.45	45.90	91.1	7,855	34.45	45.90	91.1	7,855	34.45	45.90
Garfield Heights.....	6,019	5.5	83.7	30.3	97.0	1.1	5,913	21,397	3.5	6.4	0.3	84.6	92.0	53.3	12,198	39.13	51.45	53.3	12,198	39.13	51.45	53.3	12,198	39.13	51.45	53.3	12,198	39.13	51.45	
Grandview.....	2,787	5.3	74.2	12.7	77.9	0.3	2,735	10,059	3.3	13.9	2.5	68.3	84.9	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	
Hartford.....	17,637	4.6	64.9	14.2	70.9	1.1	17,396	37,031	3.0	15.1	4.2	65.8	90.1	51.8	9,611	32.48	38.29	51.8	9,611	32.48	38.29	51.8	9,611	32.48	38.29	51.8	9,611	32.48	38.29	
Hartford.....	5,137	4.7	71.1	11.0	72.2	0.8	5,022	15,153	3.1	7.1	3.1	65.5	90.8	94.0	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11
Irvington.....	2,974	5.6	72.1	10.7	88.3	0.5	2,908	10,104	3.1	7.1	3.1	65.5	90.8	94.0	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11	38.5	9,748	40.62	49.11
Lakewood.....	22,624	5.4	41.5	4.0	95.8	0.6	22,397	67,330	2.7	3.2	0.1	52.4	84.3	97.4	45.9	13,973	49.66	58.49	97.4	13,973	49.66	58.49	97.4	13,973	49.66	58.49	97.4	13,973	49.66	58.49
Lancaster.....	7,726	5.1	72.7	13.5	82.6	1.2	7,592	23,490	2.7	9.0	5.0	58.9	69.2	88.9	49.3	7,229	36.62	45.18	88.9	7,229	36.62	45.18	88.9	7,229	36.62	45.18	88.9	7,229	36.62	45.18
Lima.....	12,019	5.4	54.4	15.7	83.2	0.6	11,836	49,399	3.3	11.7	3.8	62.4	84.5	91.8	46.2	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.8	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.8	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.8	9,454	40.94	46.11
Mantoloking.....	13,908	5.4	63.6	15.2	83.5	0.8	13,643	42,502	2.8	7.6	2.8	61.0	77.8	46.2	9,748	40.62	49.11	46.2	9,748	40.62	49.11	46.2	9,748	40.62	49.11	46.2	9,748	40.62	49.11	
Maple Heights.....	4,324	4.9	62.1	6.0	93.1	0.8	4,216	15,955	2.8	9.3	0.9	92.2	94.2	98.1	72.6	12,182	41.23	49.42	98.1	12,182	41.23	49.42	98.1	12,182	41.23	49.42	98.1	12,182	41.23	49.42
Maple Heights.....	5,176	5.4	67.2	6.8	78.5	1.4	5,017	15,359	2.8	8.0	1.2	59.3	94.7	33.6	6,996	42.26	34.21	33.6	6,996	42.26	34.21	33.6	6,996	42.26	34.21	33.6	6,996	42.26	34.21	
Marionville.....	10,368	5.6	71.5	6.2	79.5	1.0	10,141	33,405	2.9	8.6	1.6	66.4	84.9	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	41.5	7,740	31.02	36.29	
Martins Ferry.....	4,116	4.6	52.9	3.9	75.1	1.1	4,026	13,466	3.0	1.5	0.1	58.9	69.2	50.3	7,229	36.62	45.18	50.3	7,229	36.62	45.18	50.3	7,229	36.62	45.18	50.3	7,229	36.62	45.18	
Mason.....	8,687	5.4	71.9	12.4	85.6	0.9	8,512	28,962	3.0	9.5	5.5	69.2	85.1	91.3	46.2	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.3	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.3	9,454	40.94	46.11	91.3	9,454	40.94	46.11
Middletown.....	9,954	4.7	66.1	10.1	72.5	0.4	9,807	31,132	3.1	15.7	8.9	57.6	64.9	87.1	38.0	9,952	41.08	44.97	87.1	9,952	41.08	44.97	87.1	9,952	41.08	44.97	87.1	9,952	41.08	44.97
Mount Vernon.....	4,026	5.5	69.2	11.1	87.1	0.7	3,960	13,883	2.7	6.5	1.8	64.2	64.8	43.3	6,359	32.90	41.62	43.3	6,359	32.90	41.62	43.3	6,359	32.90	41.62	43.3	6,359	32.90	41.62	
Newark.....	11,988	5.8	74.8	11.1	81.6	1.1	11,772	35,962	2.8	5.9	0.8	69.5	83.0	89.2	33.8	7,786	32.49	37.94	89.2	7,786	32.49	37.94	89.2	7,786	32.49	37.94	89.2	7,786	32.49	37.94
New Philadelphia.....	4,774	5.2	70.7	12.4	79.8	0.6	4,709	16,659	3.3	11.5	1.3	66.1	90.0	91.8	43.4	7,786	32.49	41.82	91.8	7,786	32.49	41.82	91.8	7,786	32.49	41.82	91.8	7,786	32.49	41.82
Palmer.....	11,580	4.1	35.9	3.6	84.1	0.9	11,395	34,524	2.7	11.7	0.1	48.6	96.7	37.7	10,156	39.35	44.03	37.7	10,156	39.35	44.03	37.7	10,156	39.35	44.03	37.7	10,156	39.35	44.03	
Painesville.....	8,327	5.2	87.9	48.0	87.1	0.8	8,005	28,090	3.4	5.8	***	88.2	98.3	63.2	12,063	57.08	68.75	63.2	12,063	57.08	68.75	63.2	12,063	57.08	68.75	63.2	12,063	57.08	68.75	
Piquette.....	5,787	5.3	65.2	9.9	79.3	1.2	5,617	17,272	2.8	6.7	1.2	61.2	81.5	97.9	26.6	5,639	26.63	32.11	97.9	5,639	26.63	32.11	97.9	5,639	26.63	32.11	97.9	5,639	26.63	32.11
Portsmouth.....	11,812	4.9	82.0	30.3	98.7	1.0	11,641	31,141	3.1	2.5	0.1	79.8	95.6	95.6	53.1	20,000	58.63	69.97	95.6	20,000	58.63	69.97	95.6	20,000	58.63	69.97	95.6	20,000	58.63	69.97
Rocky River.....	4,076	5.4	68.8	8.4	84.3	1.0	3,987	12,615	2.9	6.3	0.9	64.7	84.5	92.1	36.9	8,127	35.92	44.05	92.1	8,127	35.92	44.05	92.1	8,127	35.92	44.05	92.1	8,127	35.92	44.05

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Includes occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.]

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—							Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by white nonwhite	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigerator*	Percent owner-occupied†	Median value of dwelling structure (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly income by renter (dollars)					
			In one-detached structures†	In structures built in 1940 or later*	In structures with private water supply and not dilapidated	Vacant non-occupied and not dilapidated or sale																
URBAN PLACES.—Con.																						
Sanbury.....	9,369	5.1	64.8	13.7	86.4	0.6	9,062	28,445	2.8	8.1	4.8	58.7	66.9	92.8	35.5	8,848	37.60	45.40				
Shaker Heights.....	9,317	6.1	22.4	2.4	91.6	0.0	9,062	31,410	2.9	7.7	0.5	56.8	64.6	89.6	31.5	20,800	29.27	39.34				
Sidney.....	3,703	5.4	62.9	13.3	81.9	0.8	3,617	11,410	2.9	7.7	0.5	56.8	64.6	89.6	31.5	10,382	29.27	39.34				
South Euclid.....	4,669	5.7	84.3	63.7	98.8	1.9	4,506	15,319	3.3	2.4	0.1	58.5	88.6	98.5	69.8	16,382	92.43	100+				
Springfield.....	28,183	5.0	53.4	11.0	79.3	1.1	23,640	76,248	2.9	10.4	10.7	52.5	58.8	88.9	48.8	8,132	33.86	41.66				
Steubenville.....	10,491	4.9	49.4	6.3	78.2	0.5	10,321	34,960	3.1	13.2	7.1	46.0	72.9	90.2	45.5	9,921	34.60	42.84				
Struthers.....	3,252	5.0	70.6	14.0	78.7	0.9	3,179	11,931	3.4	14.8	4.8	71.9	89.3	90.9	43.2	7,364	32.89	39.21				
Tiffin.....	5,667	5.3	67.8	11.9	81.4	1.0	5,514	17,535	2.9	7.1	0.2	64.0	75.8	86.8	38.6	7,635	36.21	44.38				
University Heights.....	9,369	5.3	67.8	11.9	81.4	1.0	9,062	28,445	2.8	8.1	4.8	58.7	66.9	92.8	31.5	8,848	37.60	45.40				
Wadsworth.....	3,709	5.4	71.5	10.8	86.5	0.7	3,650	10,253	2.8	6.8	4.6	60.7	71.7	91.7	41.7	8,030	37.63	44.30				
Washington.....	3,427	5.0	75.7	13.1	70.0	1.3	3,330	10,253	2.7	11.1	6.2	53.5	45.5	77.4	35.2	6,090	29.27	38.25				
Worthington.....	4,330	5.6	64.3	11.9	88.0	1.4	4,218	12,966	2.8	5.2	1.0	59.2	76.1	89.2	33.5	10,478	38.17	46.25				
Xenia.....	4,088	4.8	65.9	13.6	66.6	0.6	4,028	12,761	2.9	23.8	18.4	57.6	51.4	83.9	40.3	6,720	30.76	40.42				
Youngstown.....	46,066	5.2	61.1	5.9	79.6	0.6	45,220	164,333	2.3	12.8	3.7	60.2	86.5	91.3	41.8	7,639	32.86	42.86				
Zanesville.....	12,794	4.9	64.6	10.5	73.2	0.9	12,493	39,495	2.8	10.9	4.8	60.3	66.7	88.2	48.8	6,631	30.93	35.80				

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series H-5, No. 36

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OKLAHOMA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are arranged according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Oklahoma increased 11 percent--from 647,485 in 1940 to 715,691 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--4 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Oklahoma were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Oklahoma, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 52 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 24 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 60 percent. For the State as a whole, home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Midwest City, 72 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with 48 percent in Stillwater.

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Oklahoma were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 26 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (17 percent) was among farm dwelling units in rural areas. Practically all of Midwest City is new since 1940.

About one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Oklahoma had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Bartlesville, for example, 58 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 3 percent in Lawton. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 32 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 5 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 38 percent of the nonfarm units as compared with only 16 percent of the farm units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 73 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$33 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,200. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Oklahoma. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Oklahoma will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	715,691	...	647,485	...	68,206	10.5
Occupied dwelling units...	663,203	100.0	610,481	100.0	52,722	8.6
Owner occupied.....	397,811	60.0	261,162	42.8	136,649	52.3
Renter occupied.....	265,392	40.0	349,319	57.2	-83,927	-24.0

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[* Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units							Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Percent of dwelling units—			With central heating-refrigerator ¹	With mechanical refrigerator ²	Percent of structure ³ mortgaged ⁴	Median value of one-family structure ⁵ (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)			
		In one-family detached houses ⁶	In structures built in 1940 or later ⁷	With hot water, with central air conditioning, and not dated ⁸			With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied ⁹	With central heating-refrigerator ¹⁰									
Alabama.....	715,691	4.2	83.6	24.0	51.7	2.2	663,203	2,166,511	2.9	20.0	7.8	60.0	20.9	72.4	5,228	32.78	34.20	
Urban and rural, nonfarm.....	553,187	4.2	79.3	25.9	62.2	2.4	518,606	1,613,826	2.8	17.8	7.8	59.5	25.3	76.3	5,228	32.78	34.20	
Urban.....	379,327	4.3	73.9	26.4	73.2	2.7	360,692	1,096,147	2.7	13.2	8.0	58.8	31.5	81.1	6,386	35.98	36.87	
Rural, nonfarm.....	173,860	4.0	91.2	24.8	38.0	1.8	177,914	517,679	2.8	23.7	7.3	61.1	10.8	63.3	2,443	19.68	24.92	
Rural farm.....	102,504	4.2	90.1	17.2	13.3	1.3	144,397	352,685	3.4	28.3	7.9	61.6	4.8	58.1	***	***	***	
Arizona.....	5,361	4.3	75.7	15.9	73.3	3.2	5,107	15,485	2.7	14.6	4.5	54.3	16.7	82.5	5,617	32.11	32.59	
Atlanta.....	6,082	4.4	80.3	19.8	64.6	1.8	5,855	17,659	2.7	14.9	12.5	60.7	11.5	82.5	36.6	31.76	33.44	
Bartlesville.....	6,488	4.6	77.5	22.8	76.5	3.3	6,218	18,767	2.7	11.5	6.0	62.7	37.7	97.1	81.6	43.40	43.40	
Birmingham.....	5,170	4.1	81.1	40.7	76.3	3.0	4,984	15,138	2.7	17.6	3.8	62.2	28.0	83.9	6,197	41.48	44.08	
Boston.....	3,442	4.2	74.6	24.0	60.5	3.6	3,274	10,193	2.9	18.4	5.2	54.9	13.2	74.0	4,245	29.50	33.90	
Buffalo.....	3,836	4.6	72.2	17.0	72.8	2.5	3,659	10,787	2.6	12.9	7.7	61.7	27.6	80.2	40.8	31.99	33.39	
El Paso.....	12,146	4.4	72.3	22.7	76.8	2.2	11,669	35,020	2.7	14.2	3.4	63.2	44.1	82.8	48.8	42.30	40.90	
Fort Worth.....	13,284	4.8	77.6	26.2	60.2	2.4	13,864	40,881	2.5	13.0	19.2	62.2	7.6	68.1	29.0	4,083	30.30	31.04
Los Angeles.....	11,384	4.0	72.3	44.8	68.3	3.2	10,687	34,097	2.8	22.6	9.7	52.1	32.1	85.7	36.6	6,982	42.00	36.77
Midwest.....	5,649	4.2	70.9	37.7	68.3	5.1	5,121	15,767	2.8	16.6	11.8	60.2	17.2	75.5	32.1	4,778	28.36	28.79
Minneapolis.....	4,165	4.4	82.6	33.0	74.0	4.0	3,839	11,555	2.8	12.5	2.9	64.7	34.9	78.4	46.2	5,720	31.35	33.38
Missouri City.....	3,166	4.2	79.0	99.2	69.2	4.0	2,989	10,163	3.3	12.5	0.9	72.4	48.7	99.0	99.0	8,403	69.36	69.36
Minneapolis.....	12,739	4.5	73.0	19.2	70.4	3.3	12,074	36,163	2.7	13.8	20.7	59.9	23.1	71.8	43.2	5,480	32.91	33.48
Newman.....	6,738	4.2	68.7	42.6	84.6	3.9	6,373	19,286	2.7	11.3	0.3	55.1	40.7	90.8	52.9	7,259	41.45	41.56
Oakland City.....	83,047	4.3	66.5	27.4	78.7	3.2	78,863	235,840	2.7	13.9	8.3	55.8	33.8	84.3	62.1	7,684	39.45	40.43
Omaha.....	6,085	4.6	73.3	22.7	79.2	1.8	5,841	17,761	2.9	18.1	20.8	60.2	16.9	74.8	29.9	3,751	31.90	35.18
Omaha, nonfarm.....	5,361	4.0	79.2	20.0	67.3	2.0	5,049	15,342	2.9	17.6	3.8	62.2	28.0	83.9	6,197	41.48	44.08	
San Antonio.....	4,545	4.2	82.8	33.7	82.3	2.8	4,321	13,787	3.0	16.6	11.8	60.2	17.2	75.5	32.1	4,778	28.36	28.79
Seattle.....	4,545	4.2	82.8	33.7	82.3	2.8	4,321	13,787	3.0	16.6	11.8	60.2	17.2	75.5	32.1	4,778	28.36	28.79
Springfield.....	4,344	4.3	77.7	24.5	56.2	2.5	4,119	12,900	2.9	18.4	12.0	64.9	16.3	72.6	26.1	3,904	29.28	30.88
St. Louis.....	3,874	4.0	84.2	11.3	71.9	5.0	3,589	11,666	2.5	19.3	9.6	49.5	9.6	79.3	26.9	3,782	31.21	33.27
Shawnee.....	7,631	4.5	72.9	13.3	74.0	3.3	7,376	22,081	2.7	13.3	4.7	62.4	28.4	81.3	40.1	5,408	32.24	32.78
Stillwater.....	5,109	4.3	68.2	36.1	84.8	3.0	4,885	14,982	2.5	13.1	3.0	48.4	33.1	84.6	50.0	8,360	43.41	43.95
Tulsa.....	60,659	4.4	69.4	25.6	79.5	1.8	58,680	177,222	2.7	12.2	9.0	57.9	50.6	81.3	40.1	8,138	37.75	37.83
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Oklahoma City.....	108,083	4.3	71.4	34.2	76.5	3.2	101,924	314,913	2.8	15.1	7.8	58.5	32.0	83.7	63.6	38.58	39.54	
Tulsa.....	81,251	4.3	74.5	29.7	73.2	2.2	79,003	244,763	2.8	15.2	8.4	60.2	43.6	82.4	56.1	37.37	36.53	
URBAN PLACES																		
Ada.....	5,361	4.3	75.7	15.9	73.3	3.2	5,107	15,485	2.7	14.6	4.5	54.3	16.7	82.5	40.4	5,617	32.11	32.59
Albany.....	6,082	4.4	80.3	19.8	64.6	1.8	5,855	17,659	2.7	14.9	12.5	60.7	11.5	82.5	36.6	31.76	33.44	
Albuquerque.....	6,488	4.6	77.5	22.8	76.5	3.3	6,218	18,767	2.7	11.5	6.0	62.7	37.7	97.1	81.6	43.40	43.40	
Anchorage.....	5,170	4.1	81.1	40.7	76.3	3.0	4,984	15,138	2.7	17.6	3.8	62.2	28.0	83.9	6,197	41.48	44.08	
Ankeny.....	3,442	4.2	74.6	24.0	60.5	3.6	3,274	10,193	2.9	18.4	5.2	54.9	13.2	74.0	4,245	29.50	33.90	
Ann Arbor.....	3,836	4.6	72.2	17.0	72.8	2.5	3,659	10,787	2.6	12.9	7.7	61.7	27.6	80.2	40.8	31.99	33.39	
Asheville.....	12,146	4.4	72.3	22.7	76.8	2.2	11,669	35,020	2.7	14.2	3.4	63.2	44.1	82.8	48.8	42.30	40.90	
Astoria.....	13,284	4.8	77.6	26.2	60.2	2.4	13,864	40,881	2.5	13.0	19.2	62.2	7.6	68.1	29.0	4,083	30.30	31.04
Aurora.....	11,384	4.0	72.3	44.8	68.3	3.2	10,687	34,097	2.8	22.6	9.7	52.1	32.1	85.7	36.6	6,982	42.00	36.77
Avondale.....	5,649	4.2	70.9	37.7	68.3	5.1	5,121	15,767	2.8	16.6	11.8	60.2	17.2	75.5	32.1	4,778	28.36	28.79
Bakersfield.....	4,165	4.4	82.6	33.0	74.0	4.0	3,839	11,555	2.8	12.5	2.9	64.7	34.9	78.4	46.2	5,720	31.35	33.38
Baltimore City.....	3,166	4.2	79.0	99.2	69.2	4.0	2,989	10,163	3.3	12.5	0.9	72.4	48.7	99.0	99.0	8,403	69.36	69.36
Baltimore, nonfarm.....	12,739	4.5	73.0	19.2	70.4	3.3	12,074	36,163	2.7	13.8	20.7	59.9	23.1	71.8	43.2	5,480	32.91	33.48
Baton Rouge.....	6,738	4.2	68.7	42.6	84.6	3.9	6,373	19,286	2.7	11.3	0.3	55.1	40.7	90.8	52.9	7,259	41.45	41.56
Birmingham.....	83,047	4.3	66.5	27.4	78.7	3.2	78,863	235,840	2.7	13.9	8.3	55.8	33.8	84.3	62.1	7,684	39.45	40.43
Birmingham, nonfarm.....	6,085	4.6	73.3	22.7	79.2	1.8	5,841	17,761	2.9	18.1	20.8	60.2	16.9	74.8	29.9	3,751	31.90	35.18
Boston City.....	6,964	4.2	79.2	24.5	84.0	3.7	6,561	19,990	2.8	14.9	14.3	59.7	35.8	81.6	47.4	7,071	40.78	41.88
Boston, nonfarm.....	4,344	4.3	77.7	24.5	56.2	2.5	4,119	12,900	2.9	18.4	12.0	64.9	16.3	72.6	26.1	3,904	29.28	30.88
Boulder.....	3,874	4.0	84.2	11.3	71.9	5.0	3,589	11,666	2.5	19.3	9.6	49.5	9.6	79.3	26.9	3,782	31.21	33.27
Boulder, nonfarm.....	7,631	4.5	72.9	13.3	74.0	3.3	7,376	22,081	2.7	13.3	4.7	62.4	28.4	81.3	40.1	5,408	32.24	32.78
Boston City.....	5,109	4.3	68.2	36.1	84.8	3.0	4,885	14,982	2.5	13.1	3.0	48.4	33.1	84.6	50.0	8,360	43.41	43.95
Boston, nonfarm.....	60,659	4.4	69.4	25.6	79.5	1.8	58,680	177,222	2.7	12.2	9.0	57.9	50.6	81.3	40.1	8,138	37.75	37.83

¹ Includes sampled trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS URBAN PLACES

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 37

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OREGON

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Oregon increased 42 percent--from 369,811 in 1940 to 524,003 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 40 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Oregon were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Fifteen percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 31 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Oregon, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 67 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 10 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 65 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 62 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Approximately one-third of the dwelling units in Oregon were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 47 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 27 percent of the farm units.

Thirty-seven percent of the occupied dwelling units in Oregon had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Portland, for example, 77 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 16 percent in Medford. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 55 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 12 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 63 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 53 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 86 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,800. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Oregon. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Oregon will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	524,003	...	369,811	...	154,192	41.7
Occupied dwelling units...	479,947	100.0	337,492	100.0	141,555	41.9
Owner occupied.....	312,828	65.3	187,044	55.4	125,784	67.2
Renter occupied.....	166,219	34.7	150,448	44.6	15,771	10.5

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units						Percent of dwelling units—										Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—					Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner-occupied	With central heating*	With modern refrigerator*	Percent mortgage*	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Median cost—monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)					
			In one-unit detached structures	In two-unit structures	In three-unit structures	With hot water, with toilet and bath, and not dated	Vacant or seasonal																	
Oregon.....	524,003	4.4	79.8	32.6	74.0	2.7	479,047	2,467,556	2.8	12.7	1.2	65.3	36.6	85.6	40.3	6,846	38.01	44.19	44.19					
Urban and rural, nonfarm.....	446,485	4.4	77.3	33.7	77.6	2.6	413,411	1,940,032	2.7	12.3	1.2	63.0	40.6	81.3	40.3	6,846	38.01	44.19	44.19					
Urban.....	282,816	4.5	70.4	26.4	86.0	2.5	269,717	779,203	2.6	8.6	1.4	61.7	54.5	89.6	41.8	7,408	39.60	45.38	45.38					
Rural, nonfarm.....	163,669	4.1	89.1	46.6	62.8	2.8	143,694	460,810	2.9	19.4	0.8	65.4	14.2	73.0	37.1	5,409	33.09	40.14	40.14					
Rural farm.....	77,518	4.9	94.5	26.6	52.9	2.8	65,636	227,544	3.1	15.0	1.0	80.0	12.0	76.5						
Eugene.....	11,636	4.3	64.9	32.9	83.1	2.5	229,046	680,848	2.6	9.3	1.7	65.6	57.3	85.7	43.4	7,472	36.36	44.14	44.14					
Portland.....	243,840	4.6	75.5	30.3	83.1	2.5	229,046	680,848	2.6	9.3	1.7	65.6	57.3	85.7	43.4	7,472	36.36	44.14	44.14					
ALBANY.....	3,532	4.5	70.2	33.6	85.2	2.0	3,394	9,935	2.7	8.7	0.3	59.7	34.6	85.2	39.7	7,413	41.60	47.38	47.38					
Astoria.....	4,764	4.2	43.6	21.4	76.9	3.4	4,372	11,843	2.4	5.8	1.1	50.5	43.2	79.4	26.3	6,790	37.25	44.60	44.60					
Corvallis.....	2,956	4.2	85.8	22.6	82.4	3.3	2,711	11,291	2.8	11.8	0.1	69.5	36.7	83.8	40.7	5,579	43.34	50.74	50.74					
Grainland.....	1,111	4.2	85.8	22.6	82.4	3.3	2,711	11,291	2.8	11.8	0.1	69.5	36.7	83.8	40.7	5,579	43.34	50.74	50.74					
Eugene.....	11,636	4.3	64.9	32.9	86.5	2.5	11,162	31,999	2.6	9.4	0.2	54.9	42.4	86.0	39.5	9,582	41.99	44.19	44.19					
Klamath Falls.....	5,702	4.0	70.6	11.6	85.4	3.4	5,333	15,240	2.5	10.3	0.7	52.1	30.1	83.1	48.0	6,329	37.83	41.88	41.88					
Medford.....	5,400	4.4	74.1	30.9	87.2	3.6	5,860	16,997	2.6	9.1	0.2	61.8	16.2	85.0	43.7	7,522	44.85	47.43	47.43					
Portland.....	131,413	4.7	63.2	19.2	88.4	2.3	126,705	35,291	2.4	7.8	2.6	48.9	76.7	86.6	42.4	8,023	37.94	43.23	43.23					
Salem.....	13,972	4.5	72.3	24.7	87.2	2.9	13,380	37,387	2.5	7.2	0.2	58.6	42.6	86.0	43.2	8,556	45.99	49.87	49.87					
Springfield.....	3,467	4.3	87.4	62.0	78.6	3.3	3,291	10,765	3.2	14.7	0.2	71.2	19.0	82.3	49.0	6,175	52.06	59.15	59.15					

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 38

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

PENNSYLVANIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Pennsylvania increased 16 percent—from 2,618,056 in 1940 to 3,036,494 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Pennsylvania were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 6 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 23 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Pennsylvania, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 51 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 14 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 46 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 60 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-seven percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Almost one-eighth of the dwelling units in Pennsylvania were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 19 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 7 percent of the farm units.

Three-fourths of the occupied dwelling units in Pennsylvania had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Philadelphia, for example, 92 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 64 percent in Pittsburgh. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 82 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 45 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 40 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$31 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,900. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Pennsylvania. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Pennsylvania will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.75 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Perc.	Number	Perc.	Number	Perc.
All dwelling units..	3,036,494	...	2,618,056	...	418,438	16.0
Owned dwelling units..	2,915,879	100.0	2,515,524	100.0	400,355	15.9
Owner occupied.....	1,739,833	59.7	1,154,948	45.9	584,885	50.6
Renter occupied.....	1,176,046	40.3	1,360,576	54.1	-184,530	-13.6

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--CON.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—	Value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Median contract rent (dollars)	Median one-month rent (dollars)
	Total number of rooms	Median number of detached units in "trailer"	In structure built in 1940 or later*	With hot running water, private bath, and not in multiple dwellings	Vacant unit not in multiple dwellings for rent or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Median number of persons per dwelling	Occupied by owner or nonwhite	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*			
URBAN PLACES--CON.													
Mount Carmel.....	4,039	6.1	18.4	0.6	63.6	2,068	3.3	6.2	63.1	78.2	38.4	28.86	38.05
Muncie.....	4,088	4.9	52.7	2.6	71.7	2,035	3.3	0.3	62.5	96.9	38.9	37.87	41.00
Nanticoke.....	6,008	5.3	37.0	0.6	77.7	2,944	3.2	10.1	61.6	74.4	20.2	5,200	23.04
New Kensington.....	14,828	5.1	57.5	3.6	76.6	7,103	3.1	3.2	59.5	86.0	41.5	6,996	32.49
New Kensington.....	7,428	4.6	52.9	3.6	76.4	3,787	3.2	13.9	62.3	85.3	41.6	8,526	33.69
Norristown.....	9,180	5.9	9.0	3.9	79.9	9,083	3.4	10.7	62.2	93.5	46.9	8,646	37.61
North Broadview.....	4,007	4.4	44.5	6.0	71.4	1,710	3.4	4.2	20.0	76.2	31.6	6,100	31.18
North Broadview.....	5,738	5.8	58.8	0.6	82.0	3,634	3.0	3.7	55.4	92.4	30.3	7,000	27.45
Philadelphia.....	99,999	6.2	31.3	9.4	89.3	1,980	3.3	8.3	52.4	96.9	30.3	7,000	32.71
Phoenixville.....	3,487	6.0	26.8	1.6	85.0	1,243	3.5	2.0	70.3	88.1	36.3	7,382	35.64
Pittsburgh.....	19,889	4.3	30.3	6.4	79.2	6,779	3.1	17.2	63.4	64.6	46.5	8,277	35.74
Pittsburgh.....	3,864	5.8	40.3	1.2	64.3	1,664	3.3	1.1	52.7	71.9	30.9	8,277	35.74
Plymouth.....	3,791	5.8	33.1	3.2	68.3	1,729	3.3	7.2	43.7	53.6	74.9	4,618	20.63
Pottstown.....	6,665	5.8	37.0	12.2	88.3	4,619	3.3	5.8	63.0	88.9	44.2	7,963	36.73
Pottsville.....	6,627	6.0	26.8	1.6	85.0	2,915	3.1	6.2	55.2	86.4	33.6	6,995	32.64
Reading.....	32,890	5.7	5.5	2.7	80.6	32,304	2.9	6.7	37.8	90.8	89.9	6,525	32.74
Scranton.....	36,997	5.4	32.4	0.6	79.5	36,423	3.1	7.7	65.3	80.7	85.2	6,268	28.64
Scranton.....	7,068	5.4	41.8	0.5	82.4	3,920	3.2	9.2	60.3	80.7	31.6	7,423	37.24
Shannon.....	4,521	5.7	5.1	0.3	53.1	4,438	3.3	7.7	44.5	41.6	69.4	4,773	19.55
Shannon.....	4,521	5.7	5.1	0.3	53.1	4,438	3.3	7.7	44.5	41.6	69.4	4,773	19.55
State College.....	3,104	4.8	46.9	27.9	81.7	3,092	3.0	11.8	44.6	40.6	89.6	12,465	55.99
State College.....	3,104	4.8	46.9	27.9	81.7	3,092	3.0	11.8	44.6	40.6	89.6	12,465	55.99
Stearns.....	4,981	5.9	43.7	2.5	88.8	3,493	2.8	5.1	45.2	81.2	87.4	6,266	25.35
Strasburg.....	4,664	5.0	43.7	5.6	81.7	3,639	3.3	11.8	2.6	85.6	96.9	9,766	39.41
Tamques.....	9,244	6.0	24.2	0.8	92.3	3,224	3.1	5.5	59.1	87.7	91.2	5,518	31.02
Turtle Creek.....	3,492	4.4	36.7	19.6	79.5	3,453	3.3	15.6	0.2	89.2	95.2	7,948	35.35
Uniontown (Fayette County).....	6,179	5.0	56.2	3.6	80.1	6,064	3.0	10.7	47.2	81.8	90.3	7,948	35.35
Uniontown (Fayette County).....	6,179	5.0	56.2	3.6	80.1	6,064	3.0	10.7	47.2	81.8	90.3	7,948	35.35
Washington (Washington County).....	7,732	4.7	20.0	1.3	77.3	7,622	3.2	12.1	45.9	47.1	92.6	6,645	39.21
Waynesboro.....	3,340	5.6	44.2	5.1	71.8	3,281	2.8	6.9	33.0	85.2	90.4	6,645	39.21
Waynesboro.....	3,340	5.6	44.2	5.1	71.8	3,281	2.8	6.9	33.0	85.2	90.4	6,645	39.21
West Chester.....	2,861	5.9	15.7	6.3	87.6	2,849	3.0	6.9	33.8	81.5	93.7	9,354	39.69
West Chester.....	2,861	5.9	15.7	6.3	87.6	2,849	3.0	6.9	33.8	81.5	93.7	9,354	39.69
West Mifflin.....	4,469	4.9	27.1	79.6	1.2	4,469	3.1	39.2	4.9	64.0	78.8	9,342	34.31
Wilkes-Barre.....	23,342	5.7	44.4	1.2	82.6	21,026	3.3	9.1	1.0	79.3	82.9	5,915	30.87
Wilkes-Barre.....	23,342	5.7	44.4	1.2	82.6	21,026	3.3	9.1	1.0	79.3	82.9	5,915	30.87
Wilkes-Barre.....	10,033	4.9	36.2	5.2	83.1	9,905	3.0	2.9	7.1	46.4	89.9	11,169	46.42
Wilkes-Barre.....	10,033	4.9	36.2	5.2	83.1	9,905	3.0	2.9	7.1	46.4	89.9	11,169	46.42
York.....	13,768	5.8	14.1	2.3	88.4	13,760	2.9	7.2	1.9	47.5	82.4	8,862	40.21
York.....	13,768	5.8	14.1	2.3	88.4	13,760	2.9	7.2	1.9	47.5	82.4	8,862	40.21
York.....	13,727	5.4	13.0	5.6	69.5	13,471	2.8	7.6	3.7	52.0	83.4	7,167	31.92

* Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 39

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

RHODE ISLAND

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Rhode Island increased 20 percent--from 203,469 in 1940 to 244,147 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 11 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Rhode Island were slightly smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted more than four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State and dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for Rhode Island, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 45 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 37 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 45 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-three percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 29 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among farm houses in rural areas was 10 percent and among urban dwelling units, 9 percent.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Rhode Island had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities. In Cranston, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Central Falls.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 62 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 53 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 63 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$26 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,800. Fifty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Rhode Island. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Rhode Island will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	244,147	...	203,469	...	40,678	20.0
Occupied dwelling units...	225,447	100.0	187,706	100.0	37,741	20.1
Owner occupied.....	102,071	45.3	70,190	37.4	31,881	45.4
Renter occupied.....	123,376	54.7	117,516	62.6	5,860	5.0

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Rhode Island were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100. For sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units							Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units mortgaged ⁴	Median value of one-unit structure (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)			
		Median number of rooms	In one-family detached structures ¹	In structures built in 1940 or later ²	With hot water, with private toilet, and not dated	Vacant non-occupied, not dated, or sold	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by white					With central heating ³	With mechanical refrigeration ³	
Rhode Island.....	244,147	4.9	40.3	11.9	62.2	1.4	225,447	750,538	3.1	9.7	1.6	45.3	58.5	86.0	54.0	9,767	25.62	40.17
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	240,845	4.9	39.8	11.9	62.3	1.3	222,667	740,574	3.1	9.7	1.6	44.9	58.6	86.0	54.0	9,767	25.62	40.17
Urban.....	200,187	4.9	32.6	8.6	62.9	1.3	192,446	703,858	3.1	9.3	1.7	42.4	58.7	85.9	55.1	10,101	25.55	40.01
Rural nonfarm.....	40,258	4.9	57.0	29.1	59.2	1.2	30,211	366,716	3.1	12.3	0.6	72.5	86.9	49.4	6,531	26.68	41.97	
Rural farm.....	3,302	6.3	78.9	10.4	52.9	5.7	2,780	9,984	3.3	9.7	0.6	72.7	51.4	82.5	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Providence.....	221,800	4.9	36.9	10.6	62.3	1.2	211,471	705,741	3.1	9.8	1.3	45.3	58.5	86.2	55.3	9,714	25.20	39.83
URBAN PLACES																		
Bristol (uninc.).....	3,020	4.8	27.5	2.7	48.1	0.8	2,943	10,270	3.2	12.3	0.1	39.3	33.4	81.7	30.0	8,874	19.80	35.72
Central Falls.....	7,198	4.7	6.7	1.1	31.4	1.0	7,090	23,204	3.0	12.4	0.1	22.7	29.1	84.3	31.6	8,619	22.79	36.12
Cranston.....	14,951	5.4	56.4	17.0	84.8	1.1	14,595	49,541	3.2	6.1	0.1	66.1	82.3	94.4	60.5	11,799	33.55	50.10
East Providence.....	10,338	5.1	39.9	9.5	86.5	2.8	9,398	30,428	3.0	7.2	5.8	35.5	85.8	92.3	32.3	9,462	38.50	49.55
Providence.....	25,303	4.9	25.9	10.1	59.0	1.2	24,685	80,524	3.0	8.3	0.4	41.9	56.0	87.0	34.2	9,731	25.15	36.76
Providence.....	74,212	4.8	17.6	3.5	60.5	1.4	72,259	235,891	3.0	10.7	3.1	31.7	57.0	82.4	51.6	11,032	26.20	40.89
Warwick.....	14,790	5.2	86.5	24.5	71.2	1.5	12,509	42,740	3.2	8.0	0.3	77.3	76.4	88.2	64.4	9,081	31.81	46.33
Woonsocket.....	15,224	4.7	13.4	6.5	47.0	0.8	14,993	49,331	3.0	10.5	0.2	28.0	38.3	87.8	55.9	9,906	22.80	36.36

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 40

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

SOUTH CAROLINA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in the series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in South Carolina increased 22 percent--from 458,899 in 1940 to 557,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 11 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in South Carolina were slightly smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for South Carolina, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 74 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 31 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 45 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be somewhat more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Fifty percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	557,672	...	458,899	...	98,773	21.5
Occupied dwelling units...	514,638	100.0	434,968	100.0	79,670	18.3
Owner occupied.....	232,284	45.1	133,279	30.6	99,005	74.3
Renter occupied.....	282,354	54.9	301,689	69.4	-19,335	-6.4

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in South Carolina were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among

nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 32 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 26 percent and among rural-farm units, 17 percent.

About one-twelfth of the occupied dwelling units in South Carolina has central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Greenville, for example, 33 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 6 percent in Brandon-Hudson. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 43 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 55 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$17 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,100. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for South Carolina. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for South Carolina will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.45 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.]

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—					Population					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of owner-occupied units	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
	Total number of rooms	In detached structures	In structures with 1 or 2 units	With hot water, with private bath, and not dilapidated	Vacant non-occupied, not dilapidated, or in process of construction or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Median number of persons	Occupied by white	Owner occupied	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	With central heating	Occupied by white	Owner occupied	With central heating	Percent of owner-occupied units	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of owner-occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
SOUTH CAROLINA.....																				
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	257,672	4.3	82.1	25.3	34.3	1.8	514,638	2,061,697	3.5	28.9	34.5	45.1	8.0	58.0	40.2	5,112	17,39	26.03	5,112	26.03
Urban.....	104,699	4.2	76.4	28.4	43.1	2.0	274,689	1,351,822	3.3	25.4	29.6	43.2	10.4	63.9	40.2	5,112	17,39	26.03	5,112	26.03
Rural.....	222,224	4.2	65.3	25.7	54.9	2.0	211,979	1,400,875	3.3	25.4	29.6	43.2	10.4	63.9	40.2	5,112	17,39	26.03	5,112	26.03
Urban nonfarm.....	182,475	4.2	89.8	31.8	28.6	1.7	162,611	621,121	3.4	29.3	31.0	44.9	4.7	56.7	31.0	5,291	13.22	23.15	5,291	23.15
Rural farm.....	132,973	4.6	97.2	17.0	11.0	1.2	140,049	699,875	4.4	38.5	46.7	50.4	1.6	42.3
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Charleston.....	47,187	4.2	55.5	32.2	50.3	2.8	42,927	155,277	3.2	25.0	40.4	41.5	10.4	58.0	40.7	5,637	26.09	32.29	5,637	32.29
Columbia.....	36,159	4.3	65.6	35.1	57.1	4.7	35,206	126,995	3.2	22.2	30.6	45.2	20.9	67.8	56.6	7,935	28.10	34.24	7,935	34.24
Greenville.....	47,857	4.3	75.4	28.9	48.6	1.9	45,345	163,389	3.2	22.6	17.0	48.1	17.3	74.3	54.4	6,311	20.59	28.40	6,311	28.40
URBAN PLACES																				
Anderson.....	6,032	4.1	62.3	15.9	53.7	1.6	5,854	19,252	3.0	22.1	27.8	37.8	15.3	74.9	42.5	7,290	21.26	29.06	7,290	29.06
Brundage-Judson (uninc.).....	3,093	3.9	73.7	20.9	50.3	1.1	3,036	10,941	3.3	28.1	17.9	41.0	6.1	77.3	57.1	4,885	16.71	26.46	4,885	26.46
Columbia.....	2,776	3.7	27.6	7.8	49.7	2.9	20,081	65,731	2.8	24.4	44.6	23.4	11.1	55.3	30.0	10,545	22.82	28.79	10,545	28.79
Columbia.....	22,818	4.2	65.6	29.5	50.5	2.3	21,777	77,986	3.1	19.8	31.8	39.2	24.5	70.4	54.3	9,608	27.58	35.94	9,608	35.94
Florence.....	6,679	4.4	66.4	28.5	57.2	2.4	6,386	21,946	3.1	20.2	34.9	49.7	7.1	67.3	45.9	7,075	22.62	26.20	7,075	26.20
Greenville.....	17,383	4.2	55.6	27.4	60.9	2.8	16,692	54,246	3.0	18.5	26.6	41.4	32.8	73.9	53.5	9,563	25.54	33.36	9,563	33.36
Greenville.....	4,196	4.0	68.1	18.1	40.1	0.7	4,034	13,089	2.9	21.1	33.6	30.5	12.5	70.1	31.0	7,439	16.62	27.98	7,439	27.98
Greenville.....	4,196	4.0	68.1	18.1	40.1	0.7	4,034	13,089	2.9	21.1	33.6	30.5	12.5	70.1	31.0	7,439	16.62	27.98	7,439	27.98
Rock Hill.....	6,434	4.3	71.8	34.4	59.4	2.3	6,179	22,828	3.5	23.4	23.7	43.9	18.3	74.8	53.4	7,486	27.41	37.76	7,486	37.76
Spartanburg.....	10,669	4.1	60.2	19.6	56.9	1.2	10,438	35,339	3.1	22.1	30.4	35.3	22.8	66.7	43.6	6,555	22.33	31.02	6,555	31.02
Spartanburg.....	5,751	4.2	64.6	23.1	55.5	1.9	5,564	19,606	3.2	22.9	32.1	38.1	13.4	64.2	41.1	7,431	21.48	29.75	7,431	29.75

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

July 18, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 41

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

SOUTH DAKOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in South Dakota increased 8 percent--from 179,744 in 1940 to 194,573 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 2 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in South Dakota were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost two-thirds of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-third.

These and other summary data for South Dakota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The proportion of home ownership for the State was substantially higher in 1950 than in 1940, increasing from 45 percent in 1940 to 62 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be somewhat more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Sixty-five percent of the occupied dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TEMPURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tempre	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
All dwelling units...	194,573	...	179,744	...	14,829	8.3
Occupied dwelling units..	122,978	100.0	165,428	100.0	17,550	10.6
Owner occupied.....	113,780	62.2	74,388	45.0	39,392	53.0
Renter occupied.....	69,198	37.8	91,040	55.0	-21,842	-24.0

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in South Dakota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was in urban

areas, where 21 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among farm dwelling units in rural areas.

About two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in South Dakota had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Aberdeen, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment as compared with only 48 percent in Rapid City. In occupied urban dwelling units, 64 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 24 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 51 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 15 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,400. Twenty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for South Dakota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for South Dakota will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm						Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—						Median value of one-dwelling-unit mortgage¹ (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)				
		Median number of detached rooms	In one-family detached structures built 1940 or later*	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot running water, private toilet and bath, and not dated	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings, for rent or sale	Total number in dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by nonwhite	Owned by occupied			With mechanical refrigeration¹	With central heating¹	Percent mortgaged¹	
South Dakota.....	196,573	5.0	82.8	14.2	34.0	1.0	182,978	631,235	3.1	15.4	2.5	62.2	41.4	73.6	25.0	5,410	33.54	42.30
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	124,343	4.4	74.7	18.7	51.3	1.1	117,955	377,882	2.8	16.5	2.4	60.6	50.9	77.9	25.0	5,410	33.54	42.30
Urban.....	69,706	4.5	65.3	20.5	62.8	1.2	63,849	204,219	2.9	16.6	2.4	56.8	63.8	83.8	35.1	6,927	38.28	49.41
Rural nonfarm.....	58,697	4.7	85.1	16.6	34.9	1.0	54,106	171,663	2.8	16.3	4.3	65.2	25.6	70.9	13.6	4,013	24.54	36.23
Rural farm.....	70,210	6.1	97.1	6.5	14.7	0.9	65,023	253,393	3.6	13.3	2.5	65.0	24.1	65.8	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Sioux Falls.....	21,027	4.6	69.5	23.7	60.9	0.6	20,660	68,152	3.0	14.9	0.3	59.2	67.8	87.7	44.3	8,059	36.58	43.76
URBAN PLACES																		
Aberdeen.....	6,228	4.2	56.6	16.8	67.0	1.3	6,032	20,009	3.0	17.6	0.2	56.8	82.1	82.4	38.1	6,516	42.72	48.89
Bureau.....	3,676	4.5	71.6	15.5	63.7	0.6	3,626	12,108	3.0	14.4	0.6	62.0	65.4	85.6	37.4	7,022	38.83	49.13
Mitchell.....	3,790	4.5	66.1	10.4	62.7	1.0	3,661	13,495	2.8	14.3	0.1	56.7	77.1	83.2	25.5	6,488	39.68	48.52
Sioux Falls.....	21,027	4.6	69.5	23.7	60.9	0.6	20,660	68,152	3.0	14.9	0.3	59.2	67.8	87.7	44.3	8,059	36.58	43.76
Sioux Falls.....	15,793	4.3	62.1	25.2	70.0	0.7	15,581	50,056	2.9	15.8	0.4	58.0	77.0	89.1	35.6	8,624	37.48	44.34
Waterbury.....	3,908	4.6	68.3	19.4	60.1	1.5	3,765	12,600	3.0	14.8	0.1	60.3	69.2	82.5	44.6	6,213	38.96	47.75

1 Including occupied tenting.

2 Restricted to units in 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 42

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

TENNESSEE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Tennessee increased 24 percent--from 742,030 in 1940 to 921,837 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 13 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Tennessee were approximately the same as those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Tennessee, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 57 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-six percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 50 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Tennessee were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 37 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (20 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Tennessee had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Oak Ridge, for example, 61 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 26 percent in Chattanooga. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 26 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 9 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 58 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$24 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,300. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Tennessee. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Tennessee will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	921,837	...	742,030	...	179,807	24.2
Occupied dwelling units...	871,474	100.0	714,894	100.0	156,580	21.9
Owner occupied.....	492,643	56.5	315,182	44.1	177,461	56.3
Renter occupied.....	378,831	43.5	399,712	55.9	-20,881	-5.2

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 300]

Area	All dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—										Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—			
	Median number of detached structures	In one- or two-family detached structures	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot water, with private toilet and bath, and central air conditioning or sale	Vacant non-seasonal dwellings	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by non-white	Owner occupied	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	With mechanical heating*	Median value of owner-occupied structure (dollars)	Median tract rent monthly (dollars)	Median gross rent monthly (dollars)	Median gross rent monthly (dollars)
Tennessee.....	921,637	4.2	78.6	26.7	36.0	1.5	871,474	3.3	25.3	15.5	56.5	20.3	65.6	37.2	5,268	24.13	30.73	30.73
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	662,403	4.2	71.3	29.3	46.7	1.7	629,247	3.1	23.1	17.4	52.9	26.9	70.8	37.2	5,268	24.13	30.73	30.73
Urban.....	430,030	4.2	61.1	25.5	57.7	1.9	415,447	3.0	20.8	22.5	49.9	35.5	75.4	43.2	6,228	27.01	33.06	33.06
Nonfarm.....	232,373	4.2	80.3	36.5	23.9	1.4	213,768	3.7	27.6	10.5	58.7	9.9	61.8	25.2	3,554	16.32	22.92	22.92
Rural farm.....	239,434	4.4	97.0	20.0	8.7	0.6	242,627	3.7	31.1	10.7	66.0	3.3	52.1
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Chattanooga.....	71,358	4.3	71.1	24.3	52.1	1.3	68,710	3.2	21.8	17.9	53.7	21.9	74.9	41.9	5,272	23.33	31.27	31.27
Memphis.....	137,985	4.0	77.1	29.5	45.8	1.5	135,689	3.1	24.5	16.8	48.0	35.8	74.0	45.3	7,067	28.24	33.62	33.62
Nashville.....	91,575	4.3	62.2	24.9	56.0	1.2	88,741	3.1	18.7	19.3	52.9	35.8	77.0	47.8	6,808	25.74	31.51	31.51
URBAN PLACES																		
Bristol.....	4,938	4.5	63.8	10.5	61.1	1.4	4,792	3.1	16.1	5.4	63.7	57.7	79.0	45.7	6,300	37.61	45.93	45.93
Chattanooga.....	38,994	4.0	53.3	20.5	54.3	1.2	38,016	3.0	21.3	28.7	40.4	26.4	71.8	40.7	5,107	23.89	31.87	31.87
Clarksville.....	4,755	3.9	62.0	24.9	45.3	1.2	4,637	3.0	22.6	28.4	47.0	31.1	66.6	29.6	5,854	25.35	32.61	32.61
Cleveland.....	3,636	4.5	71.1	19.9	55.3	1.8	3,505	3.0	17.9	9.9	51.4	23.8	74.3	29.2	4,282	23.54	32.15	32.15
Columbia.....	3,514	4.2	62.2	13.9	49.7	2.5	3,376	2.9	17.9	27.7	52.5	27.7	70.1	25.8	5,052	21.94	30.67	30.67
Dyersburg.....	3,577	4.0	67.1	25.3	39.1	1.8	3,440	2.8	18.2	27.2	46.7	15.8	66.3	31.5	5,324	16.77	26.20	26.20
Elizabethton.....	3,105	4.7	53.1	30.4	73.9	2.3	2,979	3.3	15.9	21.1	60.8	48.7	80.9	45.3	5,998	32.88	41.40	41.40
Jackson.....	9,483	3.9	53.0	18.5	48.3	0.8	9,322	2.9	30.7	32.5	46.8	16.2	67.7	32.4	5,930	21.55	28.54	28.54
Knoxville.....	7,176	4.5	68.1	18.0	79.3	1.4	6,974	3.1	17.8	8.5	57.4	45.0	75.3	42.6	5,650	32.47	38.50	38.50
Kingsport.....	3,637	4.7	67.1	40.1	78.9	1.9	3,456	3.3	13.4	54.5	53.3	45.2	89.2	54.5	6,827	31.45	38.60	38.60
Knoxville.....	35,860	4.2	64.8	15.3	62.0	1.5	34,825	3.1	20.5	15.1	52.7	34.8	74.1	40.0	5,292	28.58	33.45	33.45
Memphis.....	116,438	4.0	51.9	26.4	58.7	1.6	113,352	3.0	23.3	34.6	47.8	45.8	76.5	36.0	7,967	29.84	32.78	32.78
Murfreesboro.....	3,663	4.4	79.1	29.6	47.0	1.4	3,558	3.3	22.2	8.6	60.7	28.5	63.0	28.5	4,601	29.42	36.67	36.67
Nashville.....	20,990	3.9	48.1	8.6	47.8	1.0	19,877	2.9	21.1	30.5	42.2	37.9	69.7	37.6	5,613	23.38	29.32	29.32
Oak Ridge (uninc.).....	10,307	4.0	62.8	99.8	98.1	20.2	7,928	3.4	15.9	3.4	1.2	60.8	98.9	37.95	49.13	49.13

1 Includes occupied trailers.
2 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures without business.
3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 43

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

TEXAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this Series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Texas increased 33 percent--from 1,804,884 in 1940 to 2,393,828 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 20 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Texas were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About one-sixth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fifth of the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Texas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 73 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 1 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 57 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units and urban dwelling units, but with considerable variation among localities. For instance, in Bellaire, 88 percent of the occupied dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 37 percent in Galveston.

About one-third of the dwelling units in Texas were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 39 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 22 percent of the farm units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Texas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Amarillo, for example, 43 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 4 percent in Laredo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 40 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 20 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,800. Thirty-eight percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Texas. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Texas will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$1.75 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units..	2,393,828	...	1,804,884	...	588,944	32.6
Owned dwelling units..	2,189,178	100.0	1,678,396	100.0	510,782	30.4
Owner occupied.....	1,241,540	56.7	717,682	42.8	523,858	73.0
Renter occupied.....	947,638	43.3	960,714	57.2	-13,076	-1.4

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
	Median number of detached structures*	In one detached structure built 1940 or later*	In structures with private water and hot water and no bath, and no hot water	Vacant non-detached units	Total number of dwelling units	Population per dwelling unit	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit	With owner-occupied unit

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1-4 dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Cont.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100.
 (Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
 For sample frame, percent not shown where base is less than 500)

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units							Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner-occupied	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent mortgage*	Median value of one-mortgaged structure* (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
		In one-dwelling-unit detached structures ¹	In structure built in 1940 or later ²	In structure with private bath and hot water, and not duplicated ³	Vacant and seasonal dwellings for rent or sale ⁴												
Shawnee.....	6,762	4.3	67.3	22.2	65.7	1.8	6,534	2.7	13.4	9.1	57.9	11.0	73.2	36.6	5,382	31.13	35.86
Shawnee.....	2,853	2.8	84.6	71.5	50.1	4.6	3,556	11,420	3.0	43.9	0.4	61.1	6.8	65.6	26.2	6,971	69.04
Shawnee.....	4,350	3.8	69.4	35.2	60.1	2.9	7,482	13,416	2.8	34.3	4.5	55.8	2.0	81.8	34.4	5,663	41.55
Temple.....	7,750	4.2	72.5	35.4	69.6	2.0	7,448	9,362	2.7	18.3	34.8	59.7	2.8	59.3	25.4	6,018	37.74
Temple.....	3,132	4.2	77.5	25.9	43.8	1.2	3,017	9,362	2.7	18.3	34.8	59.7	2.8	59.3	25.4	5,619	28.68
Texas City.....	8,304	4.1	66.8	34.6	61.7	2.4	7,915	24,389	2.8	15.2	36.4	51.4	7.5	70.8	30.7	5,382	31.80
Texas City.....	5,381	4.0	69.1	69.1	77.7	9.5	4,834	24,389	2.8	14.3	23.7	56.1	7.4	77.2	50.7	17,034	34.01
Vernon.....	12,488	4.5	72.8	29.0	68.0	2.2	11,881	37,704	2.9	14.3	3.7	68.3	40.5	95.1	55.4	5,484	33.68
Vernon.....	7,868	5.6	72.1	40.7	96.2	1.2	7,625	22,807	2.7	4.4	3.7	68.3	40.5	95.1	55.4	5,484	33.68
Victoria.....	4,463	4.0	82.4	84.3	88.8	1.2	3,983	12,557	2.8	21.5	8.9	54.8	34.4	78.2	31.8	5,484	33.68
Victoria.....	4,899	4.2	74.9	29.2	64.8	1.1	4,722	15,660	3.0	20.0	12.7	51.0	12.7	74.5	22.6	6,982	39.42
Waukegan.....	25,228	4.1	66.5	29.5	65.9	3.3	24,619	77,182	2.8	17.4	16.4	54.2	13.3	78.4	44.8	6,982	32.69
West University Place.....	5,195	5.5	95.1	49.9	99.4	0.6	3,353	10,607	2.8	19.5	20.7	38.2	11.8	72.6	24.4	5,104	26.80
White Settlement.....	2,987	3.9	37.9	96.2	82.1	2.7	2,854	10,827	3.7	29.1	0.1	38.7	1.4	62.7	4.9	12,983	37.13
Wichita Falls.....	18,779	3.9	67.2	24.4	67.3	1.9	17,883	55,161	2.8	20.1	9.0	34.4	13.4	83.1	37.2	6,496	44.05

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 6, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 44

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

UTAH

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Utah increased 36 percent--from 147,291 in 1940 to 200,554 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 25 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Utah were greater than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and nonfarm dwelling units constituted about nine-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-tenth.

These and other summary data for Utah, based on final tabulations from the 1950 Census of Housing were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 44 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 61 percent in 1940 to 65 percent in 1950. This State had one of the highest home ownership rates among all the States in both 1940 and 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-seven percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 62 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

concentration of new units was in urban areas, where 30 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (23 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Utah had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Salt Lake City, for example, 81 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 63 percent in Ogden. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 68 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 26 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 82 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 60 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 88 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,400. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Utah. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Utah will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	200,554	...	147,291	...	53,263	36.2
Owned dwelling units..	187,825	100.0	139,487	100.0	48,338	34.7
Owner occupied.....	122,600	65.3	85,225	61.1	37,375	43.9
Renter occupied.....	65,225	34.7	54,262	38.9	10,963	20.2

Approximately three-tenths of the dwelling units in Utah were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—					Percent mortgaged ^a	Median value of one-dwelling unit ^a (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)				
		Median number of rooms	In one-detached units	In structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water with private toilet and bath, and not dilapidated	Vacant non-occupied, dilapidated, for rent or sale	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons	With 1.01 or more persons per room					Owned by white non-white	Owner-occupied	With central heating*	With real estate* structure ^a
Utah.....	200,554	4.2	70.8	29.1	79.8	2.2	187,825	673,447	3.3	23.4	1.4	65.3	54.8	88.2	42.7	7,409	36.08	39.93
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	179,359	4.2	64.3	29.8	82.1	2.0	169,334	592,998	3.3	22.8	1.4	62.9	57.9	88.3	42.7	7,409	36.08	39.93
Urban.....	132,437	4.2	62.2	30.4	88.1	2.0	127,923	436,968	3.2	21.5	1.2	61.6	67.7	90.4	47.7	8,255	39.01	41.98
Rural nonfarm.....	46,922	4.2	85.3	28.2	64.9	1.9	41,811	155,970	3.5	27.0	1.7	66.7	28.0	82.1	27.1	5,019	25.89	32.26
Rural farm.....	21,195	4.6	92.8	23.2	60.1	4.1	18,491	85,509	4.0	29.1	2.2	87.4	25.6	86.8
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Ogden.....	24,636	4.1	61.5	33.1	85.4	2.2	23,574	81,717	3.2	21.5	2.3	59.1	54.4	90.5	43.6	7,417	33.64	37.89
Salt Lake City.....	81,640	4.3	63.9	26.6	87.8	1.8	78,377	267,911	3.2	21.5	1.2	61.9	73.0	91.3	50.5	8,865	41.79	44.35
URBAN PLACES																		
Logan.....	5,011	3.9	51.6	30.2	87.6	2.2	4,858	15,798	3.0	22.8	0.3	51.8	66.3	87.0	36.3	7,854	32.69	33.76
Cannonville.....	17,500	4.1	50.8	24.8	87.6	1.8	16,980	55,667	3.0	17.9	2.5	60.0	63.3	91.4	40.6	7,393	32.31	40.19
Provo.....	7,650	4.1	57.6	36.1	88.0	2.1	7,338	26,079	3.3	22.4	0.2	62.4	68.6	87.8	55.2	8,863	42.22	41.52
Salt Lake City.....	56,091	4.3	54.6	20.5	90.5	1.8	54,361	175,838	3.0	19.5	1.4	56.9	81.0	91.4	91.7	9,158	44.28	46.00

* Includes occupied trailers.

† Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

‡ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 26, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 45

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

VERMONT

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Vermont increased 15 percent—from 106,362 in 1940 to 121,911 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was only 5 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Vermont were far below those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and urban dwelling units represented about one-third. About one-fourth of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were seasonal units.

These and other summary data for Vermont, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 23 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 56 percent in 1940 to 61 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. More than four-fifths, 83 percent, of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with less than one-half, 47 percent, of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Just 9 percent of the dwelling units in the State were built since 1940. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses, where 12 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas where only 5 percent were built during the decade.

Approximately one-half of all the occupied dwelling units in Vermont had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment between rural-farm and urban dwelling units, 37 percent for rural-farm and 66 percent for urban.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of a better quality than farm housing in the State. About 69 percent of the nonfarm units compared with 50 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had all of the following plumbing facilities: hot running water and private flush toilet and bath; 84 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$28 a month. The median value of 1-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,300. About two-fifths of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
All dwelling units...	121,911	...	106,362	...	15,549	14.6
Occupied dwelling units...	103,496	100.0	92,435	100.0	11,061	12.0
Owner occupied.....	63,397	61.3	51,709	55.9	11,688	22.6
Renter occupied.....	40,099	38.7	40,726	44.1	-627	-1.5

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Vermont. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Vermont will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.25 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Occupied dwelling units										Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—			
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit detached structures	In structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, with private bath, and not dated	Vacant non-occupied, dilapidated, or sale	Total number	Population of dwelling units	Median number of persons	With more than one person per room	Occupied by white	Owner-occupied	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigeration*	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of detached structure* (dollars)	Median contract rent monthly (dollars)	Median gross rent monthly (dollars)
Vermont.....	121,911	5.8	65.8	9.0	65.3	1.6	103,496	363,298	3.1	9.0	0.1	61.3	51.4	77.5	41.1	6,277	27.34	41.22
Urban and rural* conform.....	99,697	5.6	61.0	9.9	69.7	1.5	83,981	282,532	3.0	9.1	0.2	56.3	54.8	78.9	41.1	6,277	27.34	41.22
Urban.....	49,833	5.6	57.0	10.4	68.4	1.6	43,800	152,770	3.0	8.5	0.2	46.9	46.3	81.9	47.4	8,703	31.77	44.71
Rural conform.....	59,255	5.8	75.8	12.1	57.8	1.6	44,900	134,298	3.0	9.6	0.2	66.9	64.6	76.4	36.8	5,066	21.55	35.63
Rural farm.....	22,024	7.4	87.6	5.1	49.5	2.1	19,515	80,766	3.8	8.6	0.1	82.6	36.9	71.6
URBAN PLACES																		
Barns.....	3,392	5.2	36.1	4.0	85.9	1.0	3,302	10,733	3.0	8.2	0.2	47.7	71.3	86.0	44.0	8,264	28.07	43.98
Burlington.....	9,145	4.9	35.5	9.4	81.2	1.6	8,804	29,985	3.0	11.0	0.3	41.8	67.8	82.8	34.5	11,618	36.64	45.70
Rutland.....	5,447	5.7	44.5	4.9	91.5	1.9	5,239	17,252	3.0	6.1	0.2	53.6	69.2	77.1	46.7	£ 961	33.43	47.91

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 25, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 46

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

VIRGINIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Virginia increased 37 percent--from 659,787 in 1940 to 901,483 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 24 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Virginia were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

One-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about three-tenths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Virginia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy W. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 52 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 49 percent in 1940 to 55 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with only 15 percent of the farm units.

More than one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Virginia had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Alexandria, for example, 86 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Suffolk. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 54 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 8 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 35 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 17 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 72 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,600. Forty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Virginia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Virginia will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.70 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	901,483	...	659,787	...	241,696	36.6
Owner occupied.....	845,259	100.0	627,332	100.0	217,927	34.7
Renter occupied.....	65,933	44.9	320,644	51.1	254,711	38.2

Approximately three-tenths of the dwelling units in Virginia were built between 1940 and 1950. In

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units										Coupled dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—										Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—	
	Total number of detached structures ¹	In one- or two-unit structures ¹	In detached structures ¹	With hot water ²	With hot water with seasonal disconnection ²	Vacant for rent or sale ²	Total number of detached units ³	Population in detached units ³	Median number of persons per room ³	With 1.01 or more persons per room ³	Owned by non-white ⁴	Owner occupied ⁴	With central heating ⁴	With mechanical refrigeration ⁴	With central air conditioning ⁴	Percent of owner-occupied nonfarm ⁴	Median value of owner-occupied nonfarm ⁴	Median value of owner-occupied nonfarm ⁴	Median one-unit rent (dollars) ⁵	Median gross monthly rent (dollars) ⁵
Virginia.....	901,433	4.7	71.5	29.0	49.7	1.7	845,259	3,343,796	3.3	19.1	19.4	59.1	34.9	72.6	43.5	6,581	31,771	39,116	31.71	39.16
Urban and rural non-farm.....	720,232	4.6	65.4	32.5	57.8	1.8	679,138	2,412,018	3.2	17.6	19.1	51.0	41.5	76.6	43.5	6,581	31,771	39,116	31.71	39.16
Urban.....	444,808	4.6	51.6	32.9	72.0	1.9	429,595	1,452,304	3.1	13.9	19.6	46.8	53.7	82.7	50.6	7,849	25,962	42,139	27.96	27.91
Rural farm.....	275,244	4.5	87.6	31.8	34.6	1.7	249,543	999,704	3.4	24.1	18.2	56.4	20.5	66.1	62.1	4,542	18,773	27,191	13.73	13.73
Rural farm, non-farm.....	131,251	5.5	95.7	15.0	17.0	1.3	166,121	729,758	3.9	25.3	20.7	71.8	7.5	55.9
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Newark-Portsmouth.....	119,623	4.4	50.1	38.6	67.7	2.3	113,332	389,775	3.1	16.0	26.7	42.7	39.2	76.4	50.9	6,659	34,300	40,009	34.30	40.09
Elizabeth.....	75,939	4.7	54.8	28.3	67.7	1.5	90,987	310,290	3.1	13.5	23.1	54.7	51.7	82.8	54.2	8,009	30,779	40,115	30.79	40.15
Roseland.....	37,990	4.9	66.0	22.3	67.7	1.5	36,660	128,904	3.1	13.5	11.6	63.2	59.7	89.0	44.1	7,188	33,611	41,213	33.61	41.23
NEWARK-PORTSMOUTH																				
Newark.....	18,793	4.5	28.8	49.8	90.3	1.4	18,351	60,278	3.0	9.7	9.1	37.6	86.4	94.0	62.9	13,797	59,641	63,116	59.64	63.16
Portsmouth.....	7,766	4.7	51.9	23.3	77.8	2.2	4,120	13,107	3.3	19.5	7.1	60.4	53.3	75.2	44.9	5,609	31,110	36,439	31.10	36.49
Charlotteville.....	7,277	4.7	65.0	31.8	67.7	1.5	7,044	23,873	3.0	13.1	16.2	47.8	59.0	79.8	43.0	7,790	37,721	45,333	37.72	45.33
Danville.....	10,218	4.1	56.4	14.8	49.9	1.0	10,004	33,873	3.0	12.8	14.0	38.2	57.2	92.3	31.7	10,111	31,123	41,213	31.12	41.23
Fredericksburg.....	3,328	4.8	52.3	15.2	68.1	1.5	3,248	10,722	3.0	12.8	14.0	38.2	57.2	92.3	31.7	10,111	31,123	41,213	31.12	41.23
Harrisonburg.....	2,978	5.2	58.1	14.0	69.3	1.2	2,908	9,519	3.0	9.7	5.9	45.1	56.4	86.2	26.8	10,329	31,241	41,213	31.24	41.23
Hopewell.....	2,903	5.1	63.7	13.7	64.9	1.4	2,823	9,919	3.3	13.7	13.5	50.8	27.9	78.5	50.1	5,342	22,111	31,241	22.11	31.24
Leesville.....	1,851	4.5	56.5	11.9	60.8	1.1	1,346	4,466	3.1	16.2	20.1	50.2	50.2	80.8	43.4	6,413	25,791	35,511	25.79	35.51
Norfolk.....	12,350	4.5	51.0	33.0	51.1	0.9	14,450	16,759	3.4	23.3	25.3	50.3	41.7	78.3	43.1	5,948	30,851	39,888	30.85	39.88
Newport News.....	4,437	4.3	52.6	22.6	69.1	1.0	4,127	14,018	3.0	13.4	40.2	37.4	59.2	72.5	43.2	5,942	31,110	36,751	31.10	36.75
Norfolk.....	4,437	4.3	52.6	22.6	69.1	1.0	4,127	14,018	3.0	13.4	40.2	37.4	59.2	72.5	43.2	5,942	31,110	36,751	31.10	36.75
Portsmouth.....	10,264	4.2	46.0	9.2	41.9	1.2	9,985	34,129	3.5	25.6	27.2	25.3	9.5	65.8	73.7	6,222	27,851	33,111	27.85	33.11
Portsmouth.....	20,796	4.6	46.4	26.7	65.4	2.1	20,069	68,491	3.1	15.9	39.1	42.6	30.2	72.7	44.4	5,345	28,442	37,861	28.44	37.86
Richmond.....	66,434	4.6	41.7	14.8	67.1	1.1	65,049	215,501	3.0	13.6	28.0	46.8	52.7	73.7	43.4	8,263	28,861	38,871	28.86	38.87
Richmond.....	4,518	4.9	68.7	51.2	90.9	3.6	4,300	14,036	3.0	6.6	11.5	63.2	70.2	93.6	66.3	8,480	54,669	60,861	54.66	60.86
South Norfolk.....	3,050	5.0	54.1	38.3	73.2	1.6	26,476	89,534	3.1	11.9	13.5	60.0	67.0	89.1	44.9	7,452	39,500	42,348	39.50	42.34
Stanton.....	5,075	5.1	64.3	15.3	62.9	2.2	4,867	10,079	3.2	16.8	19.0	51.6	67.0	87.3	40.3	5,128	29,541	33,791	29.54	33.79
Suffolk.....	3,664	5.1	74.3	11.5	59.5	1.3	3,466	12,066	3.0	11.2	32.4	39.1	26.9	71.2	30.5	7,234	35,482	42,668	35.48	42.67
Suffolk.....	3,257	4.9	71.5	29.0	70.4	1.3	3,418	12,078	3.2	14.4	6.0	51.4	51.4	81.5	52.9	7,234	35,482	42,668	35.48	42.67
Worcester.....	4,135	5.5	54.0	15.4	68.1	1.4	4,204	13,436	2.9	8.7	7.4	41.8	65.2	81.5	31.4	9,605	28,981	39,441	28.98	39.44

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-bell-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-bell-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 17, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 47

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WASHINGTON

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Washington increased 37 percent--from 590,439 in 1940 to 809,701 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 37 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Washington were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Approximately 11 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 26 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Washington, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 57 percent in 1940 to 65 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TABLE 1. TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	809,701	...	590,439	...	219,262	37.1
Occupied dwelling units..	735,746	100.0	537,337	100.0	198,409	36.9
Owner occupied.....	477,897	65.0	306,174	57.0	171,723	56.1
Renter occupied.....	257,849	35.0	231,163	43.0	26,686	11.5

About three-tenths of the dwelling units in Washington were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 35 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Washington had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Seattle, for example, 74 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 39 percent in Bellingham. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 56 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 18 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 67 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 58 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 87 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$37 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,200. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Washington. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Washington will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

Area	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occu- pied by white	With central heating*	With im- proved refre- gerator*	Personal value of dwelling mort- gaged† (dollars)	Median value of one- dwelling unit mort- gaged† (dollars)	Median cost of monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)			
		Median number of rooms	In one- dwelling unit detached structures ¹	In semi- detached, row, or terrace built in 1940 or later ²	With hot water, with toilet and bath, and not heated or cooled													
Washington.....	809,701	4.3	77.6	29.7	78.8	2.7	735,766	2,243,893	2.7	31.3	2.0	65.0	43.5	81.6	43.0	7,169	36.57	43.46
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	719,925	4.3	77.6	29.7	78.8	2.7	659,945	1,970,094	2.7	10.8	2.1	63.2	46.5	82.1	43.0	7,169	36.57	43.46
Urban.....	510,959	4.4	68.2	30.7	87.1	2.8	484,466	1,420,513	2.6	8.8	2.3	63.2	55.6	84.4	46.5	7,755	37.53	44.04
Rural nonfarm.....	238,966	4.1	90.9	34.6	67.0	2.4	176,579	550,571	2.8	16.3	1.4	68.8	21.1	75.7	32.8	5,451	30.59	40.16
Rural farm.....	89,776	4.8	96.6	21.3	58.1	2.8	76,701	272,859	3.2	15.7	1.7	79.8	17.8	77.4	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Seattle.....	232,396	4.4	69.2	31.0	86.9	2.6	236,258	690,830	2.6	8.3	3.5	63.2	63.8	84.5	49.1	8,798	38.93	44.65
Spokane.....	72,905	4.3	73.0	25.4	83.5	2.2	68,849	206,142	2.7	10.5	1.0	66.4	60.4	86.0	46.4	7,215	37.59	43.01
Tacoma.....	85,258	4.5	76.2	27.4	83.1	2.3	78,650	237,600	2.7	9.6	1.9	66.5	42.3	80.0	42.3	6,960	35.14	41.31
URBAN PLACES																		
Aberdeen.....	7,099	4.6	68.0	8.7	81.5	2.1	6,714	18,919	2.5	5.9	0.7	61.6	25.9	69.1	36.0	5,766	36.46	40.54
Bellingham.....	11,945	4.6	78.3	11.2	87.2	1.6	11,415	32,768	2.5	6.4	0.2	71.7	38.7	76.6	37.0	5,998	30.19	35.20
Bozeman.....	12,598	4.4	75.2	12.2	83.1	2.0	12,020	32,920	2.7	7.4	2.5	66.9	44.6	92.5	43.2	7,352	36.46	47.45
Everett.....	3,964	4.8	63.2	17.2	83.1	3.4	3,716	12,925	2.5	7.8	0.4	58.9	44.5	77.6	38.2	6,125	34.09	37.96
Hoquiam.....	3,964	4.4	73.0	6.8	82.3	3.2	3,736	10,865	2.6	6.1	0.1	67.4	15.2	74.3	35.9	5,478	33.86	38.76
Kennewick.....	3,454	3.8	75.4	75.4	75.0	6.5	3,060	10,015	3.0	24.0	0.1	66.1	29.4	84.6	61.3	8,192	49.39	54.99
Longview.....	6,629	4.2	77.2	43.2	88.7	2.9	6,286	20,005	3.0	12.7	0.5	66.4	29.9	87.2	65.0	7,227	38.22	43.35
Olympia.....	5,893	4.6	70.7	18.7	88.2	2.8	5,729	15,338	2.4	5.5	0.1	63.2	55.3	88.2	47.7	8,219	46.58	50.31
Port Angeles.....	3,902	3.8	60.2	60.3	71.4	4.7	3,664	9,693	2.7	17.7	0.3	68.9	53.4	83.4	46.2	8,591	44.61	48.82
Pullman.....	2,782	3.4	63.2	59.5	71.2	3.1	2,609	11,033	2.7	7.8	0.5	71.2	35.5	75.3	43.8	6,930	34.18	44.69
Puyallup.....	2,782	3.8	42.3	39.5	83.8	2.2	2,702	7,981	2.7	12.6	0.6	70.2	53.8	83.2	38.1	9,604	38.46	47.06
Yakima.....	3,708	4.7	79.3	23.9	82.6	2.2	3,369	9,832	2.6	8.6	0.7	70.7	74.2	74.2	31.5	8,853	37.41	41.46
Yonkers.....	5,276	5.0	44.9	68.6	99.3	1.3	4,889	15,859	3.1	17.5	1.5	39.8	37.5	88.8	48.1	6,138	37.22	41.49
Richland (uninc.).....	5,725	4.2	66.0	99.6	99.5	1.3	5,644	20,818	3.6	6.4	0.1	***	67.4	98.9	46.9	9,231	39.28	44.21
Seattle.....	100,872	4.4	59.1	20.0	88.2	2.2	134,882	430,511	2.4	6.2	4.8	56.5	77.8	84.7	46.9	8,231	39.28	44.21
Spokane.....	95,139	4.3	67.1	22.5	87.0	2.3	92,994	133,711	2.6	8.9	1.2	63.3	66.9	86.3	46.9	7,002	37.51	42.67
Tacoma.....	50,112	4.5	69.3	20.7	85.5	2.2	47,954	139,308	2.6	7.7	2.4	64.4	53.4	81.1	43.2	7,203	34.61	39.38
Vancouver.....	7,662	4.3	68.3	20.7	86.3	2.1	7,300	20,277	2.5	10.7	1.7	58.9	50.2	80.1	42.1	8,181	38.15	42.81
Walla Walla.....	7,662	4.3	67.2	12.6	86.3	2.1	7,300	20,277	2.5	10.8	0.5	53.7	48.5	86.8	39.2	8,022	38.15	42.81
Wenatchee.....	4,703	4.0	65.7	25.6	81.1	2.5	4,400	12,575	2.5	10.9	0.5	53.7	48.5	86.8	39.2	8,022	38.15	42.81
Yakima.....	13,376	4.3	72.4	20.7	83.8	3.6	12,617	37,204	2.6	9.9	1.8	63.7	51.6	85.3	47.5	7,859	40.45	44.95

1 Includes occupied trailers.
2 Attached to and included in dwelling-unit structures without businesses.
3 Restricted to one-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 48

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WEST VIRGINIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in West Virginia increased 18 percent—from 459,725 in 1940 to 544,075 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 5 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in West Virginia were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State, and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for West Virginia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 44 percent to 55 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-nine percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 52 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-farm and urban dwelling units was about 16 percent.

One-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in West Virginia had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural farm dwelling units. In Weirton, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 26 percent in South Parkersburg. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 44 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 8 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. Fifty-two percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 13 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 77 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$22 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,500. Thirty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for West Virginia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for West Virginia will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	544,075	...	459,725	...	84,350	18.3
Occupied dwelling units...	518,281	100.0	444,815	100.0	73,466	16.5
Owner occupied.....	284,924	55.0	194,409	43.7	90,515	46.6
Renter occupied.....	233,357	45.0	250,406	56.3	-17,049	-6.8

Approximately one-fifth of the dwelling units in West Virginia were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 23 percent of

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500)

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With more than 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-whites	Owner occupied	With heating* and refrigerator*	With mechanical refrigerator*	Percent mortgaged†	Median value of one-family structure* (dollars)	Median one-family tract monthly rent* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent* (dollars)
		In one-family detached houses, structures, or trailers	In two-family detached houses, structures, or trailers	In three-family detached houses, structures, or trailers	Vacant non-seasonal detached, mobile, or other											
West Virginia.....	544,075	79.4	19.1	44.6	1.2	518,281	3.4	22.6	5.3	55.0	25.1	74.2	32.5	5,473	21.63	28.43
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	442,205	4.5	75.3	19.7	1.2	423,869	3.3	21.6	6.3	49.7	29.0	79.1	32.5	5,473	21.63	28.43
Urban.....	236,926	4.8	62.4	15.9	1.3	201,675	3.0	13.1	5.9	51.7	43.5	88.5	38.9	7,335	31.71	36.68
Rural.....	205,279	5.2	77.1	22.4	1.2	182,194	3.9	27.1	0.7	78.6	7.7	51.9	...	3,100	13.62	22.76
Rural farm.....	101,870	5.2	97.1	16.3	1.5	94,412	3.9	27.1	0.7	78.6	7.7	51.9	...	3,100	13.62	22.76
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																
Charleston.....	87,488	4.4	76.3	26.2	1.3	81,346	3.3	22.5	7.5	47.6	28.0	83.6	39.5	6,840	26.43	31.53
Huntington-Kabland.....	70,155	4.7	76.7	19.0	1.3	67,329	3.2	18.8	2.9	60.5	25.6	77.6	43.8	6,102	28.33	31.66
Wheeling-Steubenville.....	103,644	4.8	66.9	9.9	0.7	100,362	3.1	14.4	3.0	58.6	28.8	86.4	37.7	6,376	24.42	31.32
URBAN PLACES																
Beckley.....	5,753	4.7	74.3	33.3	1.2	5,584	3.1	13.7	21.1	60.0	61.9	87.0	40.0	7,336	37.64	41.86
Bluefield.....	5,928	5.3	67.9	11.0	1.2	5,797	3.2	14.5	20.9	60.0	67.1	84.6	34.7	7,641	34.07	41.36
Charleston.....	22,759	4.5	52.9	11.5	0.9	22,247	3.1	12.3	8.4	39.5	37.7	91.0	42.1	11,859	40.42	42.64
Clarksburg.....	10,069	4.8	62.3	10.2	1.0	9,863	3.1	12.0	2.9	49.6	32.8	91.2	33.6	7,164	31.85	36.84
Fairmont.....	9,072	4.7	62.6	12.7	1.2	8,867	2.9	10.2	5.3	55.4	58.8	90.1	36.5	7,116	31.59	37.75
Huntington.....	26,268	4.8	60.4	14.3	1.4	25,588	2.9	12.1	4.8	32.1	36.4	85.8	40.2	8,221	31.58	33.65
Martinsburg.....	4,941	5.2	48.2	9.8	1.2	4,808	2.9	9.8	4.8	47.0	60.5	83.3	36.5	6,353	27.64	38.40
Morgantown.....	6,878	4.8	56.0	12.2	0.7	6,775	3.0	13.2	1.6	53.1	55.3	89.8	37.4	7,763	32.96	39.05
Parkersburg.....	9,969	5.1	62.1	10.9	1.2	9,563	2.8	8.2	1.9	32.5	51.1	87.1	41.8	8,559	34.27	38.01
South Charleston.....	5,103	4.8	64.4	43.5	0.9	4,997	3.1	9.1	0.8	56.5	53.1	97.2	61.1	11,145	42.92	46.07
South Parkersburg (uninc.).....	2,148	4.8	64.8	26.6	1.3	2,019	3.3	15.7	4.5	62.5	55.7	81.6	51.2	5,309	32.52	38.32
Wheeling.....	18,380	4.6	44.8	4.8	0.9	17,981	2.8	12.0	3.4	47.1	49.5	90.4	33.6	8,212	26.43	32.45

* Includes occupied trailers.

† Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 1, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 49

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WISCONSIN

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Wisconsin increased 18 percent—from 897,719 in 1940 to 1,055,843 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 9 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Wisconsin were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Wisconsin, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 36 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 6 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 54 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-nine percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.	1,055,843	...	897,719	...	158,124	17.6
Owned dwelling units	967,448	100.0	827,207	100.0	140,241	17.0
Owner occupied.....	613,999	63.5	450,208	54.4	163,791	36.4
Renter occupied.....	353,489	36.5	376,999	45.6	-23,510	-6.2

Almost one-sixth of the dwelling units in Wisconsin were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Wisconsin had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Whitefish Bay, for example, 99 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 50 percent in Chippewa Falls. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 40 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,900. Thirty-eight percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Wisconsin. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Wisconsin will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Occupied dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied		Renter-occupied	
	Total number	Median number of detached houses ¹	In detached houses ¹			Vacant	Seasonal	Private	Dwelling	Dwelling	Population in detached units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhites	Owner-occupied	With heating ³	With central heating ³	With fuel oil ³	Percent of mortgage ⁴	Median value of one-month mortgage ⁴ (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross rent (dollars)	Median gross rent (dollars)	
			in new detached houses ¹	in detached houses ¹	in detached houses ¹																			
Wisconsin.....	1,025,842	5.2	68.1	15.1	58.5	1.0	68.2	1.0	68.2	3.1	11.2	0.9	63.5	64.4	81.9	81.9	37.8	7,927	37.85	48.99	48.99	48.99	48.99	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	862,778	5.0	62.6	16.8	56.6	0.9	69.7	1.0	69.7	3.0	11.0	0.9	63.5	64.4	81.9	81.9	37.8	7,927	37.85	48.99	48.99	48.99	48.99	
Urban.....	270,707	5.2	62.6	16.8	56.6	0.9	69.7	1.0	69.7	3.0	11.0	0.9	63.5	64.4	81.9	81.9	37.8	7,927	37.85	48.99	48.99	48.99	48.99	
Rural nonfarm.....	270,707	4.8	62.6	16.8	56.6	0.9	69.7	1.0	69.7	3.0	11.0	0.9	63.5	64.4	81.9	81.9	37.8	7,927	37.85	48.99	48.99	48.99	48.99	
Rural farm.....	193,065	6.6	93.0	7.5	26.9	1.3	188,003	724,136	3.7	11.8	0.2	78.6	40.1	72.0	40.1	72.0	40.1	72.0	40.1	72.0	40.1	72.0	40.1	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Green Bay.....	27,461	5.0	67.0	20.3	63.2	1.0	26,205	94,262	3.3	12.2	0.7	65.8	66.0	81.2	42.3	8,574	37.31	48.30	48.30	48.30	48.30	48.30	48.30	
Kenosha.....	25,413	4.7	64.9	20.0	72.7	0.8	23,968	73,707	3.1	10.7	0.3	62.6	81.1	45.2	45.2	8,866	39.49	48.34	48.34	48.34	48.34	48.34	48.34	
Madison.....	25,413	5.0	62.4	18.8	64.9	1.0	42,082	135,864	3.1	13.6	0.5	58.1	78.8	46.2	46.2	10,919	50.13	54.59	54.59	54.59	54.59	54.59	54.59	
Menasha.....	253,384	5.0	60.6	34.3	81.5	0.9	249,036	831,324	3.1	10.1	1.9	49.9	82.9	88.7	48.2	11,922	44.01	56.18	56.18	56.18	56.18	56.18	56.18	
Neenah.....	34,112	5.0	63.4	26.5	70.9	0.6	31,402	105,763	3.1	9.3	1.3	64.2	79.0	88.7	45.5	9,305	39.22	44.49	44.49	44.49	44.49	44.49	44.49	
URBAN PLACES																								
Appleton.....	10,135	5.3	66.9	16.8	83.7	0.9	9,931	32,762	3.0	7.4	0.1	65.9	86.3	84.7	38.2	9,691	39.59	49.91	49.91	49.91	49.91	49.91	49.91	
Ashland.....	3,089	5.7	68.1	4.1	73.0	0.8	3,117	10,275	3.0	8.3	0.3	64.8	59.4	73.4	27.5	8,458	31.38	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	
Beverly Hills.....	3,089	5.7	68.1	4.1	73.0	0.8	3,117	10,275	3.0	8.3	0.3	64.8	59.4	73.4	27.5	8,458	31.38	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	41.76	
Chippewa Falls.....	3,426	4.9	60.5	11.7	63.1	1.0	3,267	10,562	2.9	33.1	3.7	60.6	82.1	91.3	42.6	8,264	30.43	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	
Coalinga.....	3,426	4.9	60.5	11.7	63.1	1.0	3,267	10,562	2.9	33.1	3.7	60.6	82.1	91.3	42.6	8,264	30.43	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	31.07	
Fontana.....	15,931	5.0	67.0	9.7	70.2	0.7	15,931	50,636	3.1	10.9	0.2	58.3	74.0	83.2	38.9	8,657	36.70	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	
Glendale.....	15,931	5.0	67.0	9.7	70.2	0.7	15,931	50,636	3.1	10.9	0.2	58.3	74.0	83.2	38.9	8,657	36.70	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	48.68	
Green Bay.....	7,605	4.8	59.4	13.6	86.7	0.7	16,102	53,100	3.1	9.6	0.4	59.1	90.0	92.3	46.0	9,137	40.37	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	
Kenosha.....	16,331	4.8	59.4	13.6	86.7	0.7	16,102	53,100	3.1	9.6	0.4	59.1	90.0	92.3	46.0	9,137	40.37	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	48.46	
La Crosse.....	14,007	4.9	60.7	11.7	63.9	0.6	13,795	42,213	3.0	10.7	0.2	55.7	62.6	85.3	28.1	7,223	32.97	44.00	44.00	44.00	44.00	44.00	44.00	
Madison.....	26,333	4.6	47.2	18.5	79.0	1.2	26,027	83,725	2.9	10.7	0.7	51.2	92.3	91.3	50.1	11,799	53.44	56.81	56.81	56.81	56.81	56.81	56.81	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	44.41	
Menasha.....	4,406	5.3	64.9	12.3	78.6	1.4	4,255	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34.89	44.41	4					



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

July 23, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 50

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WYOMING

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Wyoming increased 20 percent--from 76,868 in 1940 to 92,086 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 16 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Wyoming was somewhat smaller than that for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was somewhat greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for Wyoming, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 35 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 49 percent in 1940 to 54 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Sixty-six percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	92,086	...	76,868	...	15,218	19.8
Owned dwelling units...	84,185	100.0	69,374	100.0	14,811	21.3
Owner occupied.....	45,428	54.0	33,749	48.6	11,679	34.6
Renter occupied.....	38,757	46.0	35,625	51.4	3,132	8.8

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Wyoming were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm

houses in rural areas, where 30 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

More than one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Wyoming had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Cheyenne, for example, 67 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 44 percent in Rock Springs. In occupied urban dwelling units, 53 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 16 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 68 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 28 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,800. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Wyoming. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Wyoming will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

(over)

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Arabic] (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—						Percent mortgaged	Median value of dwelling structure* (dollars)	Median value of one-family tract monthly gross income* (dollars)				
			In one-family detached structures ¹	In two-family detached structures ¹	With hot running water, with private built-in bath, and not later* ²	Total number	Population dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owned by white	Owner-occupied				With bank financing*	With mechanical central heating*		
Wyoming.....	92,086	3.9	73.3	25.7	60.5	2.0	84,185	274,991	3.0	22.2	1.6	54.0	37.9	77.5	6,811	38,411	41,423	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	74,556	3.8	67.7	26.8	68.0	2.2	69,448	218,696	2.9	21.4	1.4	51.4	42.3	80.0	6,811	38,411	41,423	
Urban.....	47,027	3.8	59.9	22.0	79.0	2.1	42,041	139,026	2.8	18.6	1.3	52.5	52.8	85.0	8,029	43,036	44,223	
Rural nonfarm.....	27,499	3.7	81.1	30.0	49.1	2.3	24,407	79,682	3.0	26.5	1.5	49.5	23.0	70.8	4,532	26,345	34,335	
Rural farm.....	17,530	4.3	97.3	20.7	28.2	1.4	14,737	56,293	3.4	26.0	2.6	63.9	16.3	65.8	
URBAN PLACES																		
Casper.....	7,975	3.8	58.3	19.1	80.4	1.1	7,776	22,872	2.6	14.8	1.2	54.8	54.4	87.8	9,761	44,886	43,888	
Chapman.....	10,317	3.8	45.7	26.5	83.1	1.7	10,045	31,026	2.8	17.9	2.6	42.9	66.5	96.0	92.1	8,934	42,779	50,111
Clarksburg.....	3,529	3.7	61.2	14.0	76.2	3.1	3,328	11,306	2.9	18.9	2.0	46.8	44.4	83.1	7,978	36,251	38,291	
Book Springs.....	4,065	4.0	58.4	17.8	79.4	1.7	3,903	11,588	2.6	13.6	0.6	56.9	62.6	85.8	7,045	41,688	43,288	

¹ Includes occupied tented

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

URBAN PLACES

1
2
1